



GOODWIN CONSULTING GROUP

**UPDATE OF THE
SUNRISE DOUGLAS COMMUNITY PLAN
DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE PROGRAM
NEXUS STUDY**

INCLUDES UPDATE OF:

**ROADWAY FEE
SUPPLEMENTAL OFFSITE WATER FEE
INTERIM SEWER FEE**

DRAFT REPORT

March 3, 2021

*Update of the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan
Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study*

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <i>Section</i> | <i>Page</i> |
|---|-------------|
| Executive Summary | i |
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| II. Fee Methodology | 3 |
| III. Land Use Categories | 5 |
| IV. Infrastructure | 7 |
| V. Roadway Facilities and Fee | 8 |
| VI. Supplemental Offsite Water Facilities and Fee | 11 |
| VII. Interim Sewer Facilities and Fee | 13 |
| VIII. Fee Program Summary | 15 |

APPENDIX

Appendix A - SDCP Fee Program Calculations

Appendix B – Detailed Roadway, Offsite Water, and Interim Sewer Facility Costs

Appendix C - Fee Program Boundary Map

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

INTRODUCTION

The Sunrise Douglas Community Plan (“SDCP”) area is generally bordered by Sunrise Boulevard, Douglas Road, Grant Line Road, and Jackson Highway in the City of Rancho Cordova (the “City”). The City is in the eastern central portion of Sacramento County along Highway 50 neighboring the cities of Sacramento and Folsom. The SDCP consists of approximately 6,100 acres for residential and commercial development, of which approximately 43 percent, or 2,632 acres, fall within the Sunridge Specific Plan (“SRSP”) area. An additional 530 acres falls within The Ranch Special Planning Area (“The Ranch”), and another 283 acres known as the Preserve Project (“The Preserve”) is located within the Grant Line West Planning Area. The SRSP area, The Ranch, and The Preserve will be collectively referred to in this report as the “Fee Program Area”.

A map of the Fee Program Area boundary is included in Appendix C of this report. This study incorporates only the land uses and facilities necessary to develop the Fee Program Area. As development plans and the facilities for the remainder of the SDCP area are identified, the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study (the “2021 Nexus”) will be updated to incorporate all SDCP land uses and facilities. At that time, the City will adopt an updated fee program for the entire SDCP area.

Certain infrastructure and facilities, such as roadway improvements, transit shuttle service, offsite water facilities, interim sewer facilities, park facilities, and library facilities, will be required to develop the Fee Program Area. Much of the funding for these facilities will come from development impact fees. Since such facilities are needed as a result of development in the Fee Program Area, the cost of these facilities should be borne by this development. The Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study, completed in 2004 (“2004 Nexus Study”), and which presented impact fees that would apply to SRSP development, was adopted by the City of Rancho Cordova City Council (“City Council”) on June 21, 2004. The 2004 Nexus Study was updated the following year in 2005, when the Council adopted an updated nexus study (“2005 Nexus Study”).

Among other changes, this nexus study adds two new development projects, The Ranch and The Preserve, to the Fee Program Area. As noted in the prior nexus studies, if additional areas within the SDCP develop, those areas will be consolidated into the Fee Program Area and the impact fees will be updated through the adoption of a future nexus study.

FEES INCLUDED IN THE 2021 NEXUS STUDY

The 2004 Nexus Study established development impact fees for roadway, transit, supplemental offsite water, interim sewer, park, library, and fee program update costs. The fees for roadway, supplemental offsite water, and interim sewer facilities were subsequently updated in the 2005 Nexus Study. These fees were established to mitigate the impacts on the City from future

development in the SRSP. Updates to the facilities and costs in the SDCP Development Impact Fee Program (the “Fee Program”) plus the addition of two new development projects have created the need to update the three fees that were included in the 2005 Nexus Study. The 2021 Nexus Study proposes updated development impact fees for the following facilities:

- Roadway facilities
- Supplemental offsite water facilities
- Interim sewer facilities

City staff has indicated that the Transit Shuttle Fee will be discontinued in the Fee Program and the fund balance for this fee will be transferred to another City fee program that funds transit shuttle purchases. In addition, City staff is also discontinuing the Fee Program Update Fee as future minor updates, if any, will be covered by the administrative fee.

FACILITIES AND COSTS

The Fee Program will fund various types of infrastructure and public facilities that will serve future development. The table on the following page summarizes the roadway, supplemental offsite water, and interim sewer costs that will be funded with the fees presented in this report. Infrastructure costs have been updated by City staff; details of the facilities and their itemized costs are presented in Appendix B of this report. The remainder of the costs will be funded through various alternative sources including:

- Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee Program (SCTDF)
- Rancho Cordova Transportation Development Impact Fee Program (TDIF)
- Measure A Sales Tax
- Sacramento Regional County Sewer District
- Sacramento County Sewer District 1
- Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40
- Individual Developers
- Rancho Cordova Community Facilities Districts

The infrastructure costs shown in the table below are not a complete list of the facilities that will be funded by SDCP development but only those included in this 2021 Nexus Study. Development in the SDCP area will be required to contribute fees toward other facilities included in the Fee Program such as park facilities, library facilities, and fee program updates. The SDCP area will also be required to pay fees or construct infrastructure for permanent water, sewer, drainage, fire, and school facilities; however, the fees and costs associated with these facilities are not within the City’s jurisdiction and therefore, are not part of the SDCP Fee Program. This report will address the individual facilities listed in the table below and the associated SDCP Fees.

| SDCP Fee Program Costs | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Facilities | Costs (2020\$) |
| Roadway Improvements | \$89,258,246 |
| Supplemental Offsite Water | \$10,392,758 |
| Interim Sewer | \$6,987,837 |
| SDCP Facilities Cost | \$106,638,842 |

SUMMARY OF THE SDCP FEES

The table below summarizes the proposed Roadway, Supplemental Offsite Water, and Interim Sewer Fees calculated in this 2021 Nexus Study.

| SDCP Fees | | | | |
|---|-----------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Roadways | Supplemental Offsite Water | Interim Sewer | Total* |
| <i>Residential Development</i> | | | | |
| Single Family | \$7,719 | \$1,003 | \$692 | \$9,414 |
| Multifamily | \$4,325 | \$752 | \$519 | \$5,596 |
| <i>Non-Residential Development</i> | | | | |
| Office | \$8.74 | \$0.31 | \$0.14 | \$9.19 |
| Commercial | \$12.66 | \$0.37 | \$0.07 | \$13.10 |

* The City applies a 3.75% administration fee to the fees in the SDCP Fee Program

ADMINISTRATION FEE

To defray the City’s costs associated with administering the SDCP Fee Program, tracking fee credits and reimbursements, and other related program costs, the City will charge an administration fee equal to 3.75% of the total fee amount the City will administer. The fee components that the City will administer include the Roadway, Supplemental Offsite Water, and the Interim Sewer Fees. The Park and Library Fees will be collected by the City and passed through to the public agencies that will utilize these fees. The administration fee must be paid at the time of building permit issuance, or as designated by the City, and cannot be credited against through a fee credit or reimbursement agreement.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Sunrise Douglas Community Plan area is generally bordered by Sunrise Boulevard, Douglas Road, Grant Line Road, and Jackson Highway in the City of Rancho Cordova. The City is in the eastern central portion of Sacramento County along Highway 50 neighboring the cities of Sacramento and Folsom. The SDCP consists of approximately 6,100 acres for residential and commercial development, of which, approximately 43 percent or 2,632 acres fall within the SRSP area. An additional 530 acres are within The Ranch Special Planning Area and another 283 acres are within The Preserve, which is located within the Grant Line West Planning Area.

A map of the Fee Program Area is included in Appendix C of this report. This Nexus Study incorporates only the land uses and facilities necessary to develop the Fee Program Area. As development plans and the necessary facilities for the remainder of the SDCP area are identified, the Fee Program will be updated to incorporate all SDCP land uses and facilities. At that time, the City will adopt an updated nexus study for the remaining SDCP area.

PURPOSE OF STUDY

The 2021 Nexus Study updates the facilities costs and fees of three components of the Fee Program. Additionally, the 2021 Nexus Study incorporates two new developments, The Ranch and The Preserve to the Fee Program Area.

Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc. has prepared this 2021 Nexus Study, which is compliant with the requirements set forth in the Mitigation Fee Act and ensures that a rational nexus exists between future development in the SDCP area and the use and need of the proposed facilities. This 2021 Nexus Study will also demonstrate that a reasonable relationship exists between the amount of each impact fee component and the cost of the facilities attributable to the type of development that will be required to pay the impact fees.

AB 1600 NEXUS REQUIREMENTS

Assembly Bill (AB) 1600, which was enacted by the State of California in 1987, created Section 66000 et. seq. of the Government Code. AB 1600, also referred to as the Mitigation Fee Act, requires that all public agencies satisfy the following requirements when establishing, increasing, or imposing a fee as a condition of approval for a development project:

1. Identify the purpose of the fee.
2. Identify the use to which the fee will be put.
3. Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between:
 - A. The fee's use and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.

- B. The need for the public facility and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed.
- C. The amount of the fee and the cost of the public facility or portion of the public facility attributable to the development on which the fee is imposed.

As stated above, the purpose of this 2021 Nexus Study is to demonstrate that the fees included in this 2021 Nexus Study comply with the Mitigation Fee Act. The assumptions, methodology, facility standards, costs, and cost allocation factors that were used to establish the nexus between the fees established in the 2021 Nexus Study (“SDCP Fees”) and the development on which they will be levied are summarized in the subsequent sections of this report.

