SUNRISE DOUGLAS COMMUNITY PLAN DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE PROGRAM NEXUS STUDY

FINAL VERSION

June 21, 2004

GOODWIN CONSULTING GROUP, INC. 701 University Avenue, Suite 225 Sacramento, California 95825 (916) 561-0890

SUNRISE DOUGLAS COMMUNITY PLAN DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE PROGRAM NEXUS STUDY (FINAL VERSION)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	<u>n</u>	Page
	Executive Summary	i
I.	Introduction	1
II.	Fee Methodology	3
III.	Land Use Categories	4
IV.	Infrastructure and Public Facilities	6
V.	Roadway Facilities and Fee Component	7
VI.	Transit Shuttle Facilities and Fee Component	9
VII.	Supplemental Offsite Water Facilities and Fee Component	11
VIII.	Interim Sewer Facilities and Fee Component	13
IX.	Park Facilities and Fee Component	15
Χ.	Library Facilities and Fee Component	17
XI.	Fee Program Update Fee Component	19
XII.	Fee Program Summary	20
APPE	ENDIX	
Appe	ndix A - Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Fee Program Calculations	
Appe	ndix B - Facility Cost Summaries	
Appei	ndix C - Detailed Roadway, Bike Trail, and Land Acquisition Costs	

Executive Summary

Introduction

The Sunrise Douglas Community Plan ("SDCP") area is generally bordered by Sunrise Boulevard, Douglas Road, Grant Line Road, and Jackson Highway in the City of Rancho Cordova (the "City"). The City is located in the eastern central portion of Sacramento County along Highway 50 neighboring the cities of Sacramento and Folsom. The SDCP consists of approximately 6,100 acres for residential and commercial development, of which approximately 43 percent or 2,632 acres fall within the Sunridge Specific Plan ("SRSP") area. This study incorporates only the land uses and facilities necessary to develop the SRSP area. As development plans and the necessary facilities for the remainder of the SDCP area are identified, the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study will be updated to incorporate all the land uses and facilities. At that time, the City will adopt a consolidated fee program for the entire SDCP area.

Certain infrastructure and facilities, such as roadway improvements, transit shuttle service, offsite water facilities, interim sewer facilities, park facilities, and library facilities, will be required to develop the SRSP area. Much of the funding for these facilities will come from development impact fees. Since such facilities are needed as a result of development in the SRSP area, the cost of these facilities should be borne by development in the SRSP area. The impact fees discussed in this report will apply only to development within the SRSP area. However, as other areas in the SDCP develop, those areas and their required infrastructure facilities will be consolidated with those associated with the SRSP area and revised impact fees will be calculated.

Purpose of Study

The City of Rancho Cordova retained Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. to establish the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program ("Fee Program"). The Fee Program is established through the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study ("Nexus Study") which ensures that a rational nexus exists between future development in the SDCP area and the use and need of the proposed facilities. The Nexus Study will also demonstrate that a reasonable relationship exists between the amount of each impact fee component and the cost of the facilities attributable to the type of development that will be required to pay the impact fees.

List of Fees Included in SDCP Nexus Study

Development fees ("SDCP Fees") are needed to mitigate the impacts of future development in the SRSP for the following facilities and costs:

- Roadway Faculties
- Transit Shuttles
- Supplemental Offsite Water Facilities
- Interim Sewer Facilities
- Park Development

- Library Facilities
- Fee Program Updates

Facilities and Costs

The SRSP area will fund various types of infrastructure and public facilities that will serve future development in this area. The table below summarizes the SDCP Capital Improvement Program ("SDCP CIP") and shows the portion of the costs that will be funded with the SDCP Fee. Infrastructure costs have been primarily developed by the Wood Rodgers and details of these facilities and their itemized costs are shown in Appendices B and C of this report. The remainder of the costs will be funded through various sources including:

- Sacramento County Transportation Improvement Program (District 3)
- Measure A Sales Tax and Development Fees from areas outside of the SDCP area
- Mather Field Transportation Improvement Program
- Vineyard Capital Improvement Program
- Development fees from the SDCP area that lie outside of the SRSP area, and other participating areas

SDCP Capital Improvement Plan			
Facilities	Total SDCP CIP	SDCP Fee-Funded Costs	
Roadway Improvements	\$146,303,214	\$104,185,163	
Transit Shuttle System	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	
Supplemental Offsite Water	\$4,348,346	\$4,348,346	
Interim Sewer	\$4,864,819	\$4,864,819	
Park Development	\$29,457,524	\$29,457,524	
Library	\$10,340,805	\$4,647,000	
Fee Program Formation/Updates	\$600,000	\$600,000	
SDCP Facilities Cost	\$197,014,708	\$149,202,852	

The infrastructure and costs listed in the table above are not a complete list of the facilities that will be funded by SRSP development but only those for which the City will establish development impact fees. The SRSP area will be required to pay fees or construct infrastructure for permanent water, sewer, drainage, fire, and schools facilities; however, the fees and costs associated with these facilities are not within the City's jurisdiction and therefore are not part of the City's SDCP Fee Program.

Summary of the SDCP Fees

The table below summarizes the fee components in the SDCP Fee Program calculated in this report.

D : 1 : 1	Roadways	Transit Shuttle	Suppl. Offsite Water	Interim Sewer	Park	Library	Fee Progra m Updates	Admin*	Total
Residential				C	Cost per Unit	ţ			
Single Family	\$9,326	\$62	\$451	\$519	\$3,159	\$509	\$65	\$391	\$14,482
Multifamily	\$6,139	\$155	\$339	\$390	\$2,468	\$398	\$19	\$264	\$10,172
Non- Residential				Cost per I	Building Squ	uare Foot			
Office	\$10.66	\$0.20	\$0.14	\$0.10	\$0.42	N/A	\$0.02	\$0.42	\$11.96
Commercial	\$15.45	\$0.34	\$0.17	\$0.05	\$0.29	N/A	\$0.03	\$0.60	\$16.93

^{*} The SDCP Fee program administration fee is 3.75% of the fees that the City will administer. These include the Roadway, Transit Shuttle, Supplemental Offsite Water, Interim Sewer and the Fee Program Update fee components

These impact fees will be adjusted in future years to reflect inclusion of the remaining future development and infrastructure for the SDCP area, revised facility standards, receipt of funding from alternative sources (i.e., state or federal grants), revised facilities costs, or changes in demographics or the SDCP development land use plan. In addition to such adjustments, the fees will be inflated each year by a predetermined index.

I. Introduction

The Sunrise Douglas Community Plan ("SDCP") area is generally bordered by Sunrise Boulevard, Douglas Road, Grant Line Road, and Jackson Highway in the City of Rancho Cordova (the "City"). The City is located in the eastern central portion of Sacramento County along Highway 50 neighboring the cities of Sacramento and Folsom. The SDCP consists of approximately 6,100 acres for residential and commercial development, of which approximately 43 percent or 2,632 acres fall within the Sunridge Specific Plan ("SRSP") area. This study incorporates only the land uses and facilities necessary to develop the SRSP area. As development plans and the necessary facilities for the remainder of the SDCP area are identified, the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study will be updated to incorporate all the land uses and facilities. At that time, the City will adopt a consolidated fee program for the entire SDCP area.

Certain infrastructure and facilities, such as roadway improvements, transit shuttle service, offsite water facilities, interim sewer facilities, park facilities, and library facilities, will be required to develop the SRSP area. Much of the funding for these facilities will come from development impact fees. Since such facilities are needed as a result of development in the SRSP area, the cost of these facilities should be borne by development in the SRSP area. The impact fees discussed in this report will apply only to development within the SRSP area. However, as other areas in the SDCP develop, those areas and their required infrastructure facilities will be consolidated with those associated with the SRSP area and revised impact fees will be calculated.

Purpose of Study

The City of Rancho Cordova retained Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. to establish the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program ("Fee Program"). The Fee Program is established through the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study ("Nexus Study") which ensures that a rational nexus exists between future development in the SDCP area and the use and need of the proposed facilities. The Nexus Study will also demonstrate that a reasonable relationship exists between the amount of each impact fee component and the cost of the facilities attributable to the type of development that will be required to pay the impact fees.

AB 1600 Nexus Requirements

Assembly Bill (AB) 1600, which was enacted by the State of California in 1987, created Section 66000 et. seq. of the Government Code. AB 1600, also referred to as the Mitigation Fee Act, requires that all public agencies satisfy the following requirements when establishing, increasing, or imposing a fee as a condition of approval for a development project:

- 1. Identify the purpose of the fee
- 2. Identify the use to which the fee will be put

- 3. Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between:
 - A. The fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed
 - B. The need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.
 - C. The amount of the fee and the cost of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed.

As stated above, the purpose of this Nexus Study is to demonstrate that all fee components of the SDCP Fee Program comply with AB 1600. The assumptions, methodology, facility standards, costs, and cost allocation factors that were used to establish the nexus between the fees established in this Nexus Study ("SDCP Fees") and the development on which they will be levied are summarized in the subsequent sections of this report.

Organization of Report

The remainder of this report has been organized into the following sections:

Section II	Provides a general explanation of the methodology used to calculate the various fee components in the SDCP Fee Program.
Section III	Defines the land use categories to be used in the application of the fees.
Section IV	Defines the infrastructure categories and costs in the SDCP capital improvement plan
Sections V-XI	Provides the details of the individual fee component calculations for roadway, transit shuttle, water, interim sewer, park, library facilities, and fee program update fees.
Section XII	Provides a summary of the impact fee components calculated in this report and addresses future fee adjustments, credit/reimbursement policies, fee implementation issues and administrative duties for the fee program.

II. Fee Methodology

When an impact fee is calculated, an analysis must be presented in enough detail to demonstrate that a logical and thorough consideration was applied in the process of determining how the fee relates to the impacts from new development. Various findings must be made to ensure that there is a reasonable relationship between the use, need and amount of an impact fee and the type of development on which that impact fee will be levied. Following is the methodology used to calculate impact fees in this report.

Fee Calculation

The steps to calculate each fee component of the SDCP Fee are as follows:

- **Step 1.** Identify and estimate future development and growth projections in the area
- **Step 2.** Determine the facilities and improvements needed to serve the development
- **Step 3.** Estimate the gross cost of facilities needed to serve the future development and determine the cost of facilities for which future growth will be responsible
- Step 4. Subtract revenues available from alternative funding sources, if any, to identify a net facilities cost that will be allocated to future development
- Step 5. Subtract the cost of any facilities that are included in the facilities plan to cure an existing deficiency in service
- Step 6. Identify the demand variable (i.e. trips generated, gallons/day, persons served, net acres etc.) that will be used to allocate facility costs on a benefit rationale basis to each future land use category; apply demand variable rates or Equivalent Dwelling Units ("EDU") to individual land uses based on service demand
- **Step 7.** Estimate the total amount of the EDUs that will be generated by all future development land use categories by multiplying the land uses by their assigned EDU factor
- Step 8. Divide the net facilities cost allocated to future development by the total EDUs to determine the impact fee per EDU
- Step 9. Determine the fee for each land use category by multiplying the assigned EDU for each land use category by the fee per EDU calculated in the Step 8

III. Land Use Categories

Land Use Categories

The Mitigation Fee Act requires that a reasonable relationship exist between the need for public facilities and the type of development on which an impact fee is imposed. The need for public facilities is related to the level of service demanded, which varies in proportion to the number of residents or employees generated by a particular development type. Therefore, land use categories have been defined in order to distinguish between relative impacts on facilities. All fee components of the SDCP Fee have been calculated on a per-dwelling unit basis for residential land use categories and on a per-building square foot basis for non-residential land use categories.

The following land use categories are identified for purposes of the SDCP Fee:

Single Family: means all single family residential development categories which include

single family detached and attached homes with two or less units

Multi-Family: means all multi-family residential development categories, including

condominiums, apartments and residential buildings with three or more

units

Office: means buildings constructed for the purpose of occupancy by

predominantly business and professional office uses located on sites zoned BP Business and Professional Office in accord with the City of

Rancho Cordova Zoning Code

Commercial: means buildings constructed for the purpose of occupancy by retail,

services, and other predominantly non-office businesses located on sites zoned SC Shopping Center, LC Limited Commercial, TC Travel Commercial, AC Auto Commercial, or GC General Commercial in accord with the City of Rancho Cordova Zoning Code or designated Commercial or Commercial Mixed Use (CMU) by the Sunridge Specific Plan or other specific plan. Residential dwellings constructed on sites designated CMU or another commercial zone are residential development

rather than commercial development

The City will make the final determination as to which land use category a particular development will be assigned. City staff will determine the land use category that corresponds most directly to the land use. Alternatively, the City can determine that no land use category adequately corresponds to the development in question and may work in conjunction with the City planning director to determine the applicable ad hoc impact fees.

Land Use Quantities

Development and financing plans for the SRSP area show an estimated development of 9,886 residential units, of which, 8,600 are projected to be single family and 1,286 are multi-family units. The SRSP area also includes 89.5 acres zoned for an office/employment center and 54.1 acres of neighborhood commercial development. The entire SDCP area has a holding capacity of approximately 22,000 residential units; however, wetland mitigation issues may decrease the final development figure. To address the potential loss of development to wetland mitigation issues, the calculations used into this Nexus Study reduce all development projections by 5.0% from those used in the SRSP development and financing plans. Development projections will be updated in future revisions to this Nexus Study as they become available and more certain. Table A-1 in Appendix A shows the reduced residential and non-residential development projections used in the calculation of the SDCP Fees.

IV. Infrastructure and Public Facilities

The SRSP area will fund various types of infrastructure and public facilities that will serve future development in this area. The table below summarizes the SDCP Capital Improvement Program ("SDCP CIP") and shows the portion of the costs that will be funded with the SDCP Fee. Infrastructure costs have been primarily developed by the Wood Rodgers and details of these facilities and their itemized costs are shown in Appendices B and C of this report. The remainder of the costs will be funded through various sources including:

- Sacramento County Transportation Improvement Program (District 3)
- Measure A Sales Tax and Development Fees from areas outside of the SDCP area
- Mather Field Transportation Improvement Program
- Vineyard Capital Improvement Program
- Development fees from the SDCP area that lie outside of the SRSP area, and other participating areas

SDCP Capital Improvement Plan			
Facilities	Total SDCP CIP	SDCP Fee-Funded Costs	
Roadway Improvements	\$146,303,214	\$104,185,163	
Transit Shuttle System	\$1,100,000	\$1,100,000	
Supplemental Offsite Water	\$4,348,346	\$4,348,346	
Interim Sewer	\$4,864,819	\$4,864,819	
Park Development	\$29,457,524	\$29,457,524	
Library	\$10,340,805	\$4,647,000	
Fee Program Formation/Updates	\$600,000	\$600,000	
SDCP Facilities Cost	\$197,014,708	\$149,202,852	

The infrastructure and costs listed in the table above are not a complete list of the facilities that will be funded by SDCP development but only those for which the City will collect development fees. The SDCP area will be required to pay fees or construct infrastructure for permanent water, sewer, drainage, fire, and schools facilities; however, the fees and costs associated with these facilities are not within the City's jurisdiction and therefore are not part of the City's SDCP Fee Program. The following sections of this report will address the individual facilities listed in the table above and the associated SDCP Fee components.

V. Roadway Facilities and Fee Component

Roadway facilities and improvements for the SRSP area include construction and widening of roads, intersection signalization, median improvements, drainage improvements and road landscaping. The need for these roadway facilities is triggered by the increase in traffic that will be generated by future development in SRSP, and therefore, these improvements will be included in the SDCP Fee Program and funded by development in the SRSP. The roadway fee component of the SDCP Fee meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Roadway Fee Component of the SDCP Fee		
Identify Purpose of Fee	To construct roads, intersections, and other roadway improvements in the SDCP CIP needed to mitigate the impacts of new development within the SRSP area.	
Identify Use of Fee	SDCP Fee revenue will fund the improvement and construction of roads, intersections, and other roadway facilities identified in the SDCP CIP and detailed in Appendices B and C of this report	
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New residential and non-residential development will generate additional residents and employees in the SRSP area that will create demand for adequate roadway facilities to serve the new development areas. Existing roadway facilities are adequate to serve the City's existing population but cannot provide adequate circulation for the increased population and employment that will be generated by the SRSP area. Therefore, new roadway facilities must be constructed. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the trips generated by each development type	

Future Facility Requirements and Costs

Table B-1 of Appendix B identifies 76 roadway projects in the SDCP CIP and Table C-1 in Appendix C shows the detailed costs of these projects. The projects include construction and widening of major on-site and off-site roadway segments, intersection improvements and signalization, median improvements, drainage culverts, landscaping, and right of way land acquisition. The SDCP CIP assumes a right of way land acquisition cost for agricultural land of \$20,000 per acre plus an additional \$20,000 per acre as a cost contingency. This cost assumption will be revisited and adjusted, if necessary, after right-of-way (ROW) land purchases are made. The SDCP CIP also includes the Alta Sunrise reliever, which will stretch from Douglas Road to US 50. The engineering firm of Woods Rogers estimated the total cost of these roadway facilities is \$146.3 million; however, funding for \$42.1 million of the total cost will come from sources other than the

SRSP area (see Table A-2 of Appendix A). The net amount of the total roadway facilities cost that will be funded by the SRSP area through the SDCP Fee Program is \$104,185,163. The alternate funding sources include the following:

- Sacramento County Transportation Improvement Program (District 3)
- Measure A Sales Tax and Development Fees from areas outside of the SDCP area
- Funding from the Mather Field Transportation Improvement Program
- Funding from the Vineyard Capital Improvement Program
- Development fees from the SDCP area that lie outside of the SRSP area, and other participating areas

The Alta Sunrise reliever, which has an estimated \$26.4 million cost, will be completely funded through alternate funding sources, much of it is expected to come from development fees from future development in SDCP, outside of SRSP area.

Roadway Fee Component

The roadway facilities in the SDCP CIP are required to serve future development in the SRSP area; there are no facilities in this CIP that will cure existing roadway deficiencies in the City. The expected increases in traffic resulting from development of the SRSP area will trigger the need for these roadway facilities. As a result, the cost of roadway facilities, net of funds to be received from alternate funding sources, will be allocated to future development in the SRSP. As the City adopts future specific plans within the SDCP, the roadway costs for those areas will be combined with the roadway costs for the SRSP to arrive at a combined roadway cost and subsequent fee for the entire SDCP area.

Table A-3 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the roadway fee component of the SDCP Fee. The \$104.2 million roadway cost is allocated to future land uses in SRSP based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors used in the *Public Facilities Financing Plan For the SunRidge Specific Plan* (the "SRSP Finance Plan"). The SRSP Finance Plan identifies the *Elk Grove/West Vineyard Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Fee Program* (the "EG/WV PFFP") as the source of the EDU factors used its report. A review of the EG/WV PFFP roadway EDU calculation showed that the EDUs were based on peak hour trip rates adjusted for zoning category and development density. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the level of trips generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of roadway facilities in this Nexus Study. The EDU factor for Single Family Residential ("SFR") units, used in this report, is a blended rate based on the weighted average of the EDU factors in the EG/WV PFFP for RD-5 and RD-7 zoning. The Multifamily Residential ("MFR") category is assigned the RD-20 EDU rate and the Office and Commercial categories are assigned the BP and GC zoning EDU factors from that report.