ORGANIZATION OF REPORT

The remainder of this report has been organized into the following sections:

- | | |
|----------------|---|
| Section II | Provides a general explanation of the methodology used to calculate the fee components in the Fee Program. |
| Section III | Defines the land use categories to be used in the application of the fees as well as land uses within the Fee Program Area. |
| Section IV | Defines the infrastructure categories and costs in the SDCP capital improvement plan. |
| Sections V-VII | Provides the details of the individual fee calculations for Roadway, Supplemental Water, and Interim Sewer Fees. |
| Section VIII | Provides a summary of the impact fee components calculated in this report and addresses future fee adjustments, credit/reimbursement policies, fee implementation issues and administrative duties for the Fee Program. |

II. FEE METHODOLOGY

When an impact fee is calculated, an analysis must be presented in enough detail to demonstrate that a logical and thorough consideration was applied in the process of determining how the fee relates to the impacts from new development. Various findings must be made to ensure that there is a reasonable relationship between the use, need and amount of an impact fee and the type of development on which that impact fee will be levied. Following is the methodology used to calculate impact fees in this report.

FEE CALCULATION

The steps to calculate the SDCP Fees are as follows:

- Step 1.*** Identify the total development, existing and future, in the Fee Program Area; this includes the original SRSP area, The Ranch, and The Preserve.
- Step 2.*** Determine all the infrastructure and facilities needed to serve the Fee Program Area; this includes existing and future infrastructure and facilities. This starts with the original capital improvement plan (CIP) for roadways, supplemental offsite water, and interim sewer facilities. The original CIP was then updated by City staff to identify all facilities that are either not required anymore or are fully or partially funded through an alternative funding source. The updated CIP is the basis for the total net cost of each facility type to be funded by the Fee Program.
- Step 3.*** Estimate the net cost of facilities needed to serve the development in the Fee Program Area. For facilities that have not yet been constructed by developers, the original costs from 2005 are inflated based on the City's inflation adjustments over the years. For facilities that have been constructed by developers, the full dollar amount in the original credit/reimbursement agreement is included in the cost total. Pursuant to City policy, the credit/reimbursement agreement amounts are not subject to annual inflation adjustments and therefore, their original agreement amounts have not changed, as presented in the CIP. Additionally, any revenues available from alternative funding sources are subtracted to determine a net facilities cost. that will be allocated to all development
- Step 4.*** Identify the demand variable (i.e., trips generated, gallons/day, persons served, acres etc.) that will be used to allocate facility costs on a benefit rationale basis to each land use category; apply demand variable rates or Equivalent Dwelling Units (EDU) to individual land uses based on service demand.
- Step 5.*** Determine the total amount of EDUs that will be generated by all development land use categories by multiplying the land uses by their assigned EDU factor.

- Step 6.*** Divide the net facilities cost allocated to all development in the Fee Program Area by the total EDUs to determine the fee per EDU.
- Step 7.*** Determine the fee for each land use category by multiplying the assigned EDU factor for each land use category by the fee per EDU calculated in Step 6.

III. LAND USE CATEGORIES

LAND USE CATEGORIES

The Mitigation Fee Act requires that a reasonable relationship exist between the need for public facilities and the type of development on which an impact fee is imposed. The need for public facilities is related to the level of service demanded, which varies in proportion to the number of residents or employees generated by a particular development type. Therefore, land use categories have been defined in order to distinguish between relative impacts on facilities. All fee components of the Fee Program are calculated on a per-dwelling unit basis for residential land use categories and on a per-building square foot basis for non-residential land use categories.

The following land use categories are identified for purposes of the SDCP Fee:

- Single Family:** includes all single family residential development categories that include single family detached and attached homes with two or less units.
- Multi-Family:** includes all multi-family residential development categories, including condominiums, apartments and residential buildings with three or more units.
- Office:** includes buildings constructed for the purpose of occupancy by predominantly business and professional office uses located on sites zoned BP Business and Professional Office in accord with the City of Rancho Cordova Zoning Code.
- Commercial:** includes buildings constructed for the purpose of occupancy by retail, services, and other predominantly non-office businesses located on sites zoned SC Shopping Center, LC Limited Commercial, TC Travel Commercial, AC Auto Commercial, or GC General Commercial in accord with the City of Rancho Cordova Zoning Code or designated Commercial or Commercial Mixed Use (CMU) by the Sunridge Specific Plan or other specific plan. Residential dwellings constructed on sites designated CMU or another commercial zone are residential development rather than commercial development.

The City will make the final determination as to which land use category a particular development will be assigned. City staff will determine the land use category that corresponds most directly to the land use. Alternatively, the City can determine that no land use category adequately corresponds to the development in question and may work in conjunction with the City planning director to determine the applicable ad hoc impact fees.

LAND USE QUANTITIES

The 2021 Nexus Study incorporates land uses from two new developments in the Fee Program Area, The Ranch and The Preserve; these two new developments were not included in the 2005 Nexus Study. City Staff estimates that development in the Fee Program Area totals 10,095 residential units, of which, 9,710 are projected to be single family units and 385 are multi-family units. The Fee Program Area also includes 91.7 acres of neighborhood commercial development. No office/employment center development is anticipated in the Fee Program Area at this time.

Unlike the 2005 Nexus Study, the 2021 Nexus Study does not include a 5.0% land use adjustment to address the potential loss of development to wetland mitigation issues since most of the wetland areas have been identified at this time. Development projections will be updated in future revisions to the 2021 Nexus Study as they become available and are more precise. Table A-1 in Appendix A shows the estimated number of residential units and non-residential square footage within the Fee Program Area and Table A-8 identifies the individual development projects.

IV. INFRASTRUCTURE

The SDCP Fees will fund various types of infrastructure that will serve future development in the Fee Program Area. The table below summarizes the roadway, water, and sewer facilities costs for the SDCP CIP that will be funded with the SDCP Fees.

The CIP was originally developed by the City with the help of its consultant, Wood Rodgers, in the early 2000s. Since that time City staff have maintained and updated the CIP facilities and costs, the executed credit/reimbursement agreements, and the contributions from alternative funding sources. The facilities and cost details are presented in Appendix B of this report. Alternative funding sources include the following:

- Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee Program (SCTDF)
- Rancho Cordova Transportation Development Impact Fee Program (TDIF)
- Measure A Sales Tax
- Sacramento Regional County Sewer District
- Sacramento County Sewer District 1
- Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40
- Rancho Cordova Community Facilities Districts
- Individual Developers

| 2021 SDCP Fee-Funded Costs | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Facilities | Costs (2020 \$) |
| Roadway Improvements | \$89,258,246 |
| Supplemental Offsite Water | \$10,392,758 |
| Interim Sewer | \$6,987,837 |
| SDCP Facilities Cost | \$106,638,842 |

The infrastructure listed in the table above are not a complete list of the facilities that will be funded by SDCP development but only those that are included in this 2021 Nexus Study. The SDCP area will also be required to contribute to other Fee Program fees that are not included in this 2021 Nexus Study. Other Fee Program fees include the Park Fee, and the Library Fee. The Transit Shuttle Fee will be discontinued in the SDCP Fee Program and the fund balance for this fee will be transferred to another City fee program that funds the transit shuttle purchases. City staff is also discontinuing the Fee Program Update Fee as future minor updates, if any, will be covered by the administrative fee. The SDCP area will also be required to pay fees or construct infrastructure for permanent water, sewer, drainage, fire, and school facilities; however, the fees and costs associated with these facilities are not within the City’s jurisdiction and therefore, are not part of the SDCP Fee Program. This report only addresses the three facility categories listed in the table above and their associated SDCP Fees.

V. ROADWAY FACILITIES AND FEE

Roadway facilities for the Fee Program Area include construction and widening of roads, intersection signalization, median improvements, drainage improvements and road landscaping. The need for these roadway facilities is triggered by the increase in traffic that will be generated by development in the Fee Program Area, and therefore, these improvements will be included in the calculation of the Roadway Fee. The Roadway Fee meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

| AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Roadway Fee | |
|---|--|
| Identify Purpose of Fee | To construct roads, intersections, widenings, and other roadway improvements in the SDCP CIP needed to mitigate the impacts of new development within the Fee Program Area. |
| Identify Use of Fee | Roadway Fee revenue will fund the improvement and construction of roads, intersections, and other roadway facilities identified in the SDCP CIP and detailed in Appendix B of this report. |
| Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed. | New residential and non-residential development will generate additional residents and employees in the Fee Program Area that will create demand for adequate roadway facilities to serve the new development areas. Existing roadway facilities are adequate to serve the City's existing population but cannot provide adequate circulation for the increased population and employment that will be generated by development in the Fee Program Area. Therefore, new roadway facilities must be constructed. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the trip generation factors. Development types will have fees that are proportionate with their trip generation factors. |

FACILITY REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS

Table B-1 of Appendix B identifies the roadway projects in the original CIP and Table B-2 shows the detailed costs of these projects. The projects include construction and widening of major on-site and off-site roadway segments, intersection improvements and signalization, median improvements, drainage culverts, landscaping, and right of way land acquisition.

The total cost of these roadway facilities in 2005 was approximately \$137.3 million; however, funding for a portion of the total cost will come from multiple sources other than the SDCP Fee Program. The alternative funding sources include the following:

- Sacramento County Transportation Development Fee Program (SCTDF)
- Rancho Cordova Citywide Transportation Development Impact Fee Program (TDIF)
- Measure A Sales Tax
- Rancho Cordova Community Facilities Districts
- Individual Developers

After reducing the cost for the alternative funding and applying inflation escalations to the cost estimates, the total amount of the roadway facilities cost allocated to the Roadway Fee calculation is approximately \$85.0 million. Approximately \$42.3 million of the total \$85.0 million cost is included in existing credit/reimbursement agreements the City entered with developers for roadways constructed by the developers; Table A-3 in Appendix A shows this allocation. Because the City does not adjust the credits and reimbursements annually for inflation, the \$42.3 million dollar cost associated with the credit/reimbursement agreements was not adjusted for inflation when calculating the Roadway Fee in this 2021 Nexus Study.

Finally, an additional \$4,250,393 (5%) is added to the total net cost as a contingency. In total, development in the Fee Program Area is allocated \$89,258,246 of roadway facilities costs.

ROADWAY FEE

Table A-4 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the updated Roadway Fee. The \$89.3 million net roadway cost is allocated to current and future land uses in the Fee Program Area based on the EDUs factors used in the *Public Facilities Financing Plan for the Sunridge Specific Plan* (the “SRSP Finance Plan”). The SRSP Finance Plan identifies the *Elk Grove/West Vineyard Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Fee Program* (the “EG/WV PFFP”) as the source of the EDU factors used in its report. A review of the EG/WV PFFP roadway EDU calculation showed that the EDUs were based on peak hour trip rates adjusted for zoning category and development density. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the level of trips generated by different land use categories and therefore, it is used to allocate the cost of roadway facilities in this 2021 Nexus Study. The EDU factor for Single Family Residential (SFR) units, used in this report, is a blended rate based on the weighted average of the EDU factors in the EG/WV PFFP for RD-5 and RD-7 zoning. The Multifamily Residential (MFR) category is assigned the RD-20 EDU rate and the Office and Commercial categories are assigned the BP and GC zoning EDU factors from that report.

Table A-4 shows that utilizing the assigned EDU factors to allocate the \$89.3 million net roadway cost to all the land uses within the Fee Program Area yields Roadway Fees of \$7,719 per SFR, \$4,325 per MFR, \$8.74 per building square foot for Office and \$12.66 per building square foot for Commercial land uses.

For comparison, the table below shows the proposed residential Roadway Fees are 52 to 59 percent lower than the City’s current fees. The proposed nonresidential Roadway Fees are 28 to 38 percent lower than the City’s current fees.

| Land Use | Roadway Fee | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Proposed Fee | Current Fee | Percent Change |
| Residential | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | |
| Single Family Residential | \$7,719 | \$16,057 | (52%) |
| Multi-Family Residential | \$4,325 | \$10,569 | (59%) |
| Non-Residential | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | |
| Office | \$8.74 | \$14.10 | (38%) |
| Commercial | \$12.66 | \$17.63 | (28%) |

VI. SUPPLEMENTAL OFFSITE WATER FACILITIES & FEE

The Supplemental Offsite Water Fee calculated in this section of the report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements as outlined in the table below.

| AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Supplemental Offsite Water Component of the 2021 SDCP Fee | |
|---|---|
| Identify Purpose of Fee | Funding water improvements to serve the Fee Program Area |
| Identify Use of Fee | Fee revenue will fund supplemental offsite water improvements that are part of the CIP as identified in Table B-3 of Appendix B of this report |
| Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed. | New residential and commercial development will generate residents and employees in the Fee Program Area that will create a demand for water service. Fees collected through the Fee Program from new development will be used to fund offsite water facilities that will serve the Fee Program Area. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the assignment of water EDUs for each development type. |

FACILITY REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS

Supplemental offsite water facilities include a groundwater treatment plant, a raw water line along Excelsior Road, seven Vineyard well fields, the Folsom South canal crossing, the cost of water studies, as well as land costs for the water treatment plant, the water tank and booster pump station, and the seven well fields. The total estimated cost for these facilities in 2005 was \$39.3 million; however, the Sacramento County Water Agency (SCWA) will not reimburse the full cost to the developer or developers that construct these facilities. The remainder, therefore, will be funded through the SDCP Supplemental Offsite Water Fee. After applying annual escalation to the cost estimates through 2020, the net cost of the supplemental offsite water facilities that will be funded through the Fee Program is \$10.4 million. Approximately \$9.5 million of the total \$10.4 million cost is included in existing credit/reimbursement agreements the City entered with developers for the facilities constructed by developers. Because the City does not adjust the credits and reimbursements annually for inflation, the \$9.5 million dollar cost associated with the credit/reimbursement agreements was not adjusted for inflation when calculating the Supplemental Offsite Water Fee in this 2021 Nexus Study.

The City will collect the Supplemental Offsite Water Fee and use it to reimburse the developer(s) who constructed these facilities. The \$10.4 million net cost is allocated to development in the Fee Program Area based on a fair share allocation. The cost for these facilities and land acquisition will be allocated based on EDUs developed for the Sacramento County Water Agency's (SCWA) water development fee program. Table B-3 in Appendix B identifies the water facilities and the portion of the cost that will not be reimbursed by the SCWA Zone 40 program.

SUPPLEMENTAL OFFSITE WATER FEE

Table A-5 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the Supplemental Offsite Water Fee. The \$10.4 million cost is allocated to current and future land uses in the Fee Program Area based on the EDUs factors used by the SCWA development fee program. That fee program assigns EDU factors based on service demand reflected in the size of the water meter of a typical development type. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of water demand generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of water facilities in this 2021 Nexus Study. For residential development, an EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and 0.75 EDU for a MFR unit. Office and Commercial development are assigned 4.0 EDUs per acre.

Utilizing the EDU factors to allocate the \$10.4 million cost to the land uses within the Fee Program Area yields residential fees of \$1,003 per SFR unit, \$752 per MFR unit, and \$0.31 and \$0.37 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

For comparison, the table below shows the proposed residential Supplemental Offsite Water Fees are 36 percent lower than the City's current fees and the proposed nonresidential Supplemental Offsite Water Fees are 36 to 37 percent lower than the City's current fees.

| Supplemental Offsite Water Fee | | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Land Use | Proposed Fee | Current Fee (1) | Percent Change |
| Residential | | | |
| | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | |
| Single Family Residential | \$1,003 | \$1,562 | (36%) |
| Multi-Family Residential | \$752 | \$1,172 | (36%) |
| Non-Residential | | | |
| | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | |
| Office | \$0.31 | \$0.49 | (37%) |
| Commercial | \$0.37 | \$0.57 | (36%) |

VII. INTERIM SEWER FACILITIES AND FEE

Interim sewer improvements include construction of force mains and lift stations for the Fee Program Area. The need for the interim sewer facilities is a direct result of future development in the Fee Program Area, and therefore, the costs of these improvements will be allocated to all development in the Fee Program Area. The Interim Sewer Fee calculated in this section of the report meets the AB 1600 nexus requirements, as outlined in the table below.

| AB 1600 Nexus Test for the Interim Sewer Facilities Component of the 2021 SDCP Fee | |
|---|--|
| Identify Purpose of Fee | Funding for the interim sewer facilities to serve the Fee Program Area. |
| Identify Use of Fee | Fee revenue will fund the construction of force mains and lift stations that are included in the CIP and identified in Table B-4 of Appendix B of this report |
| Determine how there is a reasonable relationship between the 1) need for the public facility, 2) the use of the fee, and 3) the amount of the fee, and the type of development project on which the fee is imposed. | New residential and commercial development will generate residents and employees in SDCP that will create a demand for sewer facilities. This will necessitate the need for force mains and lift stations. Impact fees collected through the Fee Program from development will be used to fund these facilities. New development will be allocated a fair share of the cost based on the assignment of sewer EDUs for each development type. |

FUTURE FACILITY REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS

The demand for sewer facilities is a direct result of development within the Fee Program Area. These facilities will primarily benefit residents and employees in the Fee Program Area and therefore, the cost of these facilities is allocated to current and future development in the Fee Program Area. The proposed interim sewer facilities include lift stations at Chrysanthy Boulevard, Douglas Boulevard, and Kiefer Boulevard, force mains, the Folsom South canal crossing, the Chrysanthy Boulevard trunk sewer and sewer studies. The total cost for these facilities was estimated to be \$16.1 million in 2005; however, Sacramento County Sanitation District 1 (CSD-1) will not reimburse the full amount to the developer or developers that construct these facilities. Therefore, the remainder will be funded through the Interim Sewer Fee. The net cost of the interim sewer facilities funded through the Fee Program is \$7.0 million. The Interim Sewer Fee will be collected by the City and used to reimburse the developers that have constructed these facilities. The cost of these facilities is allocated on a fair-share basis to all development in the Fee Program Area.

The net interim sewer facilities cost will be allocated based on the EDU factors established in the Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District of Sacramento County (SRCSD) ordinance SRSD-0093. This ordinance establishes EDUs for the SRCSD sewer impact fee program. Table B-4 in Appendix B identifies the sewer facilities and the portion of the cost that will not be reimbursed by CSD-1.

INTERIM SEWER FEE

Table A-6 in Appendix A shows the calculation of the Interim Sewer Fee. The \$7.0 million cost is allocated to all land uses in the Fee Program Area based on the EDU factors established in the SRCSD ordinance for its impact fee program. That fee program assigns EDU factors based on service demand reflected in estimated sewage discharges for various development categories. This is a reasonable approach for comparing the estimated level of sewage generated by different land use categories and therefore, it was used to allocate the cost of sewer facilities in this 2021 Nexus Study. For residential development, an EDU factor of 1.0 is assigned to a SFR unit and a 0.75 EDU for a MFR unit. Office and Commercial development are assigned 0.2 and 0.1 EDUs per 1,000 square feet of building space, pursuant to the units in the ordinance, and were subsequently converted to the per-acre EDU factors shown in Table A-6.