Table A-3 shows that utilizing the assigned EDU factors to allocate the \$104.2 million roadway cost to the land uses within the SRSP yields roadway fees of \$9,326 per SFR, \$6,139 per MFR, and \$10.66 and \$15.45 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

VI. Transit Shuttle Facilities and Fee Component

Transit shuttle facilities include the acquisition or lease of 20 shuttles to meet the demand for transit shuttle service generated by the new population in the SRSP area. The City, at its discretion, will either purchase or lease the shuttles. The transit shuttle fee component of the SDCP Fee calculated in this report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Transit Shuttle Fee Component of the SDCP Fee			
Identify Purpose of Fee	Acquisition of 20 transit shuttles to serve the SRSP area		
Identify Use of Fee	Fee revenue from future development will fund the cost of the transit shuttle system to serve the population and employment generated by development in the SRSP area		
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New residential and commercial development will generate additional residents and employees in the SRSP area who will create a demand for transit shuttle service. The transit shuttle system, which will serve the SRSP area, will benefit future residents and employees in the SRSP area. Impact fees collected through the SDCP Fee Program from new development will be used to fund these facilities. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the transit trips generated by each development type.		

Future Facility Requirements

Regional Transit ("RT") has not identified near-term plans to expand bus service to the SRSP. As a result, the Sunridge Specific Plan proposes the use of a transit shuttle system to service residents and employees in the SRSP area. The plan calls for purchasing 20 shuttles to serve the area, although the City may decide to lease them instead. This transit shuttle plan and its facilities may evolve as RT develops a regional transit plan for the area.

Transit Shuttle Fee Component

Since the demand for transit service is a direct result of development within SRSP and will primarily benefit future residents and employees in the SRSP area, the cost of these facilities is allocated among future residents and employees in this area. The transit shuttle system required to serve the SRSP area is estimated to cost \$1.1 million (see Table B-2 in Appendix B), and includes the acquisition of 20 new shuttles, or approximately one shuttle for every 500 residential units. As the City adopts future specific plans within the SDCP, the transit shuttle costs for those areas will be

combined with the transit shuttle costs for the SRSP area to arrive at a combined fee for the entire SDCP.

Table A-4 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the transit shuttle fee component of the SDCP Fee. The total \$1.1 million cost is allocated to future land uses in SRSP based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors used in the SRSP Finance Plan. The SRSP Finance Plan identifies the EG/WV PFFP as the source of the EDU factors used its report. A review of the EG/WV PFFP transit EDU assignment to different land use categories shows that the EDUs are based on peak hour trip rates adjusted for zoning category, vehicle occupancy, and the estimated percent of transit trips. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of transit trips generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of transit facilities in this Nexus Study. The EDU factor used in this report for SFR units is a blended rate based on the weighted average of the EDU factors in the EG/WV PFFP for RD-5 and RD-7 zoning. MFR is assigned the RD-20 EDU rate and Office and Commercial land use categories are assigned the BP and GC zoning EDU factors from that report.

Table A-4 shows that allocating the transit shuttle cost based on the assigned EDU factors to the land uses within the SRSP yields residential transit shuttle fees of \$62 per SFR, \$155 per MFR, and \$0.20 and \$0.34 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

VII. Supplemental Offsite Water Facilities and Fee Component

The supplemental offsite water facilities component of the SDCP Fee calculated in this section of the report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Supplemental Offsite Water Component of the SDCP Fee			
Identify Purpose of Fee	Funding water improvements to serve the SRSP area		
Identify Use of Fee	Fee revenue will fund offsite water improvements that are part of the SDCP CIP as identified in Table B-3 of Appendix B of this report		
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New residential and commercial development will generate residents and employees in the SRSP that will create a demand for water service. Fees collected through the SDCP Fee Program from new development will be used to fund offsite water facilities' that will serve the SRSP area. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the assignment of water EDUs for each development type.		

Future Facility Requirements and Costs

Supplemental offsite water facilities include a groundwater treatment plant, a raw water line along Excelsior Road, Vineyard well field facilities, the Folsom South canal crossing, and the cost of water studies. The total cost for these facilities is \$23.4 million; however, Sacramento County Water Agency (SCWA) will not reimburse the full cost to the developer who constructs these facilities. Woods Rodgers estimates that SCWA Zone 40 will reimburse approximately \$19.1 million of this total amount from SCWA fee revenue. The remainder, approximately \$4.3 million, will be funded through the SDCP Supplemental Offsite Water Fee. The City will collect the SDCP water fee and use it to reimburse the developer who constructs theses facilities. The \$4.3 million cost is allocated to development in SRSP based on a fair share allocation to all development in the SRSP area.

Since the entire SRSP area primarily benefits from these water improvements, it will be allocated the cost based on EDUs developed for the Sacramento County Water Agency's (SCWA) water development fee program. Table B-3 in Appendix B identifies the water facilities and the portion of the cost that will not be reimbursed by the SCWA Zone 40 fee program.

Supplemental Offsite Water Fee Component

Table A-5 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the supplemental offsite water fee component of the SDCP Fee. The \$4.3 million cost is allocated to future land uses in the SRSP area based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors used by the SCWA development fee program. That fee program assigns EDU factors based on service demand reflected in the size of the water meter of a typical development type. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of water demand generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of water facilities in this Nexus Study. For residential development, an EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and 0.75 EDU for a MFR unit. Office and Commercial development are assigned 4.0 EDUs per acre.

Utilizing the EDU factors to allocate the \$4.3 million cost to the land uses within the SRSP area yields residential fees of \$451 per SFR unit, \$339 per MFR unit, and \$0.14 and \$0.17 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

VIII. Interim Sewer Facilities and Fee Component

Interim sewer improvements include the construction of force mains and lift stations for the SRSP area. The need for the interim sewerage is a direct result of future development in the SRSP area, and therefore, the costs of these improvements will be allocated to future development through the SDCP Fee. The interim sewer facilities component of the SDCP Fee calculated in this section of the report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Interim Sewer Facilities Component of the SDCP Fee		
Identify Purpose of Fee	Funding for the interim sewer facilities to serve the SRSP area	
Identify Use of Fee	Fee revenue will fund the construction of force mains and lift stations that are included in the SDCP CIP	
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New residential and commercial development will generate residents and employees in SDCP that will create a demand for sewer facilities. This will necessitate the need for force mains and lift stations. Impact fees collected through the SDCP Fee Program from new development will be used to fund these facilities. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the assignment of sewer EDUs for each development type.	

Future Facility Requirements and Costs

The demand for sewer facilities is a direct result of development within the SDCP area. These facilities will primarily benefit future residents and employees in the SRSP area and therefore, the cost of these facilities is allocated among future residents and employees only; existing development in Rancho Cordova will not be required to fund any portion of these new facilities. The proposed interim sewer facilities include lift stations at Chrysanthy Boulevard, Douglas Boulevard, and Kiefer Boulevard, force mains, the Folsom South canal crossing, the Chrysanthy Boulevard trunk sewer and sewer studies. The total cost for these facilities is \$12.8 million; however, Sacramento County Sanitation District 1 (CSD-1) will not reimburse the full amount to the developer who constructs these facilities. Woods Rodgers estimates that \$7.9 million of this total amount will be reimbursed by CSD-1. The remainder, approximately \$4.9 million, will be funded through the SDCP Interim Sewer Fee. The SDCP Sewer Interim Fees will be collected by the City and used to reimburse the developer who constructs theses facilities. The cost of these facilities is allocated on a fair-share basis to all development in the SRSP area.

Since the entire SRSP area primarily benefits from these sewer improvements, it will be allocated the cost based on the EDU factors established in the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District of Sacramento County (SRCSD) ordinance SRSD-0093. This ordinance establishes EDUs (or equivalent single family dwellings, ESDs, as shown in the ordinance) for the SRCSD sewer impact fee program. Table B-4 in Appendix B identifies the sewer facilities and the portion of the cost that will not be reimbursed by CSD-1.

Interim Sewer Fee Component

Table A-6 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the interim sewer fee component of the SDCP Fee. The \$4.9 million cost is allocated to future land uses in the SRSP area based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors established in the SRCSD ordinance for its impact fee program. That fee program assigns EDU factors based on service demand reflected in estimated sewage discharges for various development categories. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of sewage generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of sewer facilities in this Nexus Study. For residential development, an EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and a 0.75 EDU for a MFR unit. Office and Commercial development are assigned 0.2 and 0.1 EDUs per 1,000 square feet of building space, pursuant to the units in the ordinance, and were subsequently converted to the per-acre EDU factors shown in Table A-6.

Utilizing the EDU factors to allocate the \$4.9 million cost to the land uses within the SRSP yields residential fees of \$519 per SFR unit, \$390 per MFR unit, and \$0.10 and \$0.05 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

IX. Park Facilities and Fee Component

The park facilities component of the SDCP fee program will include the development of a sports park, neighborhood and community parks, multi-use shared facilities and trails. These facilities will primarily benefit residents within the SRSP area, and therefore, only future development in this area will share in the funding of these facilities. The park fee component of the SDCP Fee Program calculated in this section of the report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Park Facilities Component of the SDCP Fee			
Identify Purpose of Fee Identify Use of Fee	Funding for park development to serve the SRSP area Fee revenue will fund development of a sports park, neighborhood parks and a community park, public open spaces, and trails		
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New development will generate additional residents and employees that will create the demand for parks and recreational facilities, which will require the development of parks and recreational facilities within the SRSP area. These facilities will benefit future residents and employees in SRSP area. Impact fees collected through the SDCP Fee Program from new development will be used to fund park development. Fees are determined based on the estimated average usage of residents and employees from various types of development		

Future Facility Requirements and Costs

The City of Rancho Cordova and the development community have worked with the Cordova Recreation and Park District ("CRPD") to establish park improvements for the SRSP area. The CRPD produced the park capital improvement program ("CRPD CIP") for the SRSP area shown on Table B-5 in Appendix B. The facilities are grouped into four categories including 1) basic park improvements, 2) other recreational improvements, 3) new projects shared facilities, and 4) multiuse trails.

The basic park improvements category includes development of eleven parks containing approximately 94.4 acres, tot lots, and street frontage improvements. The other recreation improvement category includes various lighted and unlighted ball park facilities, a dog park, large and small group picnic areas and facilities, pond/water features, lighted tennis courts, volleyball courts, shared-use gymnasiums at elementary school sites, restroom facilities, and offstreet parking. Facilities in the new projects shared facilities category will be partially funded (approximately 52%)

of the cost) by development in the SRSP area. The remainder of the cost will be funded by future development in the SDCP area. Facilities include a 40-acre sports park, a 30,000 square foot community center, a shared-use gymnasium at the middle school, a corporation yard, and approximately 4,000 square feet of office space. Finally, the CRPD CIP includes approximately 8,900 lineal feet of multi-use trails that will run adjacent to a drainage channel and through the wetland preserves. The total cost of the CRPD CIP is \$29, 457,524

Park and recreational facilities generally benefit residential development; however, the CRPD has experienced demand for park and recreation services from employees of businesses in the City in the form of team sports participation as well as use of park facilities during lunch hours. As a result, the cost of park facilities is allocated to residential and nonresidential development in the SRSP area. Use of, or the ability to use park facilities by employees is much less than that of City residents as is quantified below.

The Nexus study estimates the park usage of residents and employees by assuming that residents on average can use the park 56 hours per week (8 hours per day x 7 days per week = 56 hours). Employees, on the other hand, have the potential to use the park about 7.5 hours per week (1.5 hours per day x 5 days per week = 7.5 hours). By comparing the average potential park usage of employees to residents, it is determined that an employee equals approximately 13.4% of a resident's park usage potential (7.5/56 = .134).

The aforementioned park usage assumptions are applied to allocate the cost of park and recreation facilities to future residential and nonresidential development in the SRSP area. Future development in the SRSP area is the primary beneficiary of these park facilities and therefore, the total cost is allocated to development in the SRSP area.

Table A-7 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the park development fee component of the SDCP Fee. The \$29.5 million cost is allocated to future land uses in the SRSP area based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors. The EDUs are based on the persons per household for residential units and the reduced employees per acre (i.e., 1.0 employee equals 0.134 residents) for nonresidential development. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of benefit received from park facilities by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of park facilities in this Nexus Study. For residential development, an EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and an EDU factor of 0.78 EDU is calculated for a MFR unit. Office and Commercial development EDUs are calculated to equal 1.74 and 1.01 per acre, respectively.

Park Development Fee Component

Table A-7 shows that allocating the park development cost based on the assigned EDU factors to the land uses within the SRSP area yields residential park fees of \$3,159 per SFR, \$2,468 per MFR, and \$0.42 and \$0.29 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively. The City will collect the park fee revenue and pass it through to the CRPD. A credit and reimbursement program for park facilities built by developers is being developed and will be administered by either the City or the CRPD.

X. Library Facilities and Fee Component

This section of the report identifies the facilities, costs, and impact fees required to fund library facilities in the SDCP area. The library facilities have been sized so that they will serve the entire SDCP area at buildout. As a result, the library fee component calculated in this Nexus study is the SRSP area's fair-share contribution for funding library facilities. The library component of the SDCP Fee meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as discussed in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Library Facilities Component of the SDCP Fee			
Identify Purpose of Fee	Funding a library to serve residents in the SDCP		
Identify Use of Fee	Fee revenue will fund the new SDCP library and associated improvements and equipment		
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	New residential development will generate additional residents in the SDCP area. These residents will generate demand for library facilities. Impact fees collected from new development in the SDCP area will fund a new City library that will serve the SDCP area. New residential development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the average number of persons per household.		

Future Facility Requirements and Costs

As the SDCP area develops and generates new residents, there will be a demand for library services. The Sacramento Public Library Authority, which will provides library service to the City, estimates that a new 20,000 square foot library will be needed to serve the entire SDCP area. Since the demand for library services is a direct result of development within the SDCP area and will primarily benefit future residents in the SDCP area, the cost for this facility is allocated among future residents. Existing development in Rancho Cordova will not be required to fund any portion of this new facility. Future nonresidential development in the SDCP area is not expected to impact the demand for library facilities and therefore, no library fee is imposed on nonresidential development.

The new library facilities are estimated to cost \$9.1 million, as shown in Table B-6 in Appendix B. This includes construction of a 20,000 square foot building, land acquisition costs, furniture and equipment, and a book collection. In addition to the \$9.1 million cost, a financing cost was included since this facility will most likely be debt financed. The financing cost is estimated to equal \$1.2 million and therefore the total cost of the library facilities is \$10.3 million.

The financing cost is the present value of the future interest payments and assumes an average bond interest rate of 6.0%, a 15-year bond term, and an annual inflation factor of 3.0%. The financing cost calculation assumes that approximately 55% of the cost will be debt financed with the remainder funded through accumulated fee revenue collected from the SRSP area. This assumes that the library will be constructed toward the end of development in the SRSP area.

Since the SRSP area is estimated to contain approximately 45% of the residential development in the entire SDCP, 45% of the total cost, or \$4.6 million, is allocated to residential development in the SRSP. The remainder will be allocated to future development in the SDCP that is outside of the SRSP area

The \$4.6 million cost is allocated to future land uses in the SRSP area based on the equivalent dwelling units (EDUs) factors that are based on the persons per household for the residential categories. An EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and 0.78 EDU for a MFR unit.

Library Fee Component

Table A-8 shows that allocating the library cost based on the assigned EDU factors to the residential land uses within the SRSP area yields library fees of \$509 per SFR unit and \$398 per MFR unit. The City will collect the library fee revenue and pass it through to the Sacramento Public Library Authority.

XI. Fee Program Update Fee Component

This section of the report identifies the costs and impact fees required to fund annual and periodic updates of the SDCP Fee Program. The fee program update fee meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as discussed in the table below.

AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Fee Program Update Fee Component of the SDCP Fee			
Identify Purpose of Fee	Funding updates to the SDCP Fee program		
Identify Use of Fee	Fee revenue will fund the cost of annual and periodic updates of the SDCP Fee program		
Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.	The SDCP Fee Program includes various fee components that will provide funding for facilities in the SRSP area. All new residential and nonresidential development benefit from this fee program; therefore, the cost of keeping this program current by periodically updating the facilities costs and impact fees benefits all development in the SRSP area and therefore, the cost is allocated to future development in SRSP on a per-acre basis.		

Fee Program Update Fee Cost Calculation

Table A-9 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the fee program update fee component of the SDCP Fee. The City estimates the total cost to update this program is \$600,000 over a ten-year period. This assumes that the fee program will have annual updates as well as comprehensive program updates, which will include a review and update of all facilities costs every three to five years.

Fee Program Update Fee Component

The cost of this fee update program is allocated on a per-acre basis whereby one EDU equals an acre of residential or nonresidential development since both types of development benefit equally from updating the SDCP Fee Program. Table 9 shows the residential fees are \$65 per SFR unit, \$19 per MFR unit, and \$0.02 and \$0.03 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

XII. Fee Summary

The table below summarizes the fee components of the SDCP Fee Program, as calculated in this report.

Residential	Roadways	Transit Shuttle	Suppl. Offsite Water	Interim Sewer	Park Cost per Unit	Library	Fee Progra m Updates	Admin*	Total	
Single Family	\$9,326	\$62	\$451	\$519	\$3,159	\$509	\$65	\$391	\$14,482	
Multifamily	\$6,139	\$155	\$339	\$390	\$2,468	\$398	\$19	\$264	\$10,172	
Non- Residential	Cost per Building Square Foot									
Office	\$10.66	\$0.20	\$0.14	\$0.10	\$0.42	N/A	\$0.02	\$0.42	\$11.96	
Commercial	\$15.45	\$0.34	\$0.17	\$0.05	\$0.29	N/A	\$0.03	\$0.60	\$16.93	

^{*} The SDCP Fee program administration fee is 3.75% of the fees that the City will administer. These include the Roadway, Transit Shuttle, Supplemental Offsite Water, Interim Sewer and the Fee Program Update fee components

Administration Fee

To defray the City's costs associated with administering the SDCP Fee Program, tracking fee credits and reimbursements, and other related program costs, the City will charge an administration fee equal to 3.75% of the total fees that the City will administer. The fee components that the City will administer include the roadway, transit shuttle, supplemental offsite water, interim sewer, and the fee program update fees. The administration fee must be paid at the time of building permit issuance, or as designated by the City, and cannot be credited against through a fee credit or reimbursement agreement.

Fee Adjustments

The SDCP Fees may be adjusted in future years to reflect revised facility costs or standards, receipt of funding from alternative sources (i.e., state or federal grants), or changes in demographics or the land use plan. In addition to such adjustments, beginning March 1, 2005, and thereafter each year no later than March 15, the City's public works director shall authorize the adjustment of the SDCP Fees for each type of development in each fee category as follows:

Step 1 - A "mean" index will be computed by averaging the index for 20 U.S. cities with the index for San Francisco by resort to the January issue of the Engineering News Record magazine Construction Cost Index of the year in which the calculation is being made.

Step 2 - An adjustment factor shall be computed by dividing the "mean" index by the "mean" index for the previous January; however, the March 2005 adjustment factor shall be computed by dividing the "mean" index as calculated in Step 1 by the "mean" index for April 2004, and, if a new SDCP Fee has been adopted after January of the previous year, the adjustment factor shall use the "mean" index from the month that the fee was adopted.

Step 3 - The new SDCP Fee shall be calculated by multiplying the adjustment factor, as calculated in Step 2 by the SDCP Fee in place prior to the annual adjustment.

Fee Credit and Reimbursement Policies

As a new City, Rancho Cordova will now be required to levy, collect, and credit impact fees and process reimbursements to certain developers who build oversized facilities. In the current market, growth is anticipated to occur quite rapidly within the City, and a number of builders and developers will be constructing homes and non-residential buildings within the next several years. The City has developed a number of fee credit and reimbursement policies to prepare for this growth and to establish a set of procedures to guide implementation of the City's new impact fee program. These policies will be codified in the ordinance adopted by the City Council to set the fees in place, and the policies will be restated as part of individual fee credit and reimbursement agreements with developers who build facilities that are included in the City fee program.

Following is a general summary of the policies that will be adopted by the City Council as part of the impact fee ordinance. For purposes of this summary, "facility" means either a completed facility or a component thereof that has been built by a developer seeking fee credits or reimbursement.

- Policy 1. Fee credits and reimbursements will be granted to a developer who builds a public improvement based on the actual cost of the improvement, up to the cost that had been programmed into the fee program for that facility. City staff will review invoices, receipts, cancelled checks and other documentation to determine the actual cost incurred for a particular facility.
 - 1.1 The 10% cost contingency built into the programmed costs will be considered part of the programmed cost for which a developer can receive fee credits or reimbursements; the contingency will not be considered a cost overrun for purposes of applying these policies.
 - 1.2 The City will not be required to track soft costs, such as design, engineering, and inspection, specifically related to a particular facility. Instead, the City will multiply the net construction cost of the facility (i.e., not including the 10% cost contingency) by 21% to determine the soft costs that will be included in a fee credit or reimbursement. If the full construction cost of a facility does not qualify for credits or reimbursements, the 21% soft cost component will be multiplied by the net construction costs that do qualify for credit or reimbursement.

- 1.3 In conjunction with this policy, the City will update the fee program at least once each year to ensure that facility and land costs remain current and to reduce any disparity between programmed costs and actual costs. Fee updates may occur more than once a year if needed because of cost overruns (as discussed further below) or other changes that are needed to the fee program. In calculating the updated fee, the City will estimate and include the number of units that will <u>not</u> have building permits issued at the time the increased fee comes into effect.
- 1.4 The City will track cost savings for facilities that are built for less than the programmed cost. The cumulative amount of such cost savings will be available to offset future cost overruns that the City approves for reimbursement, as discussed further below.
- 1.5 The City reserves the right to make exceptions to this policy if there is a cost overrun that is outside the control of the City or the developer responsible for building the facility. Such a cost overrun may occur because of a new state or federal mandate, an increase in unit costs or land costs, increased City standards, or other reasons. For example, if a new state mandate results in an increase in the cost of a particular facility, the City may agree to enter into a fee credit/reimbursement agreement with the developer for the full cost of the facility. In doing so, the City will first determine whether there is a balance from cost savings on other facilities and apply the balance of such cost savings against the cost overrun. If such cost savings are insufficient to offset the cost overrun, the City will update the fee program and revise the programmed cost to correspond with the increased actual cost. In deciding whether to update the impact fees because of a cost overrun, the City will consider both the reason for the overrun and the impact on future development if the increased cost is fully incorporated in the updated fees.
- 1.6 If a developer requests a fee update to cover a cost overrun for a facility that he/she constructed, the credit/reimbursement agreement for the developer will state that the total cost paid for the facility (either through fee credits or reimbursements) will be reduced by an amount determined as follows:
 - P * (NF OF) = Reduction to fee credit/reimbursement amount, where:
 - P = Number of permits issued on the developer's property after the request is made to process a fee update and prior to the new fee becoming effective
 - NF = Amount of new fee adopted by Council after fee update
 - OF = Amount of old fee in place prior to the fee update

This calculation, in effect, subjects the developer's property to the new fees adopted by the Council as a result of the cost overrun.

- Prior to a developer commencing work on a facility that qualifies for fee credits or reimbursement, the developer will enter into a fee credit/reimbursement agreement with the City. Upon execution of the agreement, the developer will be granted fee credits equal to 80% of the programmed cost of the facility covered by the agreement. After acceptance by the City and reconciliation of the final facility cost, the remaining amount of fee credit will be granted to the developer. Unless the City makes an exception as discussed above, the remaining credit will be equal to the lesser of (i) the actual cost of the facility minus the credit already granted, or (ii) the remaining 20% of the programmed cost.
 - 2.1 If a developer uses up the initial 80% fee credit component prior to the City accepting the facility for which such credits were granted, the developer will be required to start paying fees on additional units for which building permits are issued. The City will hold the fee revenues on deposit for a period of one year after the first fees were paid by the developer. If the facility is completed and accepted by the City within one year, the developer will be paid up to the actual cost of the facility out of the fee revenues the City had collected from the developer; any remaining balance in the fee account will be used to offset future cost overruns. If the facility is not completed and accepted by the City within one year, the City will not be obligated to reimburse the developer for the remaining facility costs. At such time, revenues that had been deposited in the fee account will be available to apply to any authorized fee program costs.
- Policy 3. Fee credits will be issued to developers as an identified credit balance that can be applied as the developer chooses within a particular project. For example, if a developer that is building 500 residential units is granted a fee credit balance of \$1 million for constructing a roadway facility, the developer can take a \$2,000 credit against each of the 500 lots or a \$4,000 credit against the first 250 lots for which permits are issued.

A form will be used to track the assignment and transfer of fee credits among builders and developers. A developer will submit a completed form to the City, and such form will (i) reference the credit/reimbursement agreement pursuant to which the fee credits being applied were granted, (ii) identify the developer and assignee if the credits are being assigned to a builder or other party, (iii) identify the number of lots against which the credits will be applied, (iv) identify the fee credit balance before and after the transfer, and (v) include a map that identifies the lots against which the fee credits will be applied. The form will be signed by the developer, City, and any assignees that are part of the transaction and will be kept on file at the City to assist in tracking fee credits that have been applied.

- Policy 4. No inter-fund borrowing will be permitted. For example, if a developer qualifies for fee credits for constructing a roadway improvement, such credit will only be applied against the roadway impact fee. If the facility cost exceeds the roadway fees against which the developer can receive credits, the remaining balance will be reimbursed pursuant to Policy 5 below.
- Policy 5. The priority of reimbursements will directly correspond to a facility priority list that will be adopted by the City Council prior to the first fee credit/reimbursement agreement being executed by a developer in the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan. The facility priority list will likely have priority categories, within which multiple facilities will be at an equal priority with other facilities in that category. Within a particular category, reimbursements will be paid on a first-in/first-paid basis based on the date on which the City accepts each facility. Staff may amend the facility priority list in future years at the direction of the City engineer.
- As discussed in this report, an administrative fee will be collected by the City prior to issuance of a building permit for the unit. In addition, a Fee Program Update fee has been calculated to cover costs associated with updating the fees. No credits will be issued against the administrative fee or the Fee Program Update fee.

The policies set forth above are intended to establish guidelines, while allowing flexibility for the City to respond to unique situations on a case-by-case basis. The policies may be updated over time if the City determines that changes are warranted to facilitate administration of the program or improve the overall distribution of facility costs among landowners. Ultimately, the policies are intended to ensure that funding for public facilities is provided in a timely manner and costs are fairly allocated among property owners that are conditioned to provide the improvements.

Fee Implementation

According to California Government Code, prior to levying a new fee or increasing an existing fee, an agency must hold at least one open and public meeting. At least 10 days prior to this meeting, the agency must make data on infrastructure costs and funding sources available to the public. Notice of the time and place of the meeting, and a general explanation of the matter, are to be published in accordance with Section 6062a of the Government Code, which states that publication of notice shall occur, for 10 days in a newspaper regularly published once a week or more. The City may then adopt the new fees at the second reading.

The SDCP Fee Program will be adopted through a City ordinance. Once the SDCP Fee is adopted by the City Council, it shall become effective no sooner than sixty days later, unless an urgency measure is adopted. An urgency measure is an interim authorization that waives the sixty-day waiting period and allows the new fees to be collected immediately if a finding of a current and immediate threat to the public health, welfare and safety can be demonstrated. The interim authorization requires a four-fifths vote of the City Council and stays in effect for thirty days; no more than two extensions of the authorization can be granted.

Annual Administrative Duties

The Government Code requires the City to report, every year and every fifth year, certain financial information regarding the impact fees. Within 180 days after the last day of each fiscal year the City must make the following information available for the past fiscal year:

- (a) A brief description of the type of fee in the account or fund
- (b) The amount of fee revenue
- (c) The beginning and ending balance of the account or fund
- (d) The amount of fee revenue collected and interest earned
- (e) An identification of each public improvement on which fees were expended and the amount of expenditures on each improvement, including the total percentage of the cost of public improvement that was funded with fees
- (f) An identification of an approximate date by which time construction on the improvement will commence if the local agency determines that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an incomplete public improvement
- (g) A description of each interfund transfer or loan made from the account or fund, when it will be repaid and at what interest rate
- (h) The amount of any refunds made once it is determined that sufficient monies have been collected to fund all projects

The City must make this information available for public review and must also present it at the next regularly scheduled public meeting not less than 15 days after this information is made available to the public.

Fifth-Year Administrative Duties

For the fifth year following the first deposit into the fee account and every five years thereafter, the City must make the following findings with respect to any remaining funds in the fee accounts:

- (a) Identify the purpose to which the fee is to be put
- (b) Demonstrate a reasonable relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged
- (c) Identify all sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing incomplete improvements
- (d) Designate the approximate dates on which funding is expected to be deposited into the appropriate accounts or funds

As with the annual report, the five-year report must be made public within 180 days after the end of the City's fiscal year and must be reviewed at the next regularly scheduled public meeting. The City must make these findings; otherwise the law states that the City must refund the fee revenue to the then current owners of the development project.

APPENDIX A

Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Fee Program Calculations

Table A-1
Land Uses and Demographics For the SunRidge Specific Plan Area

Residential Land Uses	Gross Acres	Adjusted Gross Acres (1)	Dwelling Units	Population per Household	Total Population	
Single Family Residential		1,727.0	1,640.7	8,170	2.88	23,530
Multifamily Residential	_	75.0	71.3	1,222	2.25	2,749
Total		1,802.0	1,711.9	9,392		26,279
Non-Residential Land Uses	Building Intensity (Avg FAR)	Gross Acres	Adjusted Gross Acres (1)	Building Square Footage	Employees per Acre	Total Employees
Office	0.30	89.5	85.0	1,111,107	37.3	3,175
Commercial	0.25	54.1	51.4	559,692	21.8	1,119
	_					

⁽¹⁾ Developable acreage, dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation.

Table A-2
Infrastructure Costs and Funding Sources

		FUNDING SOURCES							
		Sacramento							
	Total	County	Mather	Vineyard	Dev. Fee/	Future SDCP	SDCP Fee		
Capital Facility	Cost	TIP	TIP	CIP	Measure A	Development	Program		
Roadway Improvements	\$146,303,214	\$2,537,176	\$2,111,130	\$690,923	\$10,375,822	\$26,403,000	\$104,185,163		
Transit Shuttle System	\$1,100,000						\$1,100,000		
Supplemental Offsite Water	\$4,348,346						\$4,348,346		
Interim Sewer	\$4,864,819						\$4,864,819		
Park Development	\$29,457,524						\$29,457,524		
Library	\$10,340,805					\$5,693,805	\$4,647,000		
Fee Program Updates	\$600,000						\$600,000		
Total Cost	\$197,014,708	\$2,537,176	\$2,111,130	\$690,923	\$10,375,822	\$32,096,805	\$149,202,852		

Source: Wood Rogers; Goodwin Consulting Group

Table A-3 SDCP Roadway Fee Calculation

Total Roadway Cost: \$104,185,163

Land Use	Total Acres	Total Units (1)	EDU Factor (2)	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Cost Allocation	SDCP Roadway Fee
Residential			per acre				per unit
Single Family Residential	1,640.7	8,170	4.50	7,383	73.1%	\$76,193,375	\$9,326
Multifamily Residential	71.3	1,222	10.20	727	7.2%	\$7,500,216	\$6,139
Nonresidential		Bldg SF (3)	per acre				per Bldg SF
Office	85.0	1,111,107	13.50	1,148	11.4%	\$11,845,930	\$10.66
Commercial	51.4	559,692	16.30	838	8.3%	\$8,645,642	\$15.45
Total	1,848.3	-	-	10,095	100.0%	\$104,185,163	_

⁽¹⁾ Dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation issues.

Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

⁽²⁾ EDU factors are based on the Sacramento County's Elk Grove/West Vineyard Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Fee Program.

⁽³⁾ Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

Table A-4
SDCP Transit Shuttle Fee Calculation

Total Transit Shuttle Cost: \$1,100,000

Land Use	Total Acres	Total Units (1)	EDU Factor (2)	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Cost Allocation	Transit Shuttle Fee
Residential			per acre				per unit
Single Family Residential Multifamily Residential	1,640.7 71.3	8,170 1,222	4.40 38.10	7,219 2,715	45.7% 17.2%	\$503,070 \$189,178	\$62 \$155
Nonresidential		Bldg SF (3)	per acre				per Bldg SF
Office Commercial	85.0 51.4	1,111,107 559,692	36.90 52.80	3,137 2,714	19.9% 17.2%	\$218,642 \$189,110	\$0.20 \$0.34
Total	1,848.3	-	-	15,785	100.0%	\$1,100,000	

SDCP

Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

⁽¹⁾ Dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation issues.

⁽²⁾ EDU factors are based on the Sacramento County's Elk Grove/West Vineyard Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Fee Program.

⁽³⁾ Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

Table A-5
SDCP Supplemental Offsite Water Fee Calculation

Total Offsite Water Cost: \$4,348,346

Land Use	Total Acres	Total Units (1)	EDU Factor (2)	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Cost Allocation	Offsite Water Fee
Residential			per unit				per unit
Single Family Residential	1,640.7	8,170	1.00	8,170	84.8%	\$3,688,346	\$451
Multifamily Residential	71.3	1,222	0.75	916	9.5%	\$413,652	\$339
Nonresidential		Bldg SF (3)	per acre				per Bldg SF
Office	85.0	1,111,107	4.00	340	3.5%	\$153,538	\$0.14
Commercial	51.4	559,692	4.00	206	2.1%	\$92,809	\$0.17
Total	1,848.3	-	-	9,632	100.0%	\$4,348,346	-

SDCP

Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

⁽¹⁾ Dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation issues.

⁽²⁾ EDU factors are based on the Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40 fee program.

⁽³⁾ Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

Table A-6
SDCP Interim Sewer Fee Calculation

Total Interim Sewer Cost: \$4,864,819

Land Use	Total Acres	Total Units (1)	EDU Factor (2)	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Cost Allocation	Interim Sewer Fee
Residential			per unit				per unit
Single Family Residential	1,640.7	8,170	1.00	8,170	87.2%	\$4,244,297	\$519
Multifamily Residential	71.3	1,222	0.75	916	9.8%	\$476,003	\$390
Nonresidential		Bldg SF (3)	per acre				per Bldg SF
Office	85.0	1,111,107	2.61	222	2.4%	\$115,443	\$0.10
Commercial	51.4	559,692	1.09	56	0.6%	\$29,076	\$0.05
Total	1,848.3	-	-	9,364	100.0%	\$4,864,819	-

SDCP

Source: Goodwin Consulting Group

⁽¹⁾ Dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation issues.

⁽²⁾ EDU factors are based on SRCSD fee program.

⁽³⁾ Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

Table A-7
SDCP Park Development Fee Calculation

Total Park Development Cost: \$29,457,524

Land Use	Total Units (1)	Persons Per Household or Empl./Acre	EDU Factor	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Total Cost	SDCP Park Fee
Residential		per unit	per unit				per unit
Single Family Residential Multifamily Residential	8,170 1,222	2.88 2.25	1.00 0.78	8,170 954	87.6% 10.2%	\$25,811,290 \$3,015,381	\$3,159 \$2,468
Nonresidential	Bldg SF (1)	per acre (2)	per acre				per Bldg SF
Office Commercial	1,111,107 559,692	5.00 2.92	1.74 1.01	148 52	1.6% 0.6%	\$466,397 \$164,455	\$0.42 \$0.29
Total	-	-	-	9,324	100.0%	\$29,457,524	

⁽¹⁾ Developable acreage, dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation.

Source: Cordova Recreation and Park District; Goodwin Consulting Group

⁽²⁾ Assumes a resident has the potential to use parks 56 hours per week (7 days x 8 hours/day) while an employee has the potential to use parks 7.5 hrs per day (5 days x 1.5 hours/day); therefore, the employees per acre estimate is reduced so that one employee = 0.134 residents (7.5/56 = 0.134).

Table A-8 SDCP Library Fee Calculation

Library Cost For SDCP:	\$9,110,900
Financing Cost (1)	<u>\$1,229,905</u>
Subtotal	\$10,340,805
Percent Allocated to SRSP (2)	45%
Total Cost For SRSP:	\$4,647,000

Land Use	Total Units (3)	Persons Per Household	EDU Factor	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Total Cost	SDCP Library Fee
Residential			per unit				per unit
Single Family Residential Multifamily Residential	8,170 1,222	2.88 2.25	1.00 0.78	8,170 954	89.5% 10.5%	\$4,160,906 \$486,094	\$509 \$398
Nonresidential			per acre				
Office Commercial	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A
Total	9,392	-	-	9,124	100.0%	\$4,647,000	-

⁽¹⁾ A financing cost was estimated assuming an average interest rate of 6.0%, a 15 year bond term, and an annual inflation factor of 3.0%; approximately 55% of the total facility cost was assumed to be debt financed.

Source: Goodwin Consulting Group

⁽²⁾ The remaining 55% of the Library Cost is allocated to the remaining development in SDCP outside of the SRSP area.

⁽³⁾ Dwelling units and building square footage have been reduced by 5.0% from the amounts in the Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan to account for the potential loss due to wetland mitigation issues.