Utilizing the EDU factors to allocate the \$7.0 million cost to the land uses within the Fee Program Area yields residential fees of \$692 per SFR unit, \$519 per MFR unit, and \$0.14 and \$0.07 per building square foot for Office and Commercial land uses, respectively.

For comparison, the table below shows the proposed residential Interim Sewer Fees are 36 percent lower than the City’s current fees and the proposed nonresidential Interim Sewer Fees are 29 to 39 percent lower than the City’s current fees.

| Land Use | Interim Sewer Fee | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| | Proposed Fee | Current Fee (1) | Percent Change |
| Residential | per Unit | per Unit | |
| Single Family Residential | \$692 | \$1,077 | (36%) |
| Multi-Family Residential | \$519 | \$808 | (36%) |
| Non-Residential | per Bldg SF | per Bldg SF | |
| Office | \$0.14 | \$0.23 | (39%) |
| Commercial | \$0.07 | \$0.10 | (29%) |

VIII. FEE PROGRAM SUMMARY

The table below summarizes the proposed Roadway, Supplemental Offsite Water, and Interim Sewer Fees calculated in this 2021 Nexus Study.

| SDCP Fees | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|---|--------------------------|----------------|
| | Roadways | Supplemental Offsite Water | Interim Sewer | Total* |
| <i>Residential Development</i> | | | | |
| Single Family | \$7,719 | \$1,003 | \$692 | \$9,414 |
| Multifamily | \$4,325 | \$752 | \$519 | \$5,596 |
| <i>Non-Residential Development</i> | | | | |
| Office | \$8.74 | \$0.31 | \$0.14 | \$9.19 |
| Commercial | \$12.66 | \$0.37 | \$0.07 | \$13.10 |

* The City applies a 3.75% administration fee to the fees in the SDCP Fee Program.

ADMINISTRATION FEE

To defray the City's costs associated with administering the SDCP Fee Program, tracking fee credits and reimbursements, and other related program costs, the City will charge an administration fee equal to 3.75% of the total fee amount the City will administer. The fee components that the City will administer include the Roadway, Supplemental Offsite Water, and the Interim Sewer Fees. The Park and Library Fees will be collected by the City and passed through to the public agencies that will utilize these fees. The administration fee must be paid at the time of building permit issuance, or as designated by the City, and cannot be credited against through a fee credit or reimbursement agreement.

FEE ADJUSTMENTS

The SDCP Fees may be adjusted in future years to reflect revised facility costs or standards, receipt of funding from alternative sources (i.e., state or federal grants), or changes in demographics or the land use plan. In addition to such adjustments, on March 1 of each year no later than March 15, the City's public works director shall authorize the adjustment of the SDCP Fees as follows:

Step 1 - A "mean" index will be computed by averaging the Engineering News Record January Construction Cost Indices for 20 U.S. Cities and San Francisco.

Step 2 - An adjustment factor shall be computed by dividing the "mean" index by the "mean" index for the previous January; and, if a new 2021 SDCP Fee has been adopted after January of the previous year, the adjustment factor shall use the "mean" index from the month that the fee was adopted.

Step 3 - The new 2021 SDCP Fee shall be calculated by multiplying the adjustment factor, as calculated in Step 2 by the SDCP Fee in place prior to the annual adjustment.

FEE CREDIT AND REIMBURSEMENT POLICIES

Rancho Cordova will be required to levy, collect, and credit impact fees and process reimbursements to certain developers who build oversized facilities. The City has developed fee credit and reimbursement policies and to establish a set of procedures to guide implementation of the City's Fee Program.

For purposes of this summary, "facility" means either a completed facility or a component thereof that has been built by a developer seeking fee credits or reimbursement.

Policy 1. Fee credits and reimbursements will be granted to a developer who builds a public improvement based on the actual cost of the improvement, up to the cost that had been programmed into the fee program for that facility. City staff will review invoices, receipts, cancelled checks and other documentation to determine the actual cost incurred for a particular facility.

1.1 The 10% cost contingency built into the programmed costs will be considered part of the programmed cost for which a developer can receive fee credits or reimbursements; the contingency will not be considered a cost overrun for purposes of applying these policies.

1.2 The City will not be required to track soft costs, such as design, engineering, and inspection, specifically related to a particular facility. Instead, the City will multiply the net construction cost of the facility (i.e., not including the 10% cost contingency) by 21% to determine the soft costs that will be included in a fee credit or reimbursement. If the full construction cost of a facility does not qualify for credits or reimbursements, the 21% soft cost component will be multiplied by the net construction costs that do qualify for credit or reimbursement.

1.3 In conjunction with this policy, the City will update the fee program at least once each year to ensure that facility and land costs remain current and to reduce any disparity between programmed costs and actual costs. Fee updates may occur more than once a year if needed because of cost overruns (as discussed further below) or other changes that are needed to the fee program. In calculating the updated fee, the City will estimate and include the number of units that will not have building permits issued at the time the increased fee comes into effect.

- 1.4 The City will track cost savings for facilities that are built for less than the programmed cost. The cumulative amount of such cost savings will be available to offset future cost overruns that the City approves for reimbursement, as discussed further below.
- 1.5 The City reserves the right to make exceptions to this policy if there is a cost overrun that is outside the control of the City or the developer responsible for building the facility. Such a cost overrun may occur because of a new state or federal mandate, an increase in unit costs or land costs, increased City standards, or other reasons. For example, if a new state mandate results in an increase in the cost of a particular facility, the City may agree to enter into a fee credit/reimbursement agreement with the developer for the full cost of the facility. In doing so, the City will first determine whether there is a balance from cost savings on other facilities and apply the balance of such cost savings against the cost overrun. If such cost savings are insufficient to offset the cost overrun, the City will update the fee program and revise the programmed cost to correspond with the increased actual cost. In deciding whether to update the impact fees because of a cost overrun, the City will consider both the reason for the overrun and the impact on future development if the increased cost is fully incorporated in the updated fees.
- 1.6 If a developer requests a fee update to cover a cost overrun for a facility that he/she constructed, the credit/reimbursement agreement for the developer will state that the total cost paid for the facility (either through fee credits or reimbursements) will be reduced by an amount determined as follows:

$P * (NF - OF) =$ Reduction to fee credit/reimbursement amount, where:

$P =$ Number of permits issued on the developer's property after the request is made to process a fee update and prior to the new fee becoming effective

$NF =$ Amount of new fee adopted by Council after fee update

$OF =$ Amount of old fee in place prior to the fee update

This calculation, in effect, subjects the developer's property to the new fees adopted by the Council as a result of the cost overrun.

Policy 2. Prior to a developer commencing work on a facility that qualifies for fee credits or reimbursement, the developer will enter into a fee credit/reimbursement agreement with the City. Upon execution of the agreement, the developer will be granted fee credits equal to 80% of the programmed cost of the facility covered by the agreement. After acceptance by the City and reconciliation of the final facility cost, the remaining amount of fee credit will be granted to the developer. Unless the

City makes an exception as discussed above, the remaining credit will be equal to the lesser of (i) the actual cost of the facility minus the credit already granted, or (ii) the remaining 20% of the programmed cost.

2.1 If a developer uses up the initial 80% fee credit component prior to the City accepting the facility for which such credits were granted, the developer will be required to start paying fees on additional units for which building permits are issued. The City will hold the fee revenues on deposit for a period of one year after the first fees were paid by the developer. If the facility is completed and accepted by the City within one year, the developer will be paid up to the actual cost of the facility out of the fee revenues the City had collected from the developer; any remaining balance in the fee account will be used to offset future cost overruns. If the facility is not completed and accepted by the City within one year, the City will not be obligated to reimburse the developer for the remaining facility costs. At such time, revenues that had been deposited in the fee account will be available to apply to any authorized fee program costs.

Policy 3. Fee credits will be issued to developers as an identified credit balance that can be applied as the developer chooses within a particular project. For example, if a developer that is building 500 residential units is granted a fee credit balance of \$1 million for constructing a roadway facility, the developer can take a \$2,000 credit against each of the 500 lots or a \$4,000 credit against the first 250 lots for which permits are issued.

A form will be used to track the assignment and transfer of fee credits among builders and developers. A developer will submit a completed form to the City, and such form will (i) reference the credit/reimbursement agreement pursuant to which the fee credits being applied were granted, (ii) identify the developer and assignee if the credits are being assigned to a builder or other party, (iii) identify the number of lots against which the credits will be applied, (iv) identify the fee credit balance before and after the transfer, and (v) include a map that identifies the lots against which the fee credits will be applied. The form will be signed by the developer, City, and any assignees that are part of the transaction and will be kept on file at the City to assist in tracking fee credits that have been applied.

Policy 4. No inter-fund borrowing will be permitted. For example, if a developer qualifies for fee credits for constructing a roadway improvement, such credit will only be applied against the roadway impact fee. If the facility cost exceeds the roadway fees against which the developer can receive credits, the remaining balance will be reimbursed pursuant to Policy 5 below.