Table A-9 SDCP Fee Program Update Fee

Total Estimated Cost: \$600,000

Land Use	Total Acres	Total Units or Square Feet	Total EDUs	Percent Allocation	Total Cost	SDCP Fee Program Update Fee
Residential						per unit
Single Family Residential Multifamily Residential	1,640.7 71.3	8,170 1,222	1,640.7 71.3	88.8% 3.9%	\$532,586 \$23,129	\$65 \$19
Nonresidential		Bldg SF (1)				per Bldg SF
Office Commercial	85.0 51.4	1,111,107 559,692	85.0 51.4	4.6% 2.8%	\$27,601 \$16,684	\$0.02 \$0.03
Total	1,848.3	-	1,848.3	100.0%	\$600,000	-

⁽¹⁾ Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

Source: Goodwin Consulting Group

APPENDIX B Facilities Cost Summaries

PROJECT NUMBER	ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON-SITE or OFF-SITE	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	% FUNDED BY OTHERS	FUNDING SOURCE	REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE	NET COST	CUMULATIVE TOTAL COST
1.	Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,475	LF	\$679	\$3,038,943			\$0	\$3,038,943	\$3,038,943
2.	Douglas Road: Jaeger Road to Americanos Road	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	5,405	LF	\$639	\$3,452,221			\$0	\$3,452,221	\$6,491,163
3.	Douglas Road: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	3,355	LF	\$657	\$2,204,472			\$0	\$2,204,472	\$8,695,635
4.	Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard	6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$2,442,122	\$2,442,122			\$0	\$2,442,122	\$11,137,757
5.	Douglas Road at Americanos Boulevard	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$2,254,198	\$2,254,198			\$0	\$2,254,198	\$13,391,955
6.	Douglas Road at Jaeger Road	6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,697,498	\$1,697,498			\$0	\$1,697,498	\$15,089,453
7.	Douglas Road at Grantline Road	6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,304,413	\$1,304,413			\$0	\$1,304,413	\$16,393,866
8.	Douglas Road at Zinfandel	Add through lanes on north and southbound approaches	OFF	1	LS	\$141,120	\$141,120			\$0	\$141,120	\$16,534,986
9.	Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	3,100	LF	\$619	\$1,918,901	15%	County TIP	\$287,835	\$1,631,066	\$18,166,052
10.	Sunrise Boulevard: Pyramid Road to Kiefer Boulevard	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	7,400	LF	\$649	\$4,800,014	15%	County TIP	\$720,002	\$4,080,012	\$22,246,064
11.	Sunrise Boulevard: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	5,950	LF	\$698	\$4,150,922	15%	County TIP	\$622,638	\$3,528,284	\$25,774,348
12.	Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard	6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,915,481	\$1,915,481			\$0	\$1,915,481	\$27,689,829
13.	Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,515,790	\$1,515,790	FLAT	Mather Field Tip	\$98,550	\$1,417,240	\$29,107,069
14.	Sunrise Boulevard at SR 16	6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$575,000	\$575,000	FLAT (\$86,250 Mather)	Dev. Fee Meas. A, Mather CIP	\$575,000	\$0	\$29,107,069
15.	Sunrise Boulevard at Grant Line Road	6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization (incl. 2 lane stub to south)	OFF	1	LS	\$1,716,753	\$1,716,753	FLAT	Vineyard CIP	\$690,923	\$1,025,830	\$30,132,899
16.	Sunrise Boulevard at Folsom Boulevard	Add free right-turn lane on eastbound approach	OFF	1	LS	\$134,400	\$134,400			\$0	\$134,400	\$30,267,299
17.	Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,300	LF	\$602	\$2,587,825			\$0	\$2,587,825	\$32,855,124
18.	Grantline Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	8,500	LF	\$610	\$5,180,787			\$0	\$5,180,787	\$38,035,911
19.	Grantline Road: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	8,650	LF	\$542	\$4,685,530			\$0	\$4,685,530	\$42,721,441
20.	Grantline Road at Chrysanthy Boulevard	6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,139,182	\$1,139,182			\$0	\$1,139,182	\$43,860,623
21.	Grantline Road at Kiefer Boulevard	6x4x2 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$953,250	\$953,250			\$0	\$953,250	\$44,813,873
22.	Grantline Road at SR 16	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$1,603,486	\$1,603,486	100%	Dev. Fee Meas. A	\$1,603,486	\$0	\$44,813,873

TABLE B-1

ROADWAY IMPROVEMENTS
FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

PROJECT NUMBER	ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON-SITE or OFF-SITE	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	% FUNDED BY OTHERS	FUNDING SOURCE	REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE	NET COST	CUMULATIVE TOTAL COST
23.	Grantline Road at White Rock Road	Add additional exclusive left turn lane (White Rock Road) and signalizaton	OFF	1	LS	\$254,039	\$254,039			\$0	\$254,039	\$45,067,912
24.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,550	LF	\$409	\$1,861,004			\$0	\$1,861,004	\$46,928,916
25.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	4,980	LF	\$409	\$2,036,882			\$0	\$2,036,882	\$48,965,798
26.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,387	LF	\$408	\$1,791,969			\$0	\$1,791,969	\$50,757,766
27.	Chrysanthy Boulevard at Jaeger Road	4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,679,152	\$1,679,152			\$0	\$1,679,152	\$52,436,918
28.	Chrysanthy Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard	4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,388,869	\$1,388,869			\$0	\$1,388,869	\$53,825,787
29.	Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, CP Boundary to SP Boundary	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	2,430	LF	\$396	\$961,574			\$0	\$961,574	\$54,787,361
30.	Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, SP Boundary to Douglas Road	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,130	LF	\$500	\$2,064,285			\$0	\$2,064,285	\$56,851,646
31.	Americanos Boulevard: South of Douglas Road to SP Boundary	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	2,450	LF	\$409	\$1,002,049			\$0	\$1,002,049	\$57,853,694
32.	Americanos Boulevard: SP Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	2,100	LF	\$409	\$858,939			\$0	\$858,939	\$58,712,634
33.	Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	6,060	LF	\$405	\$2,451,387			\$0	\$2,451,387	\$61,164,020
34.	Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	4,410	LF	\$406	\$1,790,490			\$0	\$1,790,490	\$62,954,510
35.	Kiefer Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	4,350	LF	\$433	\$1,882,477			\$0	\$1,882,477	\$64,836,987
36.	Kiefer Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	800	LF	\$432	\$345,300			\$0	\$345,300	\$65,182,287
37.	Kiefer Boulevard at Jaeger Road	4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	ON	1	LS	\$1,420,968	\$1,420,968			\$0	\$1,420,968	\$66,603,255
38.	Kiefer Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard	4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$1,186,945	\$1,186,945			\$0	\$1,186,945	\$67,790,200
39.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Wetland Preserve	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	1,550	LF	\$409	\$633,935			\$0	\$633,935	\$68,424,135
40.	Jaeger Road: Adjacent to the Wetland Preserve	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	2,831	LF	\$402	\$1,139,061			\$0	\$1,139,061	\$69,563,196
41.	Jaeger Road: Wetland Preserve to Kiefer Boulevard	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	3,738	LF	\$409	\$1,528,892			\$0	\$1,528,892	\$71,092,087
42.	Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Blvd.	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	ON	2,387	LF	\$409	\$976,288			\$0	\$976,288	\$72,068,375

PROJECT NUMBER	ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON-SITE or OFF-SITE	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	% FUNDED BY OTHERS	FUNDING SOURCE	REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE	NET COST	CUMULATIVE TOTAL COST
43.	Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Mather Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard	Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	OFF	1,480	LF	\$195	\$289,219	15%	County TIP	\$43,383	\$245,836	\$72,314,211
44.	Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to southerly Anatolia II boundary	Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	OFF	7,419	LF	\$195	\$1,449,874	15%	County TIP	\$217,481	\$1,232,393	\$73,546,603
45.	Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Anatolia II boundary to Kiefer Boulevard	Easterly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	ON	3,667	LF	\$195	\$716,651			\$0	\$716,651	\$74,263,254
46.	Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard	Northerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	ON	1,590	LF	\$186	\$296,037			\$0	\$296,037	\$74,559,291
47.	Jaeger Boulevard: Frontage adjacent to preserve	Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	ON	2,831	LF	\$186	\$527,121			\$0	\$527,121	\$75,086,412
48.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Adjacent to Laguna Creek (Cost contained in Improvement 20)	Northerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	ON	359	LF	\$0	\$0			\$0	\$0	\$75,086,412
49.	Grantline Road: Adjacent to Laguna Creek (450' contained in Improvement 20)	Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.	ON	450	LF	\$193	\$86,699			\$0	\$86,699	\$75,173,111
50a.	Sunrise Boulevard: Sunrise Park Road to Douglas Boulevard	Outside Travel Lanes	OFF	4,200	LF	\$411	\$1,724,806			\$0	\$1,724,806	\$76,897,916
50b.	Folsom South Canal Trail Access	Connect bike trail at Douglas Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard	OFF	1	LS	\$200,000	\$200,000			\$0	\$200,000	\$77,097,916
50c.	Folsom South Canal Trail Access	Connect bike trail at Kiefer Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard	OFF	1	LS	\$200,000	\$200,000			\$0	\$200,000	\$77,297,916
51.	Douglas Boulevard: 1500' East of Sunrise Blvd. to Sunrise Blvd.	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	1,050	LF	\$655	\$687,784			\$0	\$687,784	\$77,985,700
52.	SR 16 at Bradshaw Road	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$1,463,922	\$1,463,922			\$0	\$1,463,922	\$79,449,622
53.	SR 16 at Eagle's Nest Road	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$1,516,724	\$1,516,724			\$0	\$1,516,724	\$80,966,346
54.	SR 16 at Excelsior Road	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$1,519,369	\$1,519,369			\$0	\$1,519,369	\$82,485,715
55.	Mather Field at Folsom Boulevard	Add Eastbound through-lane and dual exclusive left-turn lanes on N $\&$ S approaches.	OFF	1	LS	\$431,200	\$431,200			\$0	\$431,200	\$82,916,915
56.	Sunrise Boulevard at Florin Road	Intersection widening and signalization (incl. Protected left-turn lanes on Sunrise)	OFF	1	LS	\$645,837	\$645,837	100%	County TIP	\$645,837	\$0	\$82,916,915
57.	Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard	Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$402,000	\$402,000			\$0	\$402,000	\$83,318,915
58.	Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road	Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$603,000	\$603,000			\$0	\$603,000	\$83,921,915
59.	Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Kiefer	Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$844,800	\$844,800			\$0	\$844,800	\$84,766,715
60.	Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard	Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way & 1 4- way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$818,400	\$818,400			\$0	\$818,400	\$85,585,115
61.	Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard	Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$402,000	\$402,000			\$0	\$402,000	\$85,987,115
62.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road	Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections)	ON	1	LS	\$844,800	\$844,800			\$0	\$844,800	\$86,831,915
63a.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$86,962,255

PROJECT NUMBER	ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON-SITE or OFF-SITE	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	% FUNDED BY OTHERS	FUNDING SOURCE	REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE	NET COST	CUMULATIVE TOTAL COST
63b.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$266	\$26,068			\$0	\$26,068	\$86,988,323
63c.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$665	\$65,170			\$0	\$65,170	\$87,053,493
64.	Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$87,183,833
65a.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$665	\$65,170			\$0	\$65,170	\$87,249,003
65b.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$266	\$26,068			\$0	\$26,068	\$87,275,071
65c.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$266	\$26,068			\$0	\$26,068	\$87,301,139
65d.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	ON	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$87,431,479
66.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$87,561,819
67a.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$665	\$65,170			\$0	\$65,170	\$87,626,989
67b.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$266	\$26,068			\$0	\$26,068	\$87,653,057
67c.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$87,783,397
67d.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$1,330	\$130,340			\$0	\$130,340	\$87,913,737
70a.	SR 16: Bradshaw Road to Excelsior Road	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	21,100	LF	\$368	\$7,768,047	76%	Dev. Fee, Meas. A	\$5,903,716	\$1,864,331	\$89,778,068
70b.	SR 16: Excelsior Road to Sunrise Boulevard	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	14,150	LF	\$787	\$11,131,490	FLAT	Mather Field CIP	\$554,580	\$10,576,910	\$100,354,978
70c.	SR 16: Sunrise to Grantline Road	6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	4,700	LF	\$756	\$3,552,045	67%	Dev. Fee, Meas. A	\$2,379,870	\$1,172,175	\$101,527,153
71.	Kiefer Boulevard: Eagles Nest to Sunrise	Widen 2-lane aterial	OFF	4,650	LF	\$295	\$1,371,750	FLAT	Mather Field CIP	\$1,371,750	\$0	\$101,527,153
72a.	Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 - Initial planning and environmental work	Initial planning and environmental work	OFF	1	LS	\$1,000,000	\$1,000,000			\$0	\$1,000,000	\$102,527,153
72b.	Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage)	OFF	20,200	LF	\$1,307	\$26,403,000	100%	Others	\$26,403,000	\$0	\$102,527,153
73.	Zinfandel Drive at International Drive	Intersection Signalization - 4-way Signalization	OFF	1	LS	\$229,500	\$229,500			\$0	\$229,500	\$102,756,653
74a.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,350	\$159,300			\$0	\$159,300	\$102,915,953
74b.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$675	\$79,650			\$0	\$79,650	\$102,995,603
74c.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$675	\$66,150			\$0	\$66,150	\$103,061,753
74d.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,350	\$159,300			\$0	\$159,300	\$103,221,053
74e.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,350	\$159,300			\$0	\$159,300	\$103,380,353
74f.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$675	\$79,650			\$0	\$79,650	\$103,460,003

PROJECT NUMBER	ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	ON-SITE or OFF-SITE	QUANTITY	UNITS	UNIT COST	TOTAL ESTIMATED COST	% FUNDED BY OTHERS	FUNDING SOURCE	REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE	NET COST	CUMULATIVE TOTAL COST
74g.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$270	\$31,860			\$0	\$31,860	\$103,491,863
74h.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	98	LF	\$1,350	\$132,300			\$0	\$132,300	\$103,624,163
76a.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,585	\$187,000			\$0	\$187,000	\$103,811,163
76b.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,585	\$187,000			\$0	\$187,000	\$103,998,163
76c.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road	Drainage Culverts over existing water courses	OFF	118	LF	\$1,585	\$187,000			\$0	\$187,000	\$104,185,163
										Total Roadway Improvem	<u>ients</u>	<u>\$104,185,163</u>

TABLE B-2 TRANSIT SHUTTLE SYSTEM

Facility	Quantity Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
Transit Shuttle System Costs Quantity: Lump Sum			
Shuttle Acquisition	20 EA	\$55,000.00	\$1,100,000
Total Cost			\$1,100,000

TABLE B-3 OFFSITE WATER

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

	Improvement	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1.	Vineyard Well Field Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Well Field Cost ¹ Total Cost Zone 40 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$1,351,000.00 <u> </u>	\$1,351,000 \$1,351,000 \$925,000 \$426,000
2.	Excelsior Raw Water Line Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Raw Water Line Cost ¹ Total Cost Zone 40 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$9,149,000.00 <u> </u>	\$9,149,000 \$9,149,000 \$7,223,000 \$1,926,000
3.	Anatolia Groundwater Treatment Plant Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Treatment Plant Cost ¹ Total Cost Zone 40 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$11,877,000.00 <u> </u>	\$11,877,000 \$11,877,000 \$10,051,000 \$1,826,000
4.	Folsom South Canal Crossing: Water Costs Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Construction Costs Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 2 Cost Contingency, 10% Total Cost Zone 40 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost		LS	\$776,600.00 - -	\$776,600 \$776,600 \$7,766 \$155,320 \$77,660 \$1,017,346 \$878,000 \$139,346
5.	Water Studies Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Water Studies Total Cost	1	LS	\$31,000.00	\$31,000 \$31,000
	Total Offsite Water Improvements				
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Vineyard Well Field Excelsior Raw Water Line Anatolia Groundwater Treatment Plant Folsom South Canal Crossing: Water Costs Water Studies Total Cost			-	\$426,000 \$1,926,000 \$1,826,000 \$139,346 \$31,000 \$4,348,346

Notes:

1. Based on developer estmate, cost includes anticipated soft costs.

TABLE B-4 INTERIM SEWER

	Improvement	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1.	8" Sewer Force Main: Kiefer Boulevard lift station to Chry Quantity: 11,200 LF	santhy Bou	ulevar	d outfall	
	8" Sewer Force Main Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% Cost Contingency, 10% Total Cost	11,200	LF	\$40.00_ 	\$448,000 \$448,000 \$4,480 \$89,600 \$44,800 \$586,880
2.	Kiefer Boulevard Lift Station: 0.94 MGD capacity Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Lift Station Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% Cost Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1	LS	\$846,000.00 <u> </u>	\$846,000 \$846,000 \$8,460 \$169,200 \$84,600 \$1,108,260
3.	18" Sewer Force Main: Chrysanthy Boulevard lift station Quantity: Lump Sum	to Mayhew	Road	outfall	
	Force Main Cost ¹ Total Cost CSD-1 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$5,815,000.00_ -	\$5,815,000 \$5,815,000 \$4,811,000 \$1,004,000
4.	Chrysanthy Boulevard Lift Station: 5.75 MGD capacity Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Lift Station Cost ¹ Total Cost CSD-1 Reimbursement Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$1,802,000.00_ -	\$1,802,000 \$1,802,000 \$1,239,000 \$563,000
5.	6" Sewer Force Main: Douglas Boulevard lift station to Cl Quantity: 5,100 LF	nrysanthy E	Boulev	ard outfall	
	6" Sewer Force Main Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% Cost Contingency, 10% Total Cost	5,100	LF	\$30.00 __	\$153,000 \$153,000 \$1,530 \$30,600 \$15,300 \$200,430
6.	Douglas Boulevard Lift Station: 0.28 MGD capacity Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Lift Station Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% Cost Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1	LS	\$564,000.00_ -	\$564,000 \$564,000 \$5,640 \$112,800 \$56,400 \$738,840

TABLE B-4 INTERIM SEWER

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

7. Folsom South Canal Crossing: Sewer Cos	7.	Folsom South	Canal Crossing:	Sewer Cost
---	----	--------------	------------------------	-------------------

Quantity: Lump Sum

Construction Costs	1	LS	\$758,000.00	\$758,000
Subtotal				\$758,000
Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$7,580
Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20%				\$151,600
Cost Contingency, 10%				\$75,800
Total Cost				\$992,980
CSD-1 Reimbursement				\$846,000
Total Funded Cost				\$146,980

8. Chrysanthy Boulevard Trunk Sewer Quantity: Lump Sum

Trunk Sewer Costs	1	LS	\$1,163,706.25	\$1,163,706
Subtotal			_	\$1,163,706
Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$11,637
Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20%				\$232,741
Cost Contingency, 10%				\$116,371
Total Cost			_	\$1,524,455
CSD-1 Reimbursement				\$1,038,026
Total Funded Cost			_	\$486,429

9. Sewer Studies

Quantity: Lump Sum

Sewer Studies	1	LS	\$30,000.00	\$30,000
Total Cost			-	\$30.000

Total Offsite Interim Sewer Improvements

1.	Kiefer 8" Sewer Force Main	\$586,880
2.	Kiefer Boulevard Lift Station	\$1,108,260
3.	18" Sewer Force Main	\$1,004,000
4.	Chrysanthy Boulevard Lift Station	\$563,000
5.	Sunrise 6" Force Main	\$200,430
6.	Douglas Boulevard Lift Station	\$738,840
7.	Folsom South Canal Crossing (Sewer)	\$146,980
8.	Chrysanthy Trunk Sewer	\$486,429
9.	Sewer Studies	\$30,000
	Total Cost	\$4,864,819

Notes:

1. Based on developer estmate, cost includes anticipated soft costs.

Table B-5 Cordova Recreation and Park District Park Development Costs

Summary of	Basic	Park II	mprovements
------------	-------	---------	-------------

On-Site Improvements	94.4 net acres		\$7,379,340
Tot Lots	11 @		\$1,290,000
Street Frontage	14,666 lineal feet@	\$212	3,109,192
Sı	\$11,778,532		

Other Recreational Improvement	Quantity	Unit Cost	Total
Basketball Half Court	7 each	\$25,000	\$175,000
Basketball Full Court	4 each	40,000	160,000
Dog Park (water, fencing, DG, table, shade & waste)	1 each	50,000	50,000
Large Group Picnic Areas serving 100	1		
Shade structures, concrete and lighting	1 each	40,000	40,000
Barbeques, sink and counter space	1 each	8,500	8,500
Picnic tables	13 each	850	11,050
Small Group Picnic Areas serving 36	9		
Sm. Shade structures, concrete and lighting	9 each	28,000	252,000
Barbeques, sink and counter space	9 each	4,500	40,500
Picnic tables	48 each	850	40,800
Offstreet parking	518 stall	1,400	725,200
Pond / water features	2	100,000	200,000
Restrooms	6 each	110,000	660,000
Soccer fieldregulation size	3 each	4,000	12,000
Soccer fieldsyouth	10 each	3,500	35,000
Softball fieldGirls, lighted, snack bar & storage	4 each	100,000	400,000
Softball fields-practice-backstops & benches	6 each	50,000	300,000
Baseball fields-little league, four lighted, backstops,	4 each	110,000	440,000
Baseball fields - little league, unlighted, backstops, e	2 each	40,000	80,000
Tennis courtslighted	6 pair	100,000	600,000
Volleyball courtsand	2 each	10,000	20,000
Adding Gymnasiums to elementary school sites	2 each	300,000	600,000
Subtotal Other Rec	reational Improver	ments	\$4,850,050
New Projects Shared Facilities			
Adding an additional Gym to middle school	52% each	530,000	275,600
Corporation yard	52% each	600,000	312,000
Office space (4,000 sf. @ \$125 sf)	52% each	500,000	260,000
Skate Park	52% each	0	200,000
Sports Park (40 acres lighted facilities)	52% each	\$10,000,000	5,200,000
Community Center (30,000 sf. @ \$312 sf / wf)	52% each	10,540,000	5,480,800
Aquatic Center	52% each	0	0,400,000
Subtotal Shared Fa		<u> </u>	\$11,528,400
Multi-use Trail			ψ,525,100
Multi-use Trail	100%	1,300,542	1,300,542
Subtotal Multi-use		.,,	\$1,300,542
			. , ,

Grand Total with Street Frontage Improvements

\$29,457,524

TABLE B-6 LIBRARY COSTS

Improvement	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
SDCP Library Costs				
Quantity: Lump Sum				
Library Building	20,000	SF	\$240.00	\$4,800,000
Land Cost	137,180	SF	\$5.00	\$685,900
Site work, permits, and construction management	1	LS	\$1,500,000.00	\$1,500,000
Furniture, fixtures, and equipment	1	LS	\$1,125,000.00	\$1,125,000
Initial book collection	1	LS	\$1,000,000.00	\$1,000,000
Total Cost			_	\$9,110,900

APPENDIX C

Detailed Roadway, Bike Trail and Land Acquisition Costs

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1.	Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road (excluding 4 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 4,475 LF				
	Intersection Signalization (Fire Station Signal) Clearing and Grubbing Traffic Signal Interconnect Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1 296,028 4,475 21,928 8,950 9,746 25,988 4,475 49,225 98,450 8,950	SF LF CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$170,000.00 \$0.15 \$10.00 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$44,404 \$44,750 \$263,136 \$223,750 \$506,792 \$597,724 \$35,800 \$172,288 \$147,675 \$44,750 \$2,251,069 \$0 \$90,043 \$22,511 \$450,214 \$225,107 \$3,038,943
2.	Douglas Road: Jaeger Road to Americanos Road (excluding 4 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 5,405 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing Traffic Signal Interconnect Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	357,548 5,405 26,485 10,810 11,771 31,389 5,405 59,455 135,125 10,810	LF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$0.15 \$10.00 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$53,632 \$54,050 \$317,820 \$270,250 \$612,092 \$721,947 \$43,240 \$208,093 \$202,688 \$54,050 \$2,537,861 \$26,108 \$101,514 \$25,379 \$507,572 \$253,786 \$3,452,221

ing 450' 1' paven	_	acetions)	
	nent and	I frontage)	
16,899 6,710 7,306 19,484 3,355 36,905 80,520	CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$0.15 \$10.00 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$34,221 \$33,550 \$202,788 \$167,750 \$379,912 \$448,132 \$26,840 \$129,168 \$120,780 \$33,550 \$1,576,691 \$75,939 \$63,068 \$15,767 \$315,338 \$157,669 \$2,204,472
ontage ro	oadway	improvements)	* -,=• ·, ·· -
1,800 394,090 25,844 3,136 1,666 1,666 612 5,898 15,728 1,800 1 9,996 2 1 45,205 7,168 55,736	LF SF CY LF LF TON TON LF LS SF EA LS SF SF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$21,600.00 \$3.75 \$735.00 \$18,000.00 \$3.50 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00_	\$170,000 \$18,000 \$59,114 \$310,128 \$78,400 \$18,326 \$21,658 \$31,824 \$306,696 \$361,744 \$39,600 \$21,600 \$37,485 \$1,470 \$18,000 \$158,218 \$25,088 \$83,604 \$7,260 \$1,768,214 \$55,033 \$70,729 \$17,682 \$353,643 \$176,821 \$2,442,122
3	3,355 16,899 6,710 7,306 19,484 3,355 36,905 80,520 6,710 ontage ro 1 1,800 94,090 25,844 3,136 1,666 1,666 612 5,898 15,728 1,800 1 9,996 2 1 45,205 7,168 55,736	3,355 LF 16,899 CY 6,710 LF 7,306 TON 19,484 TON 3,355 LF 36,905 SF 80,520 SF 6,710 LF ontage roadway 1 LS 1,800 LF 1,800 LF 1,666 LF 1,898 TON 15,728 TON 1,800 LF 1 LS 9,996 SF 2 EA 1 LS 45,205 SF	3,355 LF \$10.00 16,899 CY \$12.00 6,710 LF \$25.00 7,306 TON \$52.00 19,484 TON \$23.00 3,355 LF \$8.00 36,905 SF \$3.50 80,520 SF \$1.50 6,710 LF \$5.00 1 LS \$170,000.00 1,800 LF \$10.00 94,090 SF \$0.15 25,844 CY \$12.00 3,136 LF \$25.00 1,666 LF \$11.00 1,666 LF \$11.00 1,666 LF \$13.00 612 TON \$52.00 5,898 TON \$52.00 15,728 TON \$23.00 1,800 LF \$22.00 1 LS \$21,600.00 9,996 SF \$3.75 2 EA \$735.00 1 LS \$18,000.00 45,205 SF \$3.50 7,168 SF \$3.50 55,736 SF \$1.50

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
5.	Douglas Road at Americanos Boulevard (including 450' center 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	and fronta	ige roa	dway improvemer	nts)
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Traffic Signal Interconnect	1,350	_	\$10.00	\$13,500
	Clearing and Grubbing	256,513		\$0.15	\$38,477
	Roadway Excavation	12,117		\$12.00	\$145,404
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136		\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb (Type 3)	2,392		\$11.00	\$26,312
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	2,392		\$13.00	\$31,096
	6" Asphalt Concrete	5,217		\$52.00	\$271,284
	14" Aggregate Base	4,689		\$23.00	\$107,847
	16" Aggregate Base	8,551		\$23.00	\$196,673
	Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)	3,600		\$22.00	\$79,200
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$27,000.00	\$27,000
	Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family)	726	LF	\$70.00	\$50,820
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	14,754	SF	\$3.75	\$55,328
	Bus Pads	4	EA	\$735.00	\$2,940
	Street Lighting	1	LS	\$36,000.00	\$36,000
	Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor)	14,809	SF	\$3.50	\$51,832
	Frontage Landscaping (29' corridor)	45,205	SF	\$3.50	\$158,218
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168	SF	\$3.50	\$25,088
	Pavement Removal	22,500	SF	\$1.50 __	\$33,750
	Construction Subtotal				\$1,599,167
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$95,322
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$63,967
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$15,992
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%)			\$319,833
	Contingency, 10%			_	\$159,917
	Total Cost				\$2,254,198

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
6.	Douglas Road at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and parti- 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	al frontage	roadw	ay improvements)	
	Intersection Signalization Traffic Signal Interconnect Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb (Type 3) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Striping & Signage Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) Sidewalk (6' wide) Bus Pads Street Lighting Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition	1 1,350 169,544 9,933 2,352 1,666 1,666 4,314 2,573 7,911 1,800 1 1,452 9,996 2 1 29,621 5,376 22,500 900	LF SF CY LF LF TON TON LF LS LF SF EA LS SF SF	\$150,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$17,100.00 \$70.00 \$3.75 \$735.00 \$27,000.00 \$3.50 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$150,000 \$13,500 \$25,432 \$119,196 \$58,800 \$18,326 \$21,658 \$224,328 \$59,179 \$181,953 \$39,600 \$17,100 \$101,640 \$37,485 \$1,470 \$27,000 \$103,674 \$18,816 \$33,750 \$4,500 \$1,257,406 \$0
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	ò		-	\$50,296 \$12,574 \$251,481 \$125,741 \$1,697,498

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
7.	Douglas Road at Grantline Road (including 450' center and pa 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	artial fronta	ge road	way improvemen	ts)
	Intersection Signalization Traffic Signal Interconnect Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb (Type 3) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Striping & Signage Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) Sidewalk (6' wide) Bus Pads Street Lighting Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Pavement Removal Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1,350 106,469 6,791 2,352 832 256 3,036 8,089 900 1 726 4,994 1 14,801 5,376 7,184	SF CY LF LF TON TON TON LF LS LF SF EA LS SF SF	\$150,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$14,400.00 \$70.00 \$3.75 \$735.00 \$9,000.00 \$3.50 \$1.50	\$150,000 \$13,500 \$15,970 \$81,492 \$58,800 \$9,152 \$10,816 \$13,312 \$157,872 \$186,047 \$19,800 \$14,400 \$50,820 \$18,728 \$735 \$9,000 \$51,804 \$18,816 \$10,776 \$891,839 \$100,430 \$35,674 \$8,918 \$178,368 \$89,184 \$1,304,413
8.	Douglas Road at Zinfandel Add through lanes on north and southbound approaches Private Improvement				
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)	1	LS	\$141,120.00	\$141,120 \$141,120

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
9.	Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (e 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 3,100 LF				
	Traffic Signal Interconnect Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (15% County TIP) Total Funded Cost	3,100 210,800 15,615 6,200 6,028 16,074 3,100 34,100 105,400 6,200	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$31,000 \$31,620 \$187,380 \$155,000 \$313,456 \$369,702 \$24,800 \$119,350 \$158,100 \$31,000 \$1,421,408 \$56,856 \$14,214 \$284,282 \$142,141 \$1,918,901 \$287,835 \$1,631,066
10.	Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 7,400 LF				
	Traffic Signal Interconnect Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (15% County TIP) Total Funded Cost	7,400 489,510 36,260 14,800 16,116 42,975 7,400 81,400 266,400 3,283	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$74,000 \$73,427 \$435,120 \$370,000 \$838,032 \$988,425 \$59,200 \$284,900 \$399,600 \$16,415 \$3,539,119 \$22,204 \$141,565 \$353,911 \$707,824 \$353,912 \$4,800,014 \$720,002 \$4,080,012

Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
		d frontage)	
423,640 31,380 12,460 13,568 36,180 6,230 68,530 224,280 12,460	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$62,300 \$63,546 \$376,560 \$311,500 \$705,536 \$832,140 \$49,840 \$239,855 \$336,420 \$62,300 \$3,039,997 \$46,927 \$121,600 \$30,400 \$607,999 \$304,000 \$4,150,922 \$622,638 \$3,528,284
	@ intersed e 11' paver 6,230 423,640 31,380 12,460 13,568 36,180 6,230 68,530 224,280	6,230 LF 423,640 SF 31,380 CY 12,460 LF 13,568 TON 36,180 TON 6,230 LF 68,530 SF 224,280 SF 12,460 LF	@ intersections) e 11' pavement and frontage) 6,230 LF \$10.00 423,640 SF \$0.15 31,380 CY \$12.00 12,460 LF \$25.00 36,180 TON \$52.00 36,180 TON \$23.00 6,230 LF \$8.00 68,530 SF \$3.50 224,280 SF \$1.50 12,460 LF \$5.00

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
12.	Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' ce 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	nter and fro	ontage	roadway improve	ments)
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$150,000.00	\$150,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,350	_	\$10.00	\$13,500
	Clearing and Grubbing	202,943		\$0.15	\$30,441
	Roadway Excavation	9,898		\$12.00	\$118,776
	Curb (Type 5)	2,352		\$25.00	\$58,800
	Curb (Type 3)	2,459	LF	\$11.00	\$27,049
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	2,459	LF	\$13.00	\$31,967
	6" Asphalt Concrete	4,353	TON	\$52.00	\$226,356
	14" Aggregate Base	2,674	TON	\$23.00	\$61,502
	16" Aggregate Base	8,551	TON	\$23.00	\$196,673
	Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)	2,700	LF	\$22.00	\$59,400
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$20,700.00	\$20,700
	Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family)	726	LF	\$70.00	\$50,820
	Sidewalk (6' wide meandering)	14,754	SF	\$3.75	\$55,328
	Bus Pads	3	EA	\$735.00	\$2,205
	Street Lighting	1	LS	\$27,000.00	\$27,000
	Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor)	14,809	-	\$3.50	\$51,832
	Frontage Landscaping (29' corridor)	48,703		\$3.50	\$170,461
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	5,376		\$3.50	\$18,816
	Pavement Removal	31,500	SF	\$1.50 __	\$47,250
	Construction Subtotal				\$1,418,875
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$56,755
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$14,189
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%	, D			\$283,775
	Contingency, 10%			-	\$141,887
	Total Cost				\$1,915,481

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
13.	Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard (including 450' cente	r and partial f	frontage	e roadway improye	ements)
	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization				,
	Private Improvement				
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,800		\$10.00	\$18,000
	Clearing and Grubbing	144,606	SF	\$0.15	\$21,691
	Roadway Excavation	10,290	CY	\$12.00	\$123,480
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136		\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	1,302		\$13.00	\$16,926
	6" Asphalt Concrete	4,820	TON	\$52.00	\$250,640
	14" Aggregate Base	4,001	TON	\$23.00	\$92,023
	16" Aggregate Base	7,467	TON	\$23.00	\$171,741
	Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)	1,350	LF	\$22.00	\$29,700
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$16,200.00	\$16,200
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	7,812	SF	\$3.75	\$29,295
	Bus Pads	1	EA	\$735.00	\$735
	Street Lighting	1	_	\$13,500.00	\$13,500
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168	SF	\$3.50	\$25,088
	Pavement Removal	31,500	SF	\$1.50	\$47,250
	Roadside Ditch	2,178	LF	\$5.00_	\$10,890
	Construction Subtotal				\$1,115,559
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$9,785
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$44,622
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$11,156
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 2	0%			\$223,112
	Contingency, 10%			_	\$111,556
	Total Cost				\$1,515,790
	Portion Funded By Others (Mather Field TIP)			_	\$98,550
	Total Funded Cost				\$1,417,240
14.	6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Public Improvement	improvement	ts)		
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Improvements	1	LS	\$575,000.00	\$575,000
	Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)				\$575,000
	Portion Funded By Others (Development Fee Measure A/M	ather CIP)		_	\$575,000
	Total Funded Cost				\$0

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
15.	Sunrise Boulevard at Grant Line Road (including 450' center 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization (incl. 2 Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb (Type 3) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Striping & Signage Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Interim Improvements (Vineyard CIP) Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (Vineyard CIP) Total Funded Cost	1,350 80,095 4,242 2,352 214 214 801 2,152 5,738 2,700 1 1,284 5,376 12,428 2,178	SF CY LF LF TON TON TON LF LS SF SF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$10,800.00 \$3.75 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$13,500 \$12,014 \$50,904 \$58,800 \$2,354 \$2,782 \$41,652 \$111,904 \$131,974 \$59,400 \$10,800 \$4,815 \$18,642 \$10,890 \$719,247 \$690,923 \$54,847 \$28,770 \$7,192 \$143,849 \$71,925 \$1,716,753 \$690,923
16.	Sunrise Boulevard at Folsom Boulevard Add free right-turn lane on eastbound approach Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)	1	LS	\$134,400.00	\$134,400 \$134,400

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
17.	Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (exclu 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 4,300 LF				
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	8,027 21,404 4,300 47,300 68,800 8,150	CY LF TON TON TON LF SF SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$43,000 \$38,700 \$229,332 \$215,000 \$40,560 \$417,404 \$492,292 \$34,400 \$165,550 \$103,200 \$40,750 \$1,820,188 \$130,571 \$72,808 \$18,202 \$364,038 \$182,019 \$2,587,825
18.	Grantline Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard (exc 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 9,500 LF				
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	9,500 541,500 38,000 19,000 1,724 15,517 41,378 9,500 104,500 152,000 19,000	SF CY LF TON TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$95,000 \$81,225 \$456,000 \$475,000 \$89,648 \$806,884 \$951,694 \$76,000 \$365,750 \$228,000 \$95,000 \$3,720,201 \$158,516 \$148,808 \$37,202 \$744,040 \$372,020 \$5,180,787

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
19.	Grantline Road: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16 (excluding 46-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding Private Improvement Quantity: 8,275 LF	•	,	d frontage)	
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch	8,275 446,850 33,100 16,550 1,502 13,516 36,042 8,275 91,025 132,384 16,550	SF CY LF TON TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$82,750 \$67,028 \$397,200 \$413,750 \$78,104 \$702,832 \$828,966 \$66,200 \$318,588 \$198,576 \$82,750
	Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, and Surveying, 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	10,000	Li	φ3.00 <u>-</u>	\$3,236,743 \$315,927 \$129,470 \$32,367 \$647,349 \$323,674 \$4,685,530

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
20.	Grantline Road at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' cente 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	r and partia	al fronta	age roadway impro	ovements)
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$150,000.00	\$150,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,350	LF	\$10.00	\$13,500
	Clearing and Grubbing	94.297		\$0.15	\$14,145
	Roadway Excavation	6,559	-	\$12.00	\$78,708
	Curb (Type 5)	2,352	LF	\$25.00	\$58,800
	Curb (Type 3)	100	LF	\$11.00	\$1,100
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	833	LF	\$13.00	\$10,829
	2" AC Overlay	163	TON	\$52.00	\$8,476
	6" Asphalt Concrete	3,094	TON	\$52.00	\$160,888
	14" Aggregate Base	2,225	TON	\$23.00	\$51,175
	16" Aggregate Base	5,704		\$23.00	\$131,192
	Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)	900		\$22.00	\$19,800
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$11,700.00	\$11,700
	Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family)	100	LF	\$70.00	\$7,000
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	4,998		\$3.75	\$18,743
	Bus Pads	1	EA	\$735.00	\$735
	Street Lighting	1	LS	\$9,000.00	\$9,000
	Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor)	1,900		\$3.50	\$6,650
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	5,376	SF	\$3.50	\$18,816
	Pavement Removal	7,184		\$1.50	\$10,776
	Roadside Ditch	1,626	LF	\$5.00_	\$8,130
	Construction Subtotal				\$790,162
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$72,463
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$31,606
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%	,			\$7,902
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%	0			\$158,032
	Contingency, 10%			=	\$79,016
	Total Cost				\$1,139,182