Policy 5. The priority of reimbursements will directly correspond to a facility priority list that will be adopted by the City Council prior to the first fee credit/reimbursement agreement being executed by a developer in the Sunrise Douglas Community Plan. The facility priority list will likely have priority categories, within which multiple

facilities will be at an equal priority with other facilities in that category. Within a particular category, reimbursements will be paid on a first-in/first-paid basis based on the date on which the City accepts each facility. Staff may amend the facility priority list in future years at the direction of the City engineer.

Policy 6. As discussed in this report, an administrative fee will be collected by the City prior to issuance of a building permit for the unit. In addition, a Fee Program Update fee has been calculated to cover costs associated with updating the fees. No credits will be issued against the administrative fee or the Fee Program Update fee.

The policies set forth above are intended to establish guidelines, while allowing flexibility for the City to respond to unique situations on a case-by-case basis. The policies may be updated over time if the City determines that changes are warranted to facilitate administration of the program or improve the overall distribution of facility costs among landowners. Ultimately, the policies are intended to ensure that funding for public facilities is provided in a timely manner and costs are fairly allocated among property owners that are conditioned to provide the improvements.

FEE IMPLEMENTATION

According to California Government Code, prior to levying a new fee or increasing an existing fee, an agency must hold at least one open and public meeting. At least 10 days prior to this meeting, the agency must make data on infrastructure costs and funding sources available to the public. Notice of the time and place of the meeting, and a general explanation of the matter, are to be published in accordance with Section 6062a of the Government Code, which states that publication of notice shall occur, for 10 days in a newspaper regularly published once a week or more. The City may then adopt the new fees at the second reading.

The 2021 Nexus Study and the relevant fees established herein will be adopted through either a City ordinance or resolution. Once the updated SDCP Fee is adopted by the City Council, it shall become effective no sooner than sixty days later, unless an urgency measure is adopted. An urgency measure is an interim authorization that waives the sixty-day waiting period and allows the new fees to be collected immediately if a finding of a current and immediate threat to the public health, welfare and safety can be demonstrated. The interim authorization requires a four-fifths vote of the City Council and stays in effect for thirty days; no more than two extensions of the authorization can be granted.

ANNUAL ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES

The Government Code requires the City to report, every year and every fifth year, certain financial information regarding the impact fees. Within 180 days after the last day of each fiscal year the City must make the following information available for the past fiscal year:

- (a) A brief description of the type of fee in the account or fund
- (b) The amount of fee revenue
- (c) The beginning and ending balance of the account or fund

- (d) The amount of fee revenue collected and interest earned
- (e) An identification of each public improvement on which fees were expended and the amount of expenditures on each improvement, including the total percentage of the cost of public improvement that was funded with fees
- (f) An identification of an approximate date by which time construction on the improvement will commence if the local agency determines that sufficient funds have been collected to complete financing on an incomplete public improvement
- (g) A description of each interfund transfer or loan made from the account or fund, when it will be repaid and at what interest rate
- (h) The amount of any refunds made once it is determined that sufficient monies have been collected to fund all projects

The City must make this information available for public review and must also present it at the next regularly scheduled public meeting not less than 15 days after this information is made available to the public.

FIFTH-YEAR ADMINISTRATIVE DUTIES

For the fifth year following the first deposit into the fee account and every five years thereafter, the City must make the following findings with respect to any remaining funds in the fee accounts:

- (a) Identify the purpose to which the fee is to be put
- (b) Demonstrate a reasonable relationship between the fee and the purpose for which it is charged
- (c) Identify all sources and amounts of funding anticipated to complete financing incomplete improvements
- (d) Designate the approximate dates on which funding is expected to be deposited into the appropriate accounts or funds

As with the annual report, the five-year report must be made public within 180 days after the end of the City's fiscal year and must be reviewed at the next regularly scheduled public meeting. The City must make these findings; otherwise, the law states that the City must refund the fee revenue to the then current owners of the development project.

ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 1483 REPORTING REQUIREMENT

On October 9, 2019, Governor Gavin Newsom signed Assembly Bill No. 1483, adding Section 65940.1 to the California Government Code (GC). As it relates to development impact fees, a city, county, or special district that has an internet website shall make the following available on its website:

A current schedule of fees, exactions, or affordability requirements imposed by the city, county or special district, including any dependent special district applicable to a proposed housing development project, which shall be presented in a manner that clearly identifies the fees, exactions, or affordability requirements that apply to each parcel.

The current and five previous annual fee reports or the current and five previous annual financial reports, that were required pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 66006 and subdivision (d) of Section 66013 of the GC.

An archive of impact fee nexus studies, cost of service studies, or equivalent, conducted by the public agency on or after January 1, 2018. A cost of service study means the data provided to the public pursuant to subdivision (a) of Section 66016 of the GC.

Assembly Bill No. 1483 defines a housing development project as consisting of (a) residential units only; or (b) mixed-use developments consisting of residential and non-residential land uses with at least two-thirds of the square footage designated for residential use; or (c) transitional housing or supportive housing. Assembly Bill No. 1483 also requires a city, county, or special district to update this information on their website within 30 days of any changes made to the information.

APPENDIX A

Sunrise Douglas Community Plan Fee Program Calculations

Table A-1
Land Uses and Demographics

| Residential Land Uses | Gross Acres (1) | Dwelling Units | Population per Household | Total Population | |
|--|--|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Single Family Residential | 1,969.2 | 9,710 | 2.88 | 27,965 | |
| Multi-Family Residential | 19.3 | 385 | 2.25 | 866 | |
| Total | 1,988.5 | 10,095 | | 28,831 | |
| Non-Residential Land Uses | Building Intensity (Avg. FAR) | Gross Acres | Building Square Footage | Employees per Acre | Total Employees |
| Office | 0.30 | 0.0 | 0 | 37.3 | 0 |
| Commercial | 0.25 | 91.7 | 998,613 | 21.8 | 1,999 |
| Total | | 91.7 | 998,613 | | 1,999 |

(1) Excludes all areas designated for schools, parks, and open space.

Sources: City of Rancho Cordova; Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-2
EDU Factors

| EDU Factors | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Land Use | Roadway Improvements (1) | Supplemental Offsite Water (2) | Interim Sewer (3) |
| Residential | <u>per Acre</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> |
| Single Family Residential | 4.50 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Multi-Family Residential | 10.20 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| Non-Residential | <u>per Acre</u> | <u>per Acre</u> | <u>per Acre</u> |
| Office | 13.50 | 4.00 | 2.61 |
| Commercial | 16.30 | 4.00 | 1.09 |

- (1) EDU factors are from the Update of the SDCP Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study, dated July 22, 2005, and were based on the Sacramento County Elk Grove/West Vineyard Public Facilities Financing Plan Development Fee Program.
- (2) EDU factors are from the Update of the SDCP Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study and were based on the Sacramento County Water Agency Zone 40 fee program.
- (3) EDU factors are from the Update of the SDCP Development Impact Fee Program Nexus Study and were based on Sacramento Regional County Sanitation District fee program.

Sources: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-3
Infrastructure Costs

| Facility | Facilities Costs <u>NOT Included in</u> Credit/Reimb. Agreements¹ | Facilities Costs <u>Included in</u> Credit/Reimb. Agreements² | Total Facilities Costs and Credit/Reimb. Agreements | 5% Roadway Contingency | Total Costs |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| | a | b | c = a + b | d = 0.05 * c | e = c + d |
| Roadway Improvements | \$42,721,918 | \$42,285,936 | \$85,007,854 | \$4,250,393 | \$89,258,246 |
| Supplemental Offsite Water | \$885,095 | \$9,507,663 | \$10,392,758 | \$0 | \$10,392,758 |
| Interim Sewer | \$20,719 | \$6,967,118 | \$6,987,837 | \$0 | \$6,987,837 |
| Total | \$43,627,732 | \$58,760,717 | \$102,388,449 | \$4,250,393 | \$106,638,842 |

1. Facilities Costs are inflated to 2020 dollars based on the annual inflation adjustments for the SDCP Fee Program.

2. Pursuant to the City's policy, SDCP credit/reimbursement agreements are not adjusted annually for inflation.

Sources: City of Rancho Cordova; Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-4
Roadway Fee Calculation

| Land Use | Gross Acres | Dwelling Units / Bldg SF | EDU Factor | Total EDUs | EDU Percent Allocation | Cost Allocation | SDCP Roadway Fee |
|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| Total Roadway Cost: | | \$89,258,246 | | | | | |
| Residential | | Units | per Acre | | | | per Unit |
| Single Family Residential | 1,969.2 | 9,710 | 4.50 | 8,862 | 84.0% | \$74,950,995 | \$7,719 |
| Multi-Family Residential | 19.3 | 385 | 10.20 | 197 | 1.9% | \$1,665,036 | \$4,325 |
| Non-Residential | | Bldg SF (1) | per Acre | | | | per Bldg SF |
| Office (2) | 0.0 | 0 | 13.50 | 0 | 0.0% | \$0 | \$8.74 |
| Commercial | 91.7 | 998,613 | 16.30 | 1,495 | 14.2% | \$12,642,215 | \$12.66 |
| Total | 2,080.2 | | | 10,553 | 100.0% | \$89,258,246 | |

(1) Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

(2) Fee for Office land uses is calculated based on the fee for Commercial land uses, the relative EDU Factors for Office and Commercial, and the floor-to-area ratios between Office and Commercial.