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
21.	Grantline Road at Kiefer Boulevard (including 450' center road 6x4x2 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	way impro	vement	s)	
	Intersection Signalization Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base 16" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Sidewalk (6' wide) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10%	247 2,654 3,037 3,607 1 1,284 5,376 10,408 2,904	LF SF CY LF LF TON TON TON TON LS SF SF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$23.00 \$12,600.00 \$3.75 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$18,000 \$11,225 \$63,744 \$58,800 \$2,782 \$12,844 \$138,008 \$69,851 \$82,961 \$12,600 \$4,815 \$18,816 \$15,612 \$14,520 \$694,578 \$15,570 \$27,783 \$6,946 \$138,916 \$69,458
	Total Cost			-	\$953,250

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
22.	Grantline Road at SR 16 (including 450' center roadway impr 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Public Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	ovements)			
23.	Intersection Signalization Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Sidewalk (6' wide) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document CalTrans Study Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Contin Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (100% Development Fee Measure Total Funded Cost Grantline Road at White Rock Road Add additional exclusive left turn lane (White Rock Road) and	3,207 8,553 1 1,284 7,168 15,760 2,904	LF SF CY LF TON TON TON SF SF SF LF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$25.00 \$25.00 \$17.00 \$62.00 \$23.00 \$14,400.00 \$5.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00_	\$170,000 \$18,000 \$17,994 \$155,075 \$78,400 \$3,638 \$30,566 \$198,834 \$196,719 \$14,400 \$6,420 \$57,344 \$23,640 \$14,520 \$985,550 \$83,912 \$39,422 \$9,855 \$10,000 \$31,250 \$443,497 \$1,603,486 \$1,603,486
	Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Street Lighting Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	800 254 173 403 1 1 396	SF CY	\$100,000.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$10,800.00 \$18,900.00 \$5.00	\$100,000 \$752 \$4,272 \$20,000 \$13,208 \$8,996 \$9,269 \$10,800 \$18,900 \$1,980 \$188,177 \$7,527 \$1,882 \$37,635 \$18,818

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
24.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 4,550 LF					
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		SF CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$45,500 \$32,760 \$177,960 \$227,500 \$312,832 \$322,874 \$27,300 \$207,025 \$45,500 \$1,399,251 \$27,985 \$13,993 \$279,850 \$139,925 \$1,861,004	
25.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 4,980 LF					
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	4,980 239,040 16,231 9,960 6,585 15,364 4,980 64,740 9,960	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$49,800 \$35,856 \$194,772 \$249,000 \$342,420 \$353,372 \$29,880 \$226,590 \$49,800 \$1,531,490 \$30,630 \$15,315 \$306,298 \$153,149 \$2,036,882	

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
26.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 4,387 LF					
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20 Contingency, 10% Total Cost	4,387 210,576 14,298 8,774 5,801 13,535 4,387 57,031 8,415	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00_	\$43,870 \$31,586 \$171,576 \$219,350 \$301,652 \$311,305 \$26,322 \$199,609 \$42,075 \$1,347,345 \$26,947 \$13,473 \$269,469 \$134,734 \$1,791,969	
27.	Chrysanthy Boulevard at Jaeger Road (including 450' center 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	and partial f	rontage	roadway improve	ements)	
	Intersection Signalization Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb (Type 3) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Striping & Signage Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) Sidewalk (6' wide) Bus Pads Street Lighting Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20 Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1,452 9,996 2 1 29,621 7,168 1,452	SF CY LF LF TON TON LF LS LF SF EA LS SF SF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$18,000.00 \$70.00 \$3.75 \$735.00 \$18,000.00 \$3.50 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$18,000 \$24,935 \$111,312 \$78,400 \$18,326 \$21,658 \$230,152 \$237,521 \$39,600 \$18,000 \$101,640 \$37,485 \$1,470 \$18,000 \$103,674 \$25,088 \$7,260 \$1,262,520 \$25,250 \$12,625 \$252,504 \$126,252 \$1,679,152	

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
28. Chrysanthy Blvd at Americanos Blvd (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improver					nents)
	4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization				
	Private Improvement				
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization	1	_	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,800		\$10.00	\$18,000
	Clearing and Grubbing	137,037		\$0.15	\$20,556
	Roadway Excavation	8,300		\$12.00	\$99,600
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136		\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb (Type 3)		LF	\$11.00	\$10,340
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)		LF	\$13.00	\$12,220
	6" Asphalt Concrete	4,083		\$52.00	\$212,316
	14" Aggregate Base	9,528		\$23.00	\$219,144
	Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)		LF	\$22.00	\$19,800
	Striping & Signage Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family)	1 726		\$14,400.00	\$14,400
	, , ,	5,640		\$70.00 \$3.75	\$50,820 \$21,150
	Sidewalk (6' wide) Bus Pads	5,040		\$735.00	\$735
	Street Lighting	1	LS	\$9,000.00	\$9,000
	Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor)	14,801		\$3.50	\$51,804
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168		\$3.50	\$25,088
	Roadside Ditch	2,178		\$5.00	\$10,890
	Construction Subtotal	_,		40.00_	\$1,044,262
	Traffic Control and Staging, 2%				\$20,885
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$10,443
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%	, D			\$208,852
	Contingency, 10%			_	\$104,426
	Total Cost				\$1,388,869
29.	Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, CP Boundary to SP	Boundary	(exclud	ing 450' @ inters	ections)
	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside	e 11' paver	ment an	d frontage)	
	Private Improvement				
	Quantity: 2,430 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing	116,640	SF	\$0.15	\$17,496
	Roadway Excavation	7,920	CY	\$12.00	\$95,040
	Curb (Type 5)	4,860	LF	\$25.00	\$121,500
	6" Asphalt Concrete	3,213	TON	\$52.00	\$167,076
	14" Aggregate Base	7,497	TON	\$23.00	\$172,431
	Striping	2,430	LF	\$6.00	\$14,580
	Median Landscape (13' Corridor)	31,590		\$3.50	\$110,565
	Roadside Ditch	4,860	LF	\$5.00 __	\$24,300
	Construction Subtotal				\$722,988
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$0
	Traffic Control and Staging, 2%				\$14,460
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%	,			\$7,230
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%)			\$144,598
	Contingency, 10%			-	\$72,299
	Total Cost				\$961,574

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
30.	Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, SP Boundary to Dou 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 4,130 LF				sections)
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	198,240 13,461 8,260 5,461 12,742 4,130 53,690 8,260	CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$29,736 \$161,532 \$206,500 \$283,972 \$293,066 \$24,780 \$187,915 \$41,300 \$1,228,801 \$429,980 \$24,576 \$12,288 \$245,760 \$122,880 \$2,064,285
31.	Americanos Boulevard: South of Douglas Road to SP Boundar 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 2,450 LF				
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	2,450 117,600 7,985 4,900 3,239 7,559 2,450 31,850 4,900	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$24,500 \$17,640 \$95,820 \$122,500 \$168,428 \$173,857 \$14,700 \$111,475 \$24,500 \$753,420 \$15,068 \$7,534 \$150,684 \$75,342 \$1,002,049

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost		
32.	Americanos Boulevard: SP Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 2,100 LF						
33.	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulev 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 6,060 LF	/ard (exclu	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF LF		\$21,000 \$15,120 \$82,128 \$105,000 \$144,404 \$149,017 \$12,600 \$95,550 \$21,000 \$645,819 \$12,916 \$6,458 \$129,164 \$64,582 \$858,939		
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	6,060 290,880 19,751 12,120 8,013 17,806 6,060 78,780 12,120	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$60,600 \$43,632 \$237,012 \$303,000 \$416,676 \$409,538 \$36,360 \$275,730 \$60,600 \$1,843,148 \$36,863 \$18,431 \$368,630 \$184,315 \$2,451,387		

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost		
34.	 Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 4,410 LF 						
35.	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Striping Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, and Surveying, 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Kiefer Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 4,350 LF		SF CY LF TON TON SF LF LF		\$44,100 \$31,752 \$172,476 \$220,500 \$303,212 \$312,938 \$200,655 \$26,460 \$34,140 \$1,346,233 \$26,925 \$13,462 \$269,247 \$134,623 \$1,790,490		
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	4,350 208,800 14,178 8,700 5,752 13,421 4,350 56,550 8,700	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$43,500 \$31,320 \$170,136 \$217,500 \$299,104 \$308,683 \$26,100 \$197,925 \$43,500 \$1,337,768 \$103,245 \$26,755 \$13,378 \$267,554 \$133,777 \$1,882,477		

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
,	Kiefer Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road (e 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outsic Private Improvement Quantity: 800 LF				
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	38,400 2,607 1,600 1,058 2,468 800 10,400 1,600	CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$8,000 \$5,760 \$31,284 \$40,000 \$55,016 \$56,764 \$4,800 \$36,400 \$8,000 \$246,024 \$18,088 \$4,920 \$2,460 \$49,205 \$24,602 \$345,300
	Kiefer Boulevard at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and p 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	artial fronta	ge road	way improvement	ts)
	Intersection Signalization Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) Curb (Type 3) Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Striping & Signage Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) Sidewalk (6' wide) Bus Pads Street Lighting Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) Median Landscaping (corridor varies) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1,800 137,037 8,300 3,136 940 4,083 9,528 900 1 726 5,640 1 14,801 7,168 2,178	SF CY LF LF TON TON LF LS LF SF EA LS SF	\$170,000.00 \$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$11.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$22.00 \$14,400.00 \$70.00 \$3.75 \$735.00 \$9,000.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$18,000 \$20,556 \$99,600 \$78,400 \$10,340 \$12,220 \$212,316 \$219,144 \$19,800 \$14,400 \$50,820 \$21,150 \$735 \$9,000 \$51,804 \$25,088 \$10,890 \$1,044,262 \$32,099 \$20,885 \$10,443 \$208,852 \$104,426 \$1,420,968

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
38.	Kiefer Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard (including 450' cent 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Private Improvement	er roadwa	y impro	vements)	
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,800		\$10.00	\$18,000
	Clearing and Grubbing	106,902	SF	\$0.15	\$16,035
	Roadway Excavation	9,034		\$12.00	\$108,408
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136	LF	\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	214	LF	\$13.00	\$2,782
	6" Asphalt Concrete	3,741	TON	\$52.00	\$194,532
	14" Aggregate Base	8,730	TON	\$23.00	\$200,790
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$10,800.00	\$10,800
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	1,284		\$3.75	\$4,815
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168		\$3.50	\$25,088
	Roadside Ditch	2,904	LF	\$5.00 __	\$14,520
	Construction Subtotal				\$844,170
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$64,198
	Traffic Control and Staging, 2%				\$16,883
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$8,442
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, and Surveying, 20%				\$168,834
	Contingency, 10%			=	\$84,417
	Total Cost				\$1,186,945
39.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Wetland Preserve (exc				
	4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside	11' paver	nent an	d frontage)	
	Private Improvement				
	Quantity: 1,550 LF				
	Signal Interconnector	1,550		\$10.00	\$15,500
	Clearing and Grubbing	74,400		\$0.15	\$11,160
	Roadway Excavation	5,052		\$12.00	\$60,624
	Curb (Type 5)	3,100		\$25.00	\$77,500
	6" Asphalt Concrete	2,049		\$52.00	\$106,548
	14" Aggregate Base	4,782		\$23.00	\$109,986
	Median Landscape (13' Corridor)	20,150		\$3.50	\$70,525
	Striping	1,550		\$6.00	\$9,300
	Roadside Ditch	3,100	LF	\$5.00_	\$15,500
	Construction Subtotal				\$476,643
	Traffic Control and Staging, 2%				\$9,533
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$4,766
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20%)			\$95,329
	Contingency, 10%			=	\$47,664
	Total Cost				\$633,935

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost		
40.	Jaeger Road: Adjacent to the Wetland Preserve (excluding 450' @ intersections) 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 2,831 LF						
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Striping Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	2,831 135,888 9,227 5,662 3,743 8,734 36,803 2,831 2,831	SF CY LF TON TON SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$3.50 \$6.00 \$5.00	\$28,310 \$20,383 \$110,724 \$141,550 \$194,636 \$200,882 \$128,811 \$16,986 \$14,155 \$856,437 \$17,129 \$8,564 \$171,287 \$85,644 \$1,139,061		
41.	Jaeger Road: Wetland Preserve to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 3,738 LF						
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Median Landscape (13' Corridor) Striping Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	3,738 179,424 12,183 7,476 4,942 11,534 48,594 3,738 7,476	SF CY LF TON TON SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$3.50 \$6.00 \$5.00	\$37,380 \$26,914 \$146,196 \$186,900 \$256,984 \$265,282 \$170,079 \$22,428 \$37,380 \$1,149,543 \$22,991 \$11,495 \$229,909 \$114,954		

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
42.	Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (exclud 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside Private Improvement Quantity: 2,387 LF				
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Median Landscaping (13' corridor) Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	2,387 114,576 7,780 4,774 3,156 7,364 2,387 31,031 4,774	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$6.00 \$3.50 \$5.00	\$23,870 \$17,186 \$93,360 \$119,350 \$164,112 \$169,372 \$14,322 \$108,609 \$23,870 \$734,051 \$14,681 \$7,341 \$146,810 \$73,405 \$976,288
43.	Sunrise Boulevard: SP Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard (ex Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' paver Private Improvement Quantity: 1,480 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (15% County TIP) Total Funded Cost	1,688 1,480 8,880 1,480 1,480	CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$4,440 \$26,316 \$19,240 \$32,916 \$38,824 \$32,560 \$33,300 \$5,920 \$20,720 \$214,236 \$8,569 \$2,142 \$42,847 \$21,424 \$289,219 \$43,383 \$245,836

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
44.	Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pave Private Improvement Quantity: 7,419 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (15% County TIP) Total Funded Cost	148,380 10,991 7,419 3,174 8,463 7,419 44,514 7,419 7,419	CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$22,257 \$131,892 \$96,447 \$165,048 \$194,649 \$163,218 \$166,928 \$29,676 \$103,866 \$1,073,981 \$42,959 \$10,740 \$214,796 \$107,398 \$1,449,874 \$217,481 \$1,232,393
45.	Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Anatolia II boundary to Kiefer Bo Easterly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pa Private Improvement Quantity: 3,667 LF				ctions)
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	73,340 5,433 3,667 1,569 4,183 3,667 22,002 3,667 3,667	CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$11,001 \$65,196 \$47,671 \$81,588 \$96,209 \$80,674 \$82,508 \$14,668 \$51,338 \$530,853 \$21,234 \$5,309 \$106,171 \$53,085

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES
BASED ON PUBLIC FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN FOR SUNRIDGE SPECIFIC PLAN
TABLE A-3 DATED 7/18/02

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
46.	Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Anatolia III Boundary Northerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' p Private Improvement Quantity: 1,590 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1,587 1,590 9,540 1,590 1,590	CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$4,770 \$25,908 \$20,670 \$35,360 \$36,501 \$34,980 \$35,775 \$6,360 \$22,260 \$222,584 \$4,452 \$2,226 \$44,517 \$22,258 \$296,037
47.	Jaeger Boulevard: Frontage adjacent to preserve (excluding Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, Private Improvement Quantity: 2,831 LF			ns)	
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 14" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	56,620 3,844 2,831 1,211 2,826 2,831 16,986 2,831 2,831	CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$8,493 \$46,128 \$36,803 \$62,972 \$64,998 \$62,282 \$63,698 \$11,324 \$39,634 \$396,332 \$7,927 \$3,963 \$79,266 \$39,633 \$527,121

48. Pyramid Boulevard: Adjacent to Laguna Creek

Northerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk.

IN PYRAMID AT GRANTLINE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT 20

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
49.	Grantline Road: Adjacent to Laguna Creek Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, Private Improvement Quantity: 450 LF	and sidewa	lk.		
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) Striping & Signage Street Lighting Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost	450 193 514 450 2,700 450 450	CY LF TON TON LF SF	\$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$22.00 \$3.75 \$4.00 \$14.00	\$1,350 \$8,004 \$5,850 \$10,036 \$11,822 \$9,900 \$10,125 \$1,800 \$6,300 \$65,187 \$1,304 \$652 \$13,037 \$6,519
50a.	Sunrise Boulevard: Sunrise Park Road to Douglas Boulevard Outside Travel Lanes Private Improvement Quantity: 4,200 LF				
50h	Intersection Signalization (Monier Intersection Signal) Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb & Gutter (Type 2) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Sidewalk (6' wide) Street Lighting Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost	134,400 9,956 8,400 2,940 2,287 6,097 4,200 50,400 4,200 16,800 8,400	CY LF TON TON TON LF SF LF SF	\$170,000.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$13.00 \$52.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$16.00 \$3.75 \$28.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$170,000 \$20,160 \$119,472 \$109,200 \$152,880 \$118,924 \$140,231 \$67,200 \$189,000 \$117,600 \$25,200 \$42,000 \$1,271,867 \$7,785 \$50,875 \$12,719 \$254,373 \$127,187 \$1,724,806
50b.	Folsom South Canal Trail Access Connect bike trail at Douglas Boulevard and install pedestriar Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	n signal at S	unrise E	oulevard	
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)	1	LS	\$200,000.00	\$200,000 \$200,000

Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
signal at Sun	rise Bo	ulevard	
1	LS	\$200,000.00	\$200,000 \$200,000
63,000 4,667 2,100 1,960 5,227 1,050 11,550 22,050 2,100	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF SF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$12.00 \$25.00 \$52.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$3.50 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$10,500 \$9,450 \$56,004 \$52,500 \$101,920 \$120,221 \$8,400 \$40,425 \$33,075 \$10,500 \$442,995 \$89,741 \$17,720 \$4,430 \$88,599 \$44,300
	1,050 63,000 4,667 2,100 1,960 5,227 1,050 11,550 22,050	1 LS lvd. (excl. 450' @ initial initi	1 LS \$200,000.00 1 LS \$200,000.00 1 Vd. (excl. 450' @ intersections) de 11' pavement and frontage) 1,050 LF \$10.00 63,000 SF \$0.15 4,667 CY \$12.00 2,100 LF \$25.00 1,960 TON \$52.00 5,227 TON \$23.00 1,050 LF \$8.00 11,550 SF \$3.50 22,050 SF \$1.50 2,100 LF \$5.00