Sources: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-5
Supplemental Offsite Water Fee Calculation

| Land Use | Gross Acres | Dwelling Units / Bldg SF | EDU Factor | Total EDUs | EDU Percent Allocation | Cost Allocation | SDCP Supplemental Offsite Water Fee |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Total Water Facilities Cost: | | \$10,392,758 | | | | | |
| Residential | | Units | per Unit | | | | per Unit |
| Single Family Residential | 1,969.2 | 9,710 | 1.00 | 9,710 | 93.7% | \$9,735,488 | \$1,003 |
| Multi-Family Residential | 19.3 | 385 | 0.75 | 289 | 2.8% | \$289,508 | \$752 |
| Non-Residential | | Bldg SF (1) | per Acre | | | | per Bldg SF |
| Office (2) | 0.0 | 0 | 4.00 | 0 | 0.0% | \$0 | \$0.31 |
| Commercial | 91.7 | 998,613 | 4.00 | 367 | 3.5% | \$367,763 | \$0.37 |
| Total | 2,080.2 | | | 10,366 | 100.0% | \$10,392,758 | |

(1) Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

(2) Fee for Office land uses is calculated based on the fee for Commercial land uses, the relative EDU Factors for Office and Commercial, and the floor-to-area ratios between Office and Commercial.

Sources: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-6
Interim Sewer Fee Calculation

| Land Use | Gross Acres | Dwelling Units / Bldg SF | EDU Factor | Total EDUs | EDU Percent Allocation | Cost Allocation | SDCP Interim Sewer Fee |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Total Interim Sewer Cost: | | \$6,987,837 | | | | | |
| Residential | | Units | per Unit | | | | per Unit |
| Single Family Residential | 1,969.2 | 9,710 | 1.00 | 9,710 | 96.2% | \$6,718,873 | \$692 |
| Multi-Family Residential | 19.3 | 385 | 0.75 | 289 | 2.9% | \$199,802 | \$519 |
| Non-Residential | | Bldg SF (1) | per Acre | | | | per Bldg SF |
| Office | 0.0 | 0 | 2.61 | 0 | 0.0% | \$0 | \$0.14 |
| Commercial | 91.7 | 998,613 | 1.09 | 100 | 1.0% | \$69,163 | \$0.07 |
| Total | 2,080.2 | | | 10,099 | 100.0% | \$6,987,837 | |

(1) Assumes floor-to-area ratios of 0.30 for Office and 0.25 for Commercial land uses.

(2) Fee for Office land uses is calculated based on the fee for Commercial land uses, the relative EDU Factors for Office and Commercial, and the floor-to-area ratios between Office and Commercial.

Sources: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-7
Fee Comparison - Proposed vs. Current

| Land Use | Roadway Fee | | | Supplemental Offsite Water Fee | | | Interim Sewer Fee | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | Proposed Fee | Current Fee | Percent Change | Proposed Fee | Current Fee (1) | Percent Change | Proposed Fee | Current Fee (1) | Percent Change |
| Residential | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | | <u>per Unit</u> | <u>per Unit</u> | |
| Single Family Residential | \$7,719 | \$16,057 | (52%) | \$1,003 | \$1,562 | (36%) | \$692 | \$1,077 | (36%) |
| Multi-Family Residential | \$4,325 | \$10,569 | (59%) | \$752 | \$1,172 | (36%) | \$519 | \$808 | (36%) |
| Non-Residential | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | <u>per Bldg SF</u> | |
| Office | \$8.74 | \$14.10 | (38%) | \$0.31 | \$0.49 | (37%) | \$0.14 | \$0.23 | (39%) |
| Commercial | \$12.66 | \$17.63 | (28%) | \$0.37 | \$0.57 | (36%) | \$0.07 | \$0.10 | (29%) |

(1) As of June 30, 2020.

Sources: Goodwin Consulting Group, Inc.

Table A-8
Land Use for the SDCP Fee Program

| Development Area | | Acres | SFD | MF | Commercial |
|---------------------------|------|-------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | | | Acres |
| CMU | A-1 | 4.6 | | | 4.3 |
| Sunridge Plaza | A-2 | 13 | | | 13 |
| Walgreens | A-3 | 2.2 | | | 2.2 |
| (Sundance) | A-4 | 11.7 | 114 | | |
| CMU | A-5 | 9.5 | | | 0 |
| TOTAL MATHER EAST | | | 114 | | |
| Anatolia Retail | B-1 | 16 | | | 15 |
| Anthology | B-2 | 14.5 | 118 | | |
| Anatolia I | B-3 | 18.3 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-4 | 17.9 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-5 | 31.5 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-6 | 31.9 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-7 | 21.8 | 929 | | |
| Anatolia I | B-8 | 20 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-9 | 20.4 | | | |
| Anatolia I | B-10 | 19.8 | | | |
| Park | B-11 | 6.7 | | | |
| Elementary School | B-12 | 11 | | | |
| TOTAL ANATOLIA I | | | 1047 | | |
| Commercial | B-13 | 12 | | | 11.6 |
| RD-20 | B-14 | 21.4 | | 132 | |
| Eagles Nest Park | B-15 | 3.7 | | | |
| Anatolia Clubhouse | B-16 | 4.5 | 0 | | 4.5 |
| Anatolia II | B-17 | 9.9 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-18 | 31.3 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-19 | 25.5 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-20 | 11.3 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-21 | 36.1 | | | |
| Elementary School | B-22 | 11 | | | |
| Sandpiper Park | B-23 | 5.7 | 975 | | |
| Anatolia II | B-24 | 26.8 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-25 | 24.3 | | | |
| Heron Landing Park | B-26 | 21.6 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-27 | 21.4 | | | |
| Bosphorus Basin | B-28 | 9.4 | | | |
| Anatolia II | B-29 | 22.1 | | | |
| TOTAL ANATOLIA II | | | 975 | 132 | |
| Wetland Preserve | B-30 | 481.6 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-31 | 25.2 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-32 | 27.6 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-33 | 27.9 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-34 | 28.7 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-35 | 22.2 | 812 | | |
| Anatolia III | B-36 | 21.4 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-37 | 14.3 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-38 | 19.9 | | | |
| Anatolia III | B-39 | 21.4 | | | |
| TOTAL ANATOLIA III | | | 812 | | |
| Montelena | C-1 | 3 | | | |
| Montelena | C-2 | 4.4 | | | |
| Montelena | C-3 | 16.8 | | | |
| Montelena | C-4 | 12.7 | | | |
| Montelena | C-5 | 10.2 | | | |
| Montelena | D-1 | 3 | | | |
| Montelena | D-2 | 4.5 | | | |
| Montelena | D-3 | 17 | | | |
| Montelena | D-4 | 7.9 | | | |
| Park/Basin | D-5 | 4.2 | | | |
| Park/Basin | E-4 | 4 | | | |
| Montelena | D-6 | 11.7 | 806 | | |
| Montelena | E-1 | 14.6 | | | |
| Montelena | E-2 | 21.6 | | | |
| Montelena | E-3 | 11.9 | | | |
| Detention Basin | E-5 | 2 | | | |

Table A-8
Land Use for the SDCP Fee Program

| Development Area | | Acres | SFD | MF | Commercial |
|----------------------------|------|-------|------------|----|------------|
| Montelena | E-6 | 17.8 | | | |
| Montelena | F-1 | 19.3 | | | 15.64 |
| Montelena | F-2 | 2.8 | | | |
| Montelena | G-1 | 22.2 | | | |
| Montelena | H-1 | 14.4 | | | |
| Montelena | H-2 | 4 | | | |
| Water Quality Pond | H-3 | 2 | | | |
| TOTAL MONTELENA | | | 806 | | |
| Anatolia IV | I-1 | 20.1 | | | |
| Anatolia IV | I-2 | 5 | 139 | | |
| TOTAL ANATOLIA IV | | | 139 | | |
| Sunridge Lot J | J-1 | 31 | | | |
| Sunridge Lot J | J-2 | 27.4 | 369 | | |
| Sunridge Lot J | J-3 | 17.9 | | | |
| Park | J-4 | 4.8 | | | |
| TOTAL CRESLEIGH | | | 369 | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-1 | 10.8 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-2 | 16 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-3 | 16.7 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-4 | 24.6 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-5 | 11.7 | | | |
| Sunridge Park - Park | K-6 | 7.9 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-7 | 20.1 | 941 | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-8 | 26.1 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-9 | 20 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-10 | 11 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-11 | 0.8 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-12 | 22.8 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-13 | 13.5 | | | |
| Sunridge Park | K-14 | 11.7 | | | |
| CMU | K-15 | 30.5 | 0 | | |
| TOTAL SUNRIDGE PARK | | | 941 | | |
| Douglas 103 | M-1 | 11.8 | | | |
| Douglas 103 | M-2 | 25.3 | | | |
| Douglas 103 | M-3 | 21 | | | |
| Douglas 103 | M-4 | 14.3 | 198 | | |
| Commercial | M-5 | 26.1 | | | 16.1 |
| Park | M-6 | 5 | | | |
| School | M-7 | 2.3 | | | |
| CMU | Q-5 | 4.4 | | | 3.42 |
| CMU | Q-6 | 0.7 | | | 0.21 |
| TOTAL DOUGLAS 103 | | | 198 | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-1 | 22.3 | | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-2 | 28 | | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-3 | 17.3 | 528 | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-4 | 31 | | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-5 | 2.1 | | | |
| Douglas 98 | N-6 | 4.1 | | | |
| TOTAL DOUGLAS 98 | | | 528 | | |
| North Douglas | O-1 | 29.7 | | | |
| North Douglas | O-2 | 16 | | | |
| North Douglas | P-1 | 13.9 | | | |
| CMU/Basin | P-2 | 6.4 | | | |
| Hillside Park | P-3 | 7.1 | 662 | | |
| North Douglas | P-4 | 13.8 | | | |
| North Douglas | Q-1 | 16.8 | | | |
| North Douglas | Q-2 | 17.4 | | | |
| North Douglas | Q-3 | 5.3 | | | |
| North Douglas | Q-4 | 3.5 | | | 0 |
| TOTAL NORTH DOUGLAS | | | 662 | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-1 | 13.4 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-2 | 19.9 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-3 | 22.2 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-4 | 24.4 | | | |

**Table A-8
Land Use for the SDCP Fee Program**

| Development Area | | Acres | SFD | MF | Commercial |
|--|------------|--------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Grantline 208 | R-5 | 20 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-6 | 21.8 | 502 | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-7 | 20 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-8 | 25.4 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-9 | 2.1 | | | |
| Grantline 208 | R-10 | 2.2 | | | |
| CMU | R-11 | 11.7 | | | 0 |
| Open Space | R-14 | 10.1 | | | |
| Park | R-12 | 8.8 | | | |
| School | R-13 | 5 | | | |
| CMU | R-15 | 2.3 | | | 0 |
| TOTAL GRANTLINE 208 | | | 502 | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-1 | 32 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-2 | 27.4 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-3 | 11.6 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-4 | 27.8 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-5 | 22.4 | 740 | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-6 | 34.6 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-7 | 6.3 | | | |
| Arista Del Sol | S-8 | 2.3 | | | |
| CMU | S-9 | 14.9 | | | 0 |
| CMU | S-10 | 1.4 | | | 0 |
| Open Space | S-11 | 15.6 | | | |
| Park | S-12 | 10.2 | | | |
| TOTAL ARISTA DEL SOL | | | 740 | | |
| Subtotal | | | 7,833 | 132 | |
| Total SRSP Development: | | | 7,965 | | 85.97 |
| Proposed Additional Development Base - THE RANCH | | | | | |
| | Type | Acres | <u>SFR</u> <u>Units</u> | <u>MFR</u> <u>Units</u> | <u>Comm</u> <u>Acres</u> |
| Parkview | SFD | 105.03 | 661 | | |
| The Gateway | HDR (RD30) | 7.14 | | 215 | |
| The Gateway | SFD | 9.84 | 71 | | |
| General Commercial | | 5.73 | | | 5.73 |
| Four Season | AA-SFD | 129.7 | 705 | | |
| Four Season | AA-MF | 1.26 | | 38 | |
| Total Residential | | | 1,437 | 253 | |
| Total Ranch Development: | | | | 1,690 | 5.73 |
| Proposed Additional Development Base - THE PRESERVE | | | | | |
| | | Acres | | | |
| The Preserve | | 94.0 | 440 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Preserve Development: | | | 440 | 0 | 0 |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Subtotals | | | <u>SFR</u> | <u>MFR</u> | <u>Comm</u> |
| | | | 9,710 | 385 | 91.7 |
| <hr/> | | | | | |
| Plan Area Totals | | | | 10,095 | 91.7 |

Source: Rancho Cordova

APPENDIX B

Detailed Roadway, Offsite Water, and Interim Sewer Facility Costs

**Table B-1
Roadway Facilities and Costs**

| PROJECT NUMBER | ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | ON-SITE or OFF-SITE | QUANTITY | UNITS | UNIT COST | TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | % FUNDED BY OTHERS | FUNDING SOURCE | REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE | NET COST |
|----------------|--|--|---------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 1. | Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,475 | LF | \$813 | \$3,639,451 | | | \$0 | \$3,639,451 |
| 2. | Douglas Road: Jaeger Road to Americanos Road | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 5,405 | LF | \$964 | \$5,212,745 | | | \$0 | \$5,212,745 |
| 3. | Douglas Road: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 3,355 | LF | \$709 | \$2,377,672 | | | \$0 | \$2,377,672 |
| 4. | Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard | 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization: Anatolia MRI portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$2,449,994 | \$2,449,994 | 12% | Measure A | \$290,889 | \$2,159,105 |
| 4A. | Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard; Portion Remaining after Anatolia MRI | 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization: remaining portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,484,672 | \$1,484,672 | | | \$0 | \$1,484,672 |
| 4B. | Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard: Westerly Temporary transition | Transition from partially completed intersection west to existing 2-lane road | ON | 1 | LS | \$372,788 | \$372,788 | | | \$0 | \$372,788 |
| 5. | Douglas Road at Americanos Boulevard | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$3,890,142 | \$3,890,142 | | | \$0 | \$3,890,142 |
| 6. | Douglas Road at Jaeger Road: Portion Remaining After Anatolia MRI | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization: remaining portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$2,531,668 | \$2,531,668 | | | \$0 | \$2,531,668 |
| 6A. | Douglas Road at Jaeger Road: Portion included in Anatolia MRI | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization: Anatolia MRI portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$229,784 | \$229,784 | | | \$0 | \$229,784 |
| 7. | Douglas Road at Grantline Road | 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,476,411 | \$1,476,411 | 52% | | \$774,425 | \$701,986 |
| 8. | Douglas Road at Zinfandel | Add through lanes on north and southbound approaches | OFF | 1 | LS | \$184,543 | \$184,543 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$184,543 | \$0 |
| 9. | Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 3,100 | LF | \$1,222 | \$3,787,216 | 57% | TDIF/Measure A | \$2,144,285 | \$1,642,931 |
| 10. | Sunrise Boulevard:Chrysanthy Blvd to Kiefer Boulevard | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 7,400 | LF | \$749 | \$5,541,652 | 24% | Measure A | \$1,336,542 | \$4,205,110 |
| 11. | Sunrise Boulevard: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16 | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 5,950 | LF | \$1,349 | \$8,027,000 | 100% | TDIF | \$8,027,000 | \$0 |
| 12. | Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard: Anatolia MRI Portion | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization: Anatolia MRI portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,275,259 | \$1,275,259 | | | \$0 | \$1,275,259 |
| 12A. | Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard: Anatolia Chrysanthy Boulevard Portion | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization: Anatolia Chry. Blvd. portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$406,280 | \$406,280 | 12% | | \$355,569 | \$50,711 |
| 12B. | Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard: Remaining Portion | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization: remaining portion | ON | 1 | LS | \$934,344 | \$934,344 | | | \$0 | \$934,344 |
| 13. | Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,515,219 | \$1,515,219 | Fixed Amount | Measure A | \$26,313 | \$1,488,906 |
| 13A. | Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard: Southerly Temporary Transition | Transition from partially completed intersection south to existing 2-lane road | ON | 1 | LS | \$280,293 | \$280,293 | | | \$0 | \$280,293 |
| 13B. | Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard: Westerly Temporary Transition | Transition from partially completed intersection west to existing 2-lane road | ON | 1 | LS | \$94,017 | \$94,017 | | | \$0 | \$94,017 |
| 14. | Sunrise Boulevard at SR 16 | 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$1,464,000 | \$1,464,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF | \$1,464,000 | \$0 |
| 15. | Sunrise Boulevard at Grant Line Road | 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization (incl. 2 lane stub to south) | OFF | 1 | LS | \$4,633,550 | \$4,633,550 | 100% Cost from SCTDF | Defer to SCTDF & Measure A | \$4,633,550 | \$0 |
| 16. | Sunrise Boulevard at Folsom Boulevard | Add free right-turn lane on eastbound approach | OFF | 1 | LS | \$134,400 | \$134,400 | 100% | Constructed with Folsom Imp. | \$134,400 | \$0 |
| 17. | Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,300 | LF | \$640 | \$2,752,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$2,752,000 | \$0 |
| 18. | Grantline Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 8,500 | LF | \$632 | \$5,373,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$5,373,000 | \$0 |
| 19. | Grantline Road: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16 | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 8,650 | LF | \$587 | \$5,079,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$5,079,000 | \$0 |
| 20. | Grantline Road at Chrysanthy Boulevard | 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$902,000 | \$902,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$902,000 | \$0 |
| 21. | Grantline Road at Kiefer Boulevard | 6x4x2 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,109,000 | \$1,109,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$1,109,000 | \$0 |
| 22. | Grantline Road at SR 16 | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$579,000 | \$579,000 | 100% | TDIF/SCTDF MEASURE A | \$579,000 | \$0 |
| 23. | Grantline Road at White Rock Road | Add additional exclusive left turn lane (White Rock Road) and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$4,329,350 | \$4,329,350 | 100% | SCTDF, Measure A | \$4,329,350 | \$0 |