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
52.	SR 16 at Bradshaw Road				
	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization				
	Public Improvement				
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,800	LF	\$10.00	\$18,000
	Clearing and Grubbing	90,828	SF	\$0.15	\$13,624
	Roadway Excavation	4,448	CY	\$25.00	\$111,200
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136	LF	\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	214	LF	\$17.00	\$3,638
	2" AC Overlay	620	TON	\$62.00	\$38,440
	6" Asphalt Concrete	2,328	TON	\$62.00	\$144,336
	14" Aggregate Base	1,896	TON	\$23.00	\$43,608
	16" Aggregate Base	4,041		\$23.00	\$92,943
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$12,600.00	\$12,600
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	1,284		\$5.00	\$6,420
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168	SF	\$8.00	\$57,344
	Pavement Removal	15,712	SF	\$1.50	\$23,568
	Roadside Ditch	2,904	LF	\$5.00_	\$14,520
	Construction Subtotal				\$828,641
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$179,710
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$33,146
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$8,286
	CEQA Enviromental Document				\$10,000
	CalTrans Study				\$31,250
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Con	tingency, 45%		_	\$372,889
	Total Cost				\$1,463,922

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
53.	SR 16 at Eagle's Nest Road				
00.	6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization				
	Public Improvement				
	Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization	1	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000
	Signal Interconnector	1,800	LF	\$10.00	\$18,000
	Clearing and Grubbing	108,230	SF	\$0.15	\$16,235
	Roadway Excavation	5,760	CY	\$25.00	\$144,000
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136	LF	\$25.00	\$78,400
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	214	LF	\$17.00	\$3,638
	2" AC Overlay	317	TON	\$62.00	\$19,654
	6" Asphalt Concrete	3,080	TON	\$62.00	\$190,960
	14" Aggregate Base	3,649	TON	\$23.00	\$83,927
	16" Aggregate Base	4,041	TON	\$23.00	\$92,943
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$12,600.00	\$12,600
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	1,284	SF	\$5.00	\$6,420
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168	SF	\$8.00	\$57,344
	Pavement Removal	25,264	SF	\$1.50	\$37,896
	Roadside Ditch	2,904	LF	\$5.00	\$14,520
	Construction Subtotal			_	\$946,537
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$55,669
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$37,861
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1%				\$9,465
	CEQA Enviromental Document				\$10,000
	CalTrans Study				\$31,250
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conf	tingency, 45%		_	\$425,941
	Total Cost			_	\$1,516,724

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
54.	SR 16 at Excelsior Road 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Public Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum					
	Intersection Signalization		LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000	
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing	1,800 108,230		\$10.00 \$0.15	\$18,000 \$16,235	
	Roadway Excavation	5,760		\$25.00	\$144,000	
	Curb (Type 5)	3,136		\$25.00	\$78,400	
	Curb & Gutter (Type 2)		LF	\$17.00	\$3,638	
	2" AC Overlay		TON	\$62.00	\$19,654	
	6" Asphalt Concrete	3,080		\$62.00	\$190,960	
	14" Aggregate Base	3,649		\$23.00	\$83,927	
	16" Aggregate Base	4,041		\$23.00	\$92,943	
	Striping & Signage	1	LS	\$12,600.00	\$12,600	
	Sidewalk (6' wide)	1,284		\$5.00	\$6,420	
	Median Landscaping (corridor varies)	7,168		\$8.00	\$57,344	
	Pavement Removal	25,264		\$1.50	\$37,896	
	Roadside Ditch	2,904	LF	\$5.00		
	Construction Subtotal				\$946,537	
	Right of Way Acquisition				\$58,314	
	Traffic Control and Staging, 4%				\$37,861	
	Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document				\$9,465 \$10,000	
	CalTrans Study				\$31,250	
	Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Contin	gency 45%	ı		\$425,941	
	Total Cost	.go.loy, 1070	•	-	\$1,519,369	
55.	Mather Field at Folsom Boulevard Add Eastbound through-lane and dual exclusive left-turn lanes on N & S approaches. Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum					
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)	1	LF	\$431,200.00	\$431,200 \$431,200	
56.	Sunrise Boulevard at Florin Road Intersection widening and signalization (incl. Protected left-tu Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	rn lanes on	Sunrise)			
	Improvements	1	LF	\$645,836.80	\$645,837	
	Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)			· · · · · · · · ·	\$645,837	
	Portion Funded By Others (100% County TIP)			_	\$645,837	

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
	Total Funded Cost				\$0
57.	Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20 Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LS	\$150,000.00 <u> </u>	\$300,000 \$300,000 \$12,000 \$60,000 \$30,000 \$402,000
58.	Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way intersections) Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	3-way Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20th Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LS	\$150,000.00 <u> </u>	\$450,000 \$450,000 \$18,000 \$90,000 \$45,000 \$603,000
59.	Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Kiefer Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersection Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	ons)			
	3-way Intersection Signalization 4-way Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	2	LS LS	\$150,000.00 \$170,000.00 _	\$300,000 \$340,000 \$640,000 \$12,800 \$128,000 \$64,000 \$844,800
60.	Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way & 1 4-way intersection Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	ons)			
	3-way Intersection Signalization 4-way Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20' Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1	LS LS	\$150,000.00 \$170,000.00 _	\$450,000 \$170,000 \$620,000 \$12,400 \$124,000 \$62,000 \$818,400

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
61.	Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	3-way Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 209 Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LS	\$150,000.00 _ -	\$300,000 \$300,000 \$12,000 \$60,000 \$30,000 \$402,000
62.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersection Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum	ons)			
	3-way Intersection Signalization 4-way Intersection Signalization Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 209 Contingency, 10% Total Cost	2	LS LS	\$150,000.00 \$170,000.00 _	\$300,000 \$340,000 \$640,000 \$12,800 \$128,000 \$64,000 \$844,800
63a.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy E Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	Boulevard			
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 209 Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340
63b.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy E Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	Boulevard			
	Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 209 Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$200.00 <u></u>	\$19,600 \$19,600 \$392 \$196 \$3,920 \$1,960 \$26,068

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
63c.	Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy E Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	Boulevard			
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 <u></u>	\$49,000 \$49,000 \$980 \$490 \$9,800 \$4,900 \$65,170
64.	Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boule Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	evard			
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340
65a.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Ro Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	oad			
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 <u> </u>	\$49,000 \$49,000 \$980 \$490 \$9,800 \$4,900 \$65,170
65b.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Ro Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	oad			
	Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20° Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$200.00 <u> </u>	\$19,600 \$19,600 \$392 \$196 \$3,920 \$1,960 \$26,068

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
65c.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Ro Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	oad			
	Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$200.00 <u> </u>	\$19,600 \$19,600 \$392 \$196 \$3,920 \$1,960 \$26,068
65d.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Ro Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	oad			
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340
66.	Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF	i			
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340
67a.	Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 <u></u>	\$49,000 \$49,000 \$980 \$490 \$9,800 \$4,900 \$65,170

Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
67b. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF				
Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$200.00 <u></u>	\$19,600 \$19,600 \$392 \$196 \$3,920 \$1,960 \$26,068
67c. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF				
Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340
67d. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF				
Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 2% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u></u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$1,960 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$130,340

Ro	padway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
6-la Pul	R 16: Bradshaw Road to Excelsior Road (excluding 1000' @ ane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outsidablic Improvement uantity: 10,250 LF				
Cle Ro Cu 2", 6", 16' Str Me Pa' Ro Co Rig Tra Sto CE Ca En	gnal Interconnector earing and Grubbing badway Excavation lurb (Type 5) AC Overlay Asphalt Concrete " Aggregate Base riping edian Landscape (11' Corridor) livement Removal badside Ditch bastruction Subtotal ght of Way Acquisition affic Control and Staging, 4% form Water Pollution Prevention, 1% EQA Enviromental Document alTrans Study ligineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting total Cost ortion Funded By Others (76% Development Fee Measure A	-	SF CY LF TON TON TON LF SF SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$25.00 \$25.00 \$62.00 \$62.00 \$8.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$102,500 \$73,800 \$911,100 \$512,500 \$164,734 \$889,700 \$880,141 \$82,000 \$902,000 \$246,000 \$102,500 \$4,866,975 \$426,334 \$194,679 \$48,670 \$10,000 \$31,250 \$2,190,139 \$7,768,047 \$5,903,716 \$1,864,331
70b. SR 6-la Pul	R 16: Excelsior Road to Sunrise Boulevard (excluding 450' @ane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outsidublic Improvement uantity: 14,700 LF				
Sig Cle Ro Cu 2", 16' Str Me Pa Ro Co Rig Tra Sto CE Ca En	gnal Interconnector earing and Grubbing badway Excavation urb (Type 5) AC Overlay Asphalt Concrete " Aggregate Base riping edian Landscape (11' Corridor) evement Removal badside Ditch construction Subtotal ght of Way Acquisition affic Control and Staging, 4% corm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% EQA Enviromental Document alTrans Study rigineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting total Cost ortion Funded By Others (Mather Field CIP)	14,700 705,600 52,267 29,400 3,811 20,580 54,880 14,700 161,700 235,200 29,400	SF CY LF TON TON TON LF SF SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$25.00 \$25.00 \$62.00 \$62.00 \$8.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$147,000 \$105,840 \$1,306,675 \$735,000 \$236,282 \$1,275,960 \$1,262,240 \$117,600 \$1,293,600 \$352,800 \$147,000 \$6,979,997 \$620,244 \$279,200 \$69,800 \$10,000 \$31,250 \$3,140,999 \$11,131,490 \$554,580 \$10,576,910

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost	
70c.	c. SR 16: Sunrise to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Public Improvement Quantity: 4,700 LF					
	Signal Interconnector Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation Curb (Type 5) 2" AC Overlay 6" Asphalt Concrete 16" Aggregate Base Striping & Signage Median Landscape (11' Corridor) Pavement Removal Roadside Ditch Construction Subtotal Right of Way Acquisition Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document CalTrans Study Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting Total Cost Portion Funded By Others (67% Development Fee Measure A Total Funded Cost	6,580 17,547 4,700 51,700 84,600 9,400	SF CY LF TON TON LF SF LF	\$10.00 \$0.15 \$25.00 \$25.00 \$62.00 \$23.00 \$8.00 \$1.50 \$5.00	\$47,000 \$33,840 \$417,775 \$235,000 \$75,578 \$407,960 \$403,581 \$37,600 \$413,600 \$126,900 \$47,000 \$2,245,834 \$142,044 \$89,833 \$22,458 \$10,000 \$31,250 \$1,010,625 \$3,552,045 \$2,379,870 \$1,172,175	
71.	Kiefer Boulevard: Eagles Nest to Sunrise (excluding 450' @ ir Widen 2-lane aterial Private Improvement Quantity: 4,650 LF	ntersections	5)			
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) Portion Funded By Others (Mather Field CIP) Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$1,371,750.00 _ -	\$1,371,750 \$1,371,750 \$1,371,750 \$0	
72a.	Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 - Initial planning Public Improvement Quantity: 20,200 LF	and enviro	onment	al work		
	Initial Planning and environmental work Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP)	1	LS	\$1,000,000.00 <u></u>	\$1,000,000 \$1,000,000	
72b.	Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outsid Private Improvement Quantity: 20,200 LF	e 11' pave	ment a	nd frontage)		
	Improvements Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) Portion Funded By Others (100% Others) Total Funded Cost	1	LS	\$26,403,000.00 _	\$26,403,000 \$26,403,000 \$26,403,000 \$0	

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
73.	Zinfandel Drive at International Drive Intersection Signalization - 4-way Signalization Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum				
	Intersection Signalization Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LS	\$170,000.00 <u> </u>	\$170,000 \$170,000 \$6,800 \$1,700 \$34,000 \$17,000 \$229,500
74a.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$23,600 \$11,800 \$159,300
74b.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 <u></u>	\$59,000 \$59,000 \$2,360 \$590 \$11,800 \$5,900 \$79,650
74c.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 98 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 __	\$49,000 \$49,000 \$1,960 \$490 \$9,800 \$4,900 \$66,150

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
74d.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$23,600 \$11,800 \$159,300
74e.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$23,600 \$11,800 \$159,300
74f.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$500.00 <u> </u>	\$59,000 \$59,000 \$2,360 \$590 \$11,800 \$5,900 \$79,650
74g.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$200.00 <u> </u>	\$23,600 \$23,600 \$944 \$236 \$4,720 \$2,360 \$31,860

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
74h.	Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$98,000 \$98,000 \$3,920 \$980 \$19,600 \$9,800 \$132,300
76a.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$10,000 \$53,100 \$187,000
76b.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting Total Cost	118 gency, 45%		\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$10,000 \$53,100 \$187,000
76c.	SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement Quantity: 118 LF				
	Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) Construction Subtotal Traffic Control and Staging, 4% Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% CEQA Environmental Document Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Conting Total Cost		LF	\$1,000.00 <u> </u>	\$118,000 \$118,000 \$4,720 \$1,180 \$10,000 \$53,100 \$187,000

TABLE C-2 BIKE TRAIL COST ESTIMATES

	Roadway Segment	Quantity	Unit	Unit Cost	Total Cost
1.	Bike Trail: Adjacent to Anatolia II Drainage Channel 12' bike trail with 3' (each side) decomposed granite shoulder Private Improvement Quantity: 5,724 LF				
	Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation 2" Asphalt Concrete 6" Aggregate Base 8" Decomposed Granite Bike Trail Striping Fencing Construction Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, and Surveying, 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	103,032 2,544 890 2,671 1,781 5,724 11,448	CY TON TON TON LF	\$0.15 \$25.00 \$78.00 \$34.50 \$34.50 \$2.00 \$10.00	\$15,455 \$63,600 \$69,420 \$92,150 \$61,445 \$11,448 \$114,480 \$427,997 \$4,280 \$85,599 \$42,800 \$550,512
2.	Bike Trail: Through Wetland Preserve connecting Anatolia II a 12' bike trail with 3' (each side) decomposed granite shoulder Private Improvement Quantity: 3,200 LF				
	Pedestrian Bridge #1 (14' wide, 120 LF) Pedestrian Bridge #2 (14' wide, 50 LF) Pedestrian Bridge #3 (14' wide, 50 LF) Clearing and Grubbing Roadway Excavation 2" Asphalt Concrete 6" Aggregate Base 8" Decomposed Granite Bike Trail Striping Fencing Drain Culverts (over existing water courses) Construction Subtotal Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% Engineering, Inspection, Testing, and Surveying, 20% Contingency, 10% Total Cost	1,503 958 3,200 6,400	SF SF CY TON TON TON LF	\$100.00 \$100.00 \$100.00 \$0.15 \$25.00 \$78.00 \$34.50 \$2.00 \$10.00 \$7,000.00	\$168,000 \$70,000 \$70,000 \$8,568 \$34,225 \$39,078 \$51,854 \$33,051 \$6,400 \$64,000 \$35,000 \$580,176 \$5,802 \$116,035 \$58,018

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
1.	Douglas Roa	d								
	072-037-071	SPA Special Planning Area (Elliott)	40	14	1,497	0.48	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	072-037-070	SPA Special Planning Area (Aerojet)	40	14	2,978	0.96	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL =	1.44		•		\$0
2.	Douglas Roa									
	072-037-070	SPA Special Planning Area (Aerojet) SPA Special Planning Area	40	14	2,432	0.78	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	072-037-075	(Vacant/Industrial)	40	14	577	0.19	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$26,108
•	Daumias Bas	J.			TOTAL =	0.97		ı	ı	\$26,108
3 .	067-004-003	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	3,355	1.85	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$75,939
		(1111 1)			TOTAL =	1.85				\$75,939
4.	Douglas Roa	d/Sunrise Boulevard								4.0,000
NE	072-037-071	SPA Special Planning Area (Elliott)	40	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
NW	067-003-002	MI Light Industrial (Special District)	20	34	450	0.35	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$47,661
	067-003-002	MI Light Industrial (Special District)	50	4	450	0.04	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$7,372
					TOTAL =	0.54				\$55,033
5.	Douglas Roa	d/Americanos Boulevard								
NW	072-037-075	SPA Special Planning Area (Vacant/Industrial)	0	44	450	0.45	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$61,091
	072-037-075	SPA Special Planning Area (Vacant/Industrial)	30	24	450	0.25	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$34,231
6.	Daumias Bas	d/Januar Dand			TOTAL =	0.70		1	1	\$95,322
0.		d/Jaeger Road SPA Special Planning Area							•	•
	072-037-070	(Aeroiet)	40	14	900 TOTAL =	0.29 0.29	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0 \$0
7.	Douglas Roa	d/Grantline Road								
NE	073-001-007	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	34	450	0.35	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$16,050
	073-001-007	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	0	54	450	0.56	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$24,314
SE	073-001-007	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	34	450	0.35	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$16,050
	073-001-007	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	0	54	450	0.56	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$24,314
NW	067-004-003	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$7,785
	067-004-003	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$11,917
40	Oi- D :				TOTAL =	2.21		1	1	\$100,430
10.	Sunrise Boul	evard O Recreation			-					
	067-009-028	(Federal Use)	42	12	3,667	1.01	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$22,204
11.	Sunrise Boul	L evard			TOTAL =	1.01				\$22,204
W	067-009-018	O Recreation (Federal Use)	40	14	4,772	1.53	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$32,674
	067-012-018	O Recreation (Federal Use)	40	14	761	0.24	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$6,892
	067-012-059	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Ind/Min)	40	14	417	0.13	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$7,361
		,y	Ì		TOTAL =	1.91				\$46,927

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
13.	Sunrise Boul	evard/Kiefer Boulevard								
SW	067-009-018	O Recreation (Federal Use)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$4,893
	067-009-018	O Recreation (Federal Use)	30	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$4,893
					TOTAL =	0.29		T	ı	\$9,785
15.	Sunrise Boul	evard/Grantline Road								
NE	126-031-004	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	40	14	132	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$3,697
	126-031-003	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	40	14	290	0.09	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$5,728
	126-031-002	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	40	14	28	0.01	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$2,360
SE	126-031-028	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	40	14	440	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$7,657
SW	067-012-051	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	900	0.29	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$13,570
NW	067-012-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	900	0.50	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$21,835
					TOTAL =	1.07				\$54,847
17.	Grantline Ro									
E	073-001-007	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	34	1,555	1.21	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$50,549
	073-004-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	20	34	2,499	1.95	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$80,022
					TOTAL =	3.16		1	1	\$130,571
18.	Grantline Ro	AG80 Permanent Agriculture								
E	067-010-003	(County Use)	40	14	1,256	0.40	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-010-009	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	40	14	4,407	1.42	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-010-010	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	40	14	2,275	0.73	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
W	073-004-023	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	20	34	2,683	2.09	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$85,767
	073-004-006	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	34	72	0.06	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$4,248
	073-004-013	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	20	34	2,130	1.66	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$68,501
	126-009-001	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	2,241	1.75	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-016	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	929	0.73	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-017	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	467	0.36	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-018	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	507	0.40	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-019	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	560	0.44	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-020	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	633	0.49	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	868	0.68	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL =	11.21				\$158,516

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
Е	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	1,370	0.44	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$19,612
	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	40	14	3,161	1.02	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$42,637
	067-012-064	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	4,650	1.49	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$61,780
	067-013-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	2,354	1.30	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$53,879
	067-013-014	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	1,683	0.93	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$39,091
	067-014-002	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	2,696	1.49	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$61,416
	067-012-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	407	0.22	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$10,970
W	126-008-002	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	3,555	2.77	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-008-022	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	3,034	2.37	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-007-009	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	20	34	361	0.28	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$13,271
	126-007-010	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	20	34	361	0.28	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$13,271
					TOTAL =	12.59				\$315,927
20.	Grantline Roa	ad/Chrysanthy Boulevard								
NE	073-004-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	20	34	450	0.35	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$16,050
	073-004-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	0	44	450	0.45	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$20,182
SE	073-004-023	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	20	34	450	0.35	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$16,050
	073-004-023	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	0	44	450	0.45	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$20,182
					TOTAL =	1.61			ī	\$72,463
21.		ad/Kiefer Boulevard AG20 Permanent Agriculture	40	4.4	450	0.44	**	N1/A	40	
NW	067-010-010	(County Use) AG20 Permanent Agriculture	40	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-010-010	(County Use)	30	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
SW	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$7,785
	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$7,785
NE	126-009-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	450	0.35	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-009-021	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	30	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
SE	126-008-002	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	20	34	450	0.35	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-008-002	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	30	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL =	1.57				\$15,570
22.	Grantline Roa									
NE	126-007-085	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (Single Family Rural)	30	24	374	0.21	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$14,242

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
	126-007-087	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (State Use)	30	24	80	0.04	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-007-087	A2 Agriculture, Interim/Obsolete (State Use)	30	24	450	0.25	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
SE	126-006-038	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	14	450	0.14	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	126-006-039	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30*	10	450	0.10	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,132
	126-006-039	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$15,917
NW	067-012-064	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,785
	067-012-065	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	450	0.25	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
SW	067-013-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$15,917
	067-013-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$15,917
					TOTAL =	1.88				\$83,912
29.	Americanos I									
W	072-037-070	SPA Special Planning Area (Aerojet)	0	44	2,430	2.45	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL =	2.45				\$0
30.	Americanos I									
W	072-037-070	SPA Special Planning Area (Aerojet)	0	44	886	0.89	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	072-037-075	SPA Special Planning Area (Vacant/Industrial)	0	44	2,819	2.85	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$372,172
	067-004-004	Z00 Multiple Zone Combination (Pasture)	0	44	425	0.43	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$57,808
					TOTAL =	4.17				\$429,980
35.	Kiefer Boulev	/ard								
S	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	20	24	4,594	2.53	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$103,245
					TOTAL =	2.53				\$103,245

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
36.	Kiefer Boule									
N	067-010-010	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (County Use)	42	2	730	0.03	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
S	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	24	730	0.40	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$18,088
					TOTAL =	0.44		ī		\$18,088
37.	Kiefer Boule	vard/Jaeger Road								
SE	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	20	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$11,917
	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	0	44	450	0.45	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$20,182
					TOTAL =	0.70		1		\$32,099
38.	Kiefer Boule	vard/Americanos Boulevard								
SE	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	20	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$11,917
	067-010-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	0	44	450	0.45	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$20,182
SW	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	20	24	450	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$11,917
	067-010-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Field Crop)	0	44	450	0.45	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$2,000	\$20,182
					TOTAL =	1.40		1		\$64,198
50a.	Sunrise Boul									
	072-037-009	O Recreation (Federal Use)	40	14	900	0.29	\$20,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$7,785
					TOTAL =	0.29		1		\$7,785
51.	Douglas Roa									
N	067-003-002	MI Light Industrial (Special District)	Varies	Varies	1,050	0.67	\$130,000	N/A	\$2,000	\$89,741
	00.40/0				TOTAL =	0.67		1		\$89,741
52.	SR 16/Bradsl	GC General Commercial								
SE	063-020-001	(Service Station)	40	14	185	0.06	\$500,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$35,729
	063-020-001	GC General Commercial (Service Station)	30	14	185	0.06	\$500,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$35,729
	063-020-002	GC General Commercial (Vacant/Office Site)	40	14	258	0.08	\$300,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$30,876
	063-020-002	GC General Commercial (Vacant/Office Site)	38	6	258	0.04	\$300,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$16,661
NE	063-004-057	Z00 Multiple Zone Combination (Service Station)	44	0	324	0.00	\$500,000	N/A	\$0	\$0
NW	063-003-005	IR Industrial Reserve (Two Single Family Units)	40	4	185	0.02	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$6,680
	063-003-012	IR Industrial Reserve (Vacant/Retail Site)	40	4	88	0.01	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$6,323
	063-003-013	IR Industrial Reserve (SFR, Non-Subdivision)	40	4	12	0.00	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$6,044
	063-003-006	(Vacant/Industrial)	40	14	230	0.07	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,957
	063-003-007	(Vacant/Office Site)	40	14	180	0.06	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,314
SW	063-007-007	GC General Commercial (Agriculture)	40	4	173	0.02	\$300,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$10,766
	063-007-008	GC General Commercial (Vacant/Retail Site)	40	4	277	0.03	\$300,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$13,631
				ļ	TOTAL =	0.44		ı		\$179,710
53.	SR 16/Eagle's	•								
NW	067-011-067	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	450	0.25	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
	067-011-067	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	14	230	0.07	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-066	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	14	220	0.07	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,828
SW	067-011-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	450	0.25	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	14	402	0.13	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-069	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	14	48	0.02	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$6,617
NE	067-012-066	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	14	72	0.02	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-067	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	14	285	0.09	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,664
	067-009-021	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	14	93	0.03	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,196
	067-012-066	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	72	0.04	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-067	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	24	378	0.21	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$14,331
SE	067-012-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	450	0.25	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	14	214	0.07	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-069	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	14	236	0.08	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,034
					TOTAL =	1.57			•	\$55,669
54.	SR 16/Excels	ior Road								
NE	067-006-004	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	4	450	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,653
	067-006-004	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,785
SE	067-005-039	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	4	450	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,653
	067-005-039	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,785

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)		LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
SW	063-015-028	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	4	450	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,653
	063-005-028	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	450	0.14	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,785
					TOTAL =	0.56				\$58,314
70a.	SR 16									
S	063-019-039	MI Light Industrial (Vacant/Industrial)	50	4	633	0.06	\$130,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$13,556
	063-019-027	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	50	4	690	0.06	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,534
	063-017-020	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Industrial)	40	14	1,899	0.61	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$30,413
	063-017-009	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	308	0.10	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,960
	063-017-008	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	413	0.13	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,309
	063-017-007	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	469	0.15	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,029
	063-017-006	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	520	0.17	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,685
	063-017-005	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Residential/Mobilehome)	40	14	392	0.13	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$11,039
	063-015-024	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	765	0.25	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$15,835
	063-015-009	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	938	0.30	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$18,059
	063-015-028	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	509	0.16	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,544
N	063-004-057	Z00 Multiple Zone Combination (Auto Yard)	40	14	716	0.23	\$130,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$35,916
	063-004-060	Z00 Multiple Zone Combination (Light Industrial)	40	14	50	0.02	\$130,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,089
	063-004-038	IR Industrial Reserve (Two Single Family Units)	40	14	777	0.25	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$15,989
	063-004-037	IR Industrial Reserve (Vacant/Recreational)	40	14	208	0.07	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,674
	063-004-070	Z00 Multiple Zone Combination (Industrial/Mining)	40	14	63	0.02	\$130,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,632
	063-004-018	IR Industrial Reserve (Industrial/Mining)	40	14	488	0.16	\$40,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$12,274
	063-004-067	M2 Heavy Industrial (Industrial/Mining)	40	14	1,281	0.41	\$130,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$59,522
	063-017-001	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	40	14	2,042	0.66	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$32,252
	063-017-018	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	150	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,928
	063-017-019	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	150	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,928
	063-017-012	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	577	0.19	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$13,418

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
	063-017-014	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	95	0.03	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,221
	063-017-018	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	514	0.17	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,608
	063-017-003	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Cemetery)	40	14	266	0.09	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,420
ļ	063-017-004	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Industrial)	40	14	1,100	0.35	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$20,141
	063-015-013	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Light Industrial)	40	14	339	0.11	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,358
					TOTAL =	4.95				\$426,334
70b.	SR 16									
S	067-005-039	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	336	0.11	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,320
	067-005-040	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	6,194	1.99	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$85,629
	067-005-035	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	2,436	0.78	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$37,317
	067-005-037	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	616	0.20	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$13,919
	067-005-050	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	620	0.20	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$13,971
	067-011-061	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	150	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,928
	067-011-060	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	150	0.03	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-056	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Residential)	30*	14	200	0.06	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,571
	067-011-057	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	200	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,837
	067-011-071	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	194	0.06	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,494
	067-011-070	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	194	0.04	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-052	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30*	14	1,661	0.53	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$27,354
	067-011-053	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	1,661	0.38	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$21,253
	067-011-065	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30*	14	522	0.17	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,711
	067-011-063	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	522	0.12	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-062	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30*	14	559	0.18	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$13,186
	067-011-064	AG20 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	559	0.13	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$(
	067-011-058	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30*	14	357	0.11	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,590

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
	067-011-059	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	357	0.08	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	40	14	657	0.21	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-068	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	3,950	2.18	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-012-069	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	24	600	0.33	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$19,223
	067-012-042	O Recreation (Federal Use)	30	24	250	0.14	\$20,000	N/A	\$6,000	\$8,755
	067-012-049	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30	24	50	0.03	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,102
N	067-006-004	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	491	0.16	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,312
	067-006-005	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (SFR, Non-Subdivision)	40	14	252	0.08	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,240
	067-005-027	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	40	14	500	0.16	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,428
	067-005-028	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	40	14	650	0.21	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$14,356
	067-005-029	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	468	0.15	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,017
	067-005-051	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture/Field Crop)	40	14	2,291	0.74	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$35,453
	067-007-002	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (SFR, Non-Subdivision)	40	14	492	0.16	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,325
	067-008-061	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	221	0.07	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,841
	067-008-032	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	40	14	300	0.10	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$9,857
	067-008-052	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	110	0.03	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-008-048	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (SFR, Non-Subdivision)	30*	14	110	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,414
	067-008-053	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	135	0.03	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-008-049	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	30*	14	135	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,736
	067-008-054	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	55	0.01	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-008-050	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	30*	14	55	0.02	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$6,707
	067-008-055	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Private Road)	30*	10	195	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,791
	067-008-051	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Vacant/Residential)	30*	14	195	0.06	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,507
	067-008-058	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	171	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,570

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

PROJECT NUMBER	APN	ZONING (LAND USE)	EXISTING ROW (LF)	NEEDE D ROW (LF)	LENGTH (LF)	AREA (AC)	PRICE (\$/AC)	AG LAND CONTINGENCY 100% (\$/AC)	ACQUISITION COST (\$/PARCEL)	TOTAL COST (\$)
	067-008-059	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	171	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,198
	067-008-038	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	159	0.04	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$7,460
	067-008-039	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	159	0.05	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,044
	067-008-041	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	512	0.12	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,702
	067-008-040	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	512	0.16	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$12,582
	067-008-056	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	330	0.08	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-008-057	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Two Single Family Units)	30*	14	330	0.11	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$10,242
	067-008-043	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	37	0.01	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$6,340
	067-008-042	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	37	0.01	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$6,476
	067-008-044	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Unusable, Small/Misshaped)	30*	10	988	0.23	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$15,073
	067-008-045	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Single Family Rural)	30*	14	988	0.32	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$18,702
	067-008-046	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	208	0.05	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-008-047	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Four Single Family Units)	30*	14	208	0.07	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$8,674
	067-011-067	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30*	10	1,170	0.27	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
	067-011-066	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Industrial/Mining)	30*	14	1,170	0.38	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$21,041
					TOTAL =	12.23		I	1	\$620,244
70c.	SR 16	AG160 Permanent Agriculture								
S	067-013-014	(Pasture)	40	14	882.00	0.28	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$17,339
	067-013-015	AG160 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	1,993	1.10	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$49,923
N	067-012-063	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (Pasture)	30	24	3,121	1.72	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$6,000	\$74,782
	063-012-065	AG80 Permanent Agriculture (State Use)	30	24	1,579	0.87	\$0	N/A	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL =	3.97				\$142,044

OVERALL = \$3,491,006

TABLE C-4 GLOBAL PRICES

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

ITEM	UNIT	PRIVATE COST	PUBLIC COST
Minor Intersection Signalization	LS	\$100,000.00	\$100,000.00
4-Way Intersection Signalization	LS	\$170,000.00	\$170,000.00
3-Way Intersection Signalization	LS	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
Traffic Signal Interconnect	LF	\$10.00	\$10.00
Clearing and Grubbing	SF	\$0.15	\$0.15
Roadway Excavation	CY	\$12.00	\$25.00
Bike Trail Excavation (w/in Wetland)	CY	\$25.00	\$25.00
Curb (Type 5)	LF	\$25.00	\$25.00
Curb (Type 3)	LF	\$11.00	\$11.00
Curb & Gutter (Type 2)	LF	\$13.00	\$17.00
2" AC Overlay	TON	\$52.00	\$62.00
6" Asphalt Concrete	TON	\$52.00	\$62.00
14" Aggregate Base	TON	\$23.00	\$23.00
16" Aggregate Base	TON	\$23.00	\$23.00
Decomposed Granite	TON	\$23.00	\$23.00
Bike Trail Asphalt Concrete (w/in Wetland)	TON	\$78.00	\$78.00
Bike Trail Aggregate Base (w/in Wetland)	TON	\$34.50	\$34.50
Bike Trail Decomposed Granite (w/in Wetland)	TON	\$34.50	\$34.50
Storm Drain (2 DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road)	LF	\$22.00	\$22.00
Sidewalk (6' wide)	SF	\$3.75	\$5.00
Bus Pads	EA	\$735.00	\$735.00
Frontage Landscaping	SF	\$3.50	\$3.50
Median Landscaping	SF	\$3.50	\$8.00
Pavement Removal	SF	\$1.50	\$1.50
Roadside Ditch	LF	\$5.00	\$5.00
Soundwall	LF	\$70.00	\$70.00
76' ROW Center Striping	LF	\$6.00	\$6.00
96' ROW Center Striping	LF	\$8.00	\$8.00
96' ROW Full Section Striping	LF	\$16.00	\$16.00
Frontage Striping	LF	\$4.00	\$4.00
Bike Trail Striping	LF	\$2.00	\$2.00
Bike Trail Fencing	LF	\$10.00	\$10.00
Each Frontage Street Lighting	LF	\$14.00	\$14.00
Bike Trail Culvert	EA	\$2,000.00	\$2,000.00
Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall)	LF	\$200.00	\$200.00
Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall)	LF	\$500.00	\$500.00
Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall)	LF	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00
Land Cost	AC	\$150,000.00	\$150,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (AG)	AC	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
AG Land Contingency	AC	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (USBR O)	AC	\$20,000.00	\$20,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (IR)	AC	\$40,000.00	\$40,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (SPA)	AC	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (ZOO)	AC	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (M1 or M2)	AC	\$130,000.00	\$130,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (GC)	AC	\$300,000.00	\$300,000.00
Right of Way Aquisition (GC/Service Station)	AC	\$500,000.00	\$500,000.00
Acquisition Cost	PARCEL	\$2,000.00	\$6,000.00
Soft Cost/Contingency		31%	45%

NOTES:

- 1. Intersection street lighting, striping, and traffic control and staging have too many variables so they do not have principal unit prices prices were determined per intersection.
- 2. Traffic Control center section is estimated at approx. \$10/LF for existing roads.
- 3. Traffic Signal for item 24 is a minor signalization.

TABLE C-5 NOTES

- 1 Street lights at intersections corners are assumed to be on the traffic signal poles.
- 2 Landscape corridors are assumed 29' wide adjacent to commercial property and 19' wide adjacent to residential property.
- 3 Arterial streets are assumed 88' wide (BOW to BOW).
- 4 Thoroughfare streets are assumed 108' wide (BOW to BOW)
- 5 Grantline Road pavement assumed salvageable and 30' wide. Cost included for widening and overlay only.
- 6 Jackson Highway (SR16) and Bradshaw Boulevard pavement assumed salvageable and 36' wide. Cost included for widening and overlay only.
- 7 Eagles Nest Road and Excelsior Boulecard pavement assumed NOT salvageable due to narrow width and poor condition.
- 8 Douglas Road pavement assumed not salvageable due to narrow width and poor condition.
- 9 Striping Costs: \$8 for thoroughfare center lanes and \$4 for each thoroughfare frontage; \$6 for arterial center lanes and \$4 for each arterial frontage.
- 10 Intersection improvements include frontage adjacent to properties within the Sunridge Specific Plan area only. Frontage is included in intersections for all curb returns.
- 11 Project 18 includes reconstruction of existing road through curve to radius=2000'. Length of reconstruction estimated at 1800 LF.
- 12 Sunrise Boulevard pavement assumed not salvageable due to horizontal location and need to raise road to mitigate for existing flooding problems.
- 13 Quantities for projects 24, 31, and 32 revised per specific plan map lengths.
- 14 Partial quantity for projects 43, 44, 45, 46, and 49 included in intersection improvements 12, 13, and 20.
- 15 Costs for improvement 8 are carried over from the Public Facilities Financing Plan (PFFP) per conversation with Paul Philleo. The Douglas/Zinfandel intersection is fully funded in the Mather CIP as a 6x4 intersection widening and signalization.
- 16 Costs for improvement 55 are carried over from the PFFP. Assumed improved by others with contribution from Sunridge Specific Plan for amount shown.
- 17 Improvements 14, 56, 68, 69, 71, 72b are 100% funded by others per PFFD. Costs of these improvements are carried over from the PFFD b/c those costs are assumed to be previously determined by the funding party. Right of Way Acquisition costs assumed to be included in carry over costs.
- 18 Improvement 22 is fully funded by others. An updated cost estimate was completed to ensure accuracy. The intersection is 6x6 instead of 6x4.
- 19 Carried over costs were directly carried over from the EPS PFFP (not adjusted) to avoid cost discrepancies.
- 20 Culverts assumed to extend 5 LF beyond the back of walk. All culvert improvements replace any previously existing culvert or pipe crossings. Unit cost includes headwall cost. Necessary culvert size determined on the basis of shed area and runoff estimation from Sacramento County Drainage Manual and the examination of previously prepared plan area drainage maps.
- 21 Improvement 15 quantities based on preliminary improvement plans provided by sacramento county for interim improvements to Grantline/Sunrise intersection. Quantities reflect means necessary to improve intersection to 6x6 intx.
- 22 Right of Way Acquisition requirements are only rough estimates and should be used as such. Quantities are based on accessor maps. Survey's must be completed for individual projects in order to determine the exact quantity of right of way needed to complete improvements. Costs do not take into consideration widening at intersections. Costs provide only a rough estimate could change significantly when individual project surveys are completed.
- 23 The Right of Way Acquistion costs represent the full right of way required at buildout, therefore the costs contain excess right of way needed during interim improvements. Moreover, this provides approximately 30% contingeny to cover future cost changes.
- 24 State Use land alond SR16 assumed to be previously acquired right of way. Therefore, assumed to be dedicated at no cost.
- 25 County Use land assumed to be dedicated at no cost.

TABLE C-5 NOTES

FEE PROGRAM PROJECT COST ESTIMATES

26 Breakdown of Soft Costs and Contingency

Private

3% Design surveys and construction staking

7% Engineering

4% Construction Management

5% Inspection and Materials Testing

10% Cost Contingency

1% Bonding

<u>Public</u>

9% Inspection

2% Materials Testing

20% Engineering & Preliminary Surveying

4% Construction Surveying

10% Cost Contingency