**Table B-1
Roadway Facilities and Costs**

| PROJECT NUMBER | ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | ON-SITE or OFF-SITE | QUANTITY | UNITS | UNIT COST | TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | % FUNDED BY OTHERS | FUNDING SOURCE | REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE | NET COST |
|----------------|---|--|---------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 24. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,550 | LF | \$535 | \$2,432,297 | | | \$0 | \$2,432,297 |
| 25. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 4,980 | LF | \$601 | \$2,991,546 | | | \$0 | \$2,991,546 |
| 26. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,387 | LF | \$600 | \$2,632,187 | | | \$0 | \$2,632,187 |
| 27. | Chrysanthy Boulevard at Jaeger Road | 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$2,572,531 | \$2,572,531 | | | \$0 | \$2,572,531 |
| 28. | Chrysanthy Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard | 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$2,042,031 | \$2,042,031 | | | \$0 | \$2,042,031 |
| 29. | Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, CP Boundary to SP Boundary = N/A. Realigned and part of TDIF | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 2,430 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | | Defer to TDIF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 30. | Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, SP Boundary to Douglas Road | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,130 | LF | \$125 | \$515,645 | | | \$0 | \$515,645 |
| 31. | Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,800 | LF | \$611 | \$2,934,077 | | | \$0 | \$2,934,077 |
| 32a. | Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Boulevard to southern boundary of Douglas 103 | Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 769 | LF | \$623 | \$479,041 | | | \$0 | \$479,041 |
| 32b. | Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Boulevard to southern boundary of Douglas 103 | Easterly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 1,443 | LF | \$623 | \$898,902 | | | \$0 | \$898,902 |
| 32c. | Americanos Boulevard: Through Grantline 208 | Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 1,804 | LF | \$623 | \$1,123,783 | | | \$0 | \$1,123,783 |
| 32d. | Americanos Boulevard: Through Arista Del Sol | Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 1,250 | LF | \$623 | \$778,675 | | | \$0 | \$778,675 |
| 33. | Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 6,060 | LF | \$595 | \$3,604,273 | | | \$3,604,273 | \$0 |
| 34. | Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road (half section only - remainder in TDIF) | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 4,410 | LF | \$256 | \$1,128,086 | \$53,572.00 | Measure A | \$0 | \$1,074,514 |
| 35. | Kiefer Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 4,350 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 36. | Kiefer Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 800 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | 100% | | \$0 | \$0 |
| 37. | Kiefer Boulevard at Jaeger Road | 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,660,958 | \$1,660,958 | | | \$0 | \$1,660,958 |
| 38. | Kiefer Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard | 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$0 | \$0 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 39. | Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Wetland Preserve | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 1,550 | LF | \$545 | \$844,281 | | | \$0 | \$844,281 |
| 40. | Jaeger Road: Adjacent to the Wetland Preserve | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 2,831 | LF | \$562 | \$1,591,918 | | | \$0 | \$1,591,918 |
| 41. | Jaeger Road: Wetland Preserve to Kiefer Boulevard | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 3,738 | LF | \$570 | \$2,132,331 | | | \$0 | \$2,132,331 |
| 42. | Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Blvd. | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | ON | 2,387 | LF | \$1,095 | \$2,614,112 | | CFD 2003-1 Contribution | \$1,200,000 | \$1,414,112 |
| 43. | Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Mather Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard | Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | OFF | 1,480 | LF | \$131 | \$193,216 | | | \$0 | \$193,216 |
| 44. | Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to southerly Anatolia II boundary | Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | OFF | 7,419 | LF | \$131 | \$972,756 | | | \$0 | \$972,756 |

**Table B-1
Roadway Facilities and Costs**

| PROJECT NUMBER | ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | ON-SITE or OFF-SITE | QUANTITY | UNITS | UNIT COST | TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | % FUNDED BY OTHERS | FUNDING SOURCE | REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE | NET COST |
|----------------|---|---|---------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 45. | Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Anatolia II boundary to Kiefer Boulevard | Easterly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 3,667 | LF | \$299 | \$1,096,552 | Measure A | | \$733,624 | \$362,928 |
| 46. | Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard | Northerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 1,590 | LF | \$257 | \$408,634 | | | \$0 | \$408,634 |
| 47. | Jaeger Boulevard: Frontage adjacent to preserve | Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 2,831 | LF | \$257 | \$727,604 | | | \$0 | \$727,604 |
| 47a | Rancho Cordova Parkway: Frontage adjacent to preserve | Easterly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 2,228 | LF | \$623 | \$1,387,910 | | | \$0 | \$1,387,910 |
| 48. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Adjacent to Laguna Creek (Cost contained in Improvement 20) | Northerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 359 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | 100% | Defer to TIDF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 49. | Grantline Road: Adjacent to Laguna Creek (450' contained in Improvement 20) | Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | ON | 450 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | \$1.00 | Defer to TDIF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 50a. | Sunrise Boulevard: Sunrise Park Road to Douglas Boulevard | Outside Travel Lanes | OFF | 4,200 | LF | \$643 | \$2,700,061 | \$56,107.43 | Measure A | \$0 | \$2,643,953 |
| 50b. | Folsom South Canal Trail Access | Connect bike trail at Douglas Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard | OFF | 1 | LS | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | | | \$0 | \$200,000 |
| 50c. | Folsom South Canal Trail Access | Connect bike trail at Kiefer Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard | OFF | 1 | LS | \$200,000 | \$200,000 | 100% | Not in City | \$200,000 | \$0 |
| 51. | Douglas Boulevard: 1500' East of Sunrise Blvd. to Sunrise Blvd. | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 1,050 | LF | \$745 | \$781,852 | | | \$0 | \$781,852 |
| 52. | SR 16 at Bradshaw Road | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$9,202 | \$9,201.81 | | | \$0 | \$9,202 |
| 53. | SR 16 at Eagle's Nest Road | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$68,294 | \$68,294 | | | \$0 | \$68,294 |
| 54. | SR 16 at Excelsior Road | 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$73,870 | \$73,870 | | | \$0 | \$73,870 |
| 55. | Mather Field at Folsom Boulevard | Add Eastbound through-lane and dual exclusive left-turn lanes on N & S approaches. | OFF | 1 | LS | \$431,200 | \$431,200 | 100% | City Project | \$431,200 | \$0 |
| 56. | Sunrise Boulevard at Florin Road | Intersection widening and signalization (incl. Protected left-turn lanes on Sunrise) | OFF | 1 | LS | \$645,837 | \$645,837 | 100% | County TIP | \$645,837 | \$0 |
| 57. | Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | Signalization at local collectors (1 3-way intersection), Herodian Drive | ON | 1 | LS | \$206,084 | \$206,084 | | | \$0 | \$206,084 |
| 57A. | Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | Signalization at local collectors (1 3-way intersection) Bosphorous Drive | ON | 1 | LS | \$206,084 | \$206,084 | | | \$0 | \$206,084 |
| 58. | Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road | Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way intersections) | ON | 1 | LS | \$710,963 | \$710,963 | | | \$0 | \$710,963 |
| 59. | Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Kiefer | Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections) | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,108,093 | \$1,108,093 | | | \$0 | \$1,108,093 |
| 60. | Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way & 1 4-way intersections) | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,073,465 | \$1,073,465 | | | \$0 | \$1,073,465 |
| 61. | Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard | Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) | ON | 1 | LS | \$0 | \$0 | | | \$0 | \$0 |
| 62. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road | Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections) | ON | 1 | LS | \$1,108,093 | \$1,108,093 | | | \$0 | \$1,108,093 |
| 63a. | Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$3,478 | \$340,891 | 100% | | \$340,891 | \$0 |
| 63b. | Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$528 | \$51,760 | 100% | | \$51,760 | \$0 |
| 63c. | Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$1,056 | \$103,521 | | | \$0 | \$103,521 |
| 64. | Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$3,521 | \$345,069 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$345,069 | \$0 |
| 65a. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$1,056 | \$103,521 | | | \$0 | \$103,521 |
| 65b. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$528 | \$51,760 | | | \$0 | \$51,760 |
| 65c. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$528 | \$51,760 | | | \$0 | \$51,760 |
| 65d. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | ON | 98 | LF | \$3,521 | \$345,069 | | | \$0 | \$345,069 |
| 66. | Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$3,521 | \$345,069 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$345,069 | \$0 |

**Table B-1
Roadway Facilities and Costs**

| PROJECT NUMBER | ROADWAY SEGMENT / ITEM | PROJECT DESCRIPTION | ON-SITE or OFF-SITE | QUANTITY | UNITS | UNIT COST | TOTAL ESTIMATED COST | % FUNDED BY OTHERS | FUNDING SOURCE | REIMBURSEMENT AVAILABLE | NET COST |
|----------------|--|--|---------------------|----------|-------|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
| 67a. | Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$636 | \$62,361 | | | \$0 | \$62,361 |
| 67b. | Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$471 | \$46,173 | | | \$0 | \$46,173 |
| 67c. | Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$3,521 | \$345,069 | | | \$0 | \$345,069 |
| 67d. | Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Blvd. to Kiefer Boulevard | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$3,521 | \$345,069 | | | \$0 | \$345,069 |
| 70a. | SR 16: Bradshaw Road to Excelsior Road | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 21,100 | LF | \$3 | \$61,218 | | | \$0 | \$61,218 |
| 70b. | SR 16: Excelsior Road to Sunrise Boulevard | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 14,150 | LF | \$39 | \$550,617 | | | \$0 | \$550,617 |
| 70c. | SR 16: Sunrise to Grantline Road | 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 4,700 | LF | \$917 | \$4,312,000 | 100% | Defer to TDIF | \$4,312,000 | \$0 |
| 71. | Kiefer Boulevard: Eagles Nest to Sunrise | Widen 2-lane arterial | OFF | 4,650 | LF | \$386 | \$1,793,837 | 100% | SCTDF | \$1,793,837 | \$0 |
| 72a. | Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 - Initial planning and environmental work | Initial planning and environmental work | OFF | 1 | LS | \$1,000,000 | \$1,000,000 | | | \$0 | \$1,000,000 |
| 72b. | Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 | 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | OFF | 20,200 | LF | \$223 | \$4,500,000 | \$500,000.00 | CFD 2005-1 Contribution | \$0 | \$4,000,000 |
| 73. | Zinfandel Drive at International Drive | Intersection Signalization - 4-way Signalization | OFF | 1 | LS | \$232,985 | \$232,985 | | | \$0 | \$232,985 |
| 74a. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$3,521 | \$415,491 | | Defer to TDIF | \$415,491 | \$0 |
| 74b. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$1,056 | \$124,647 | | | \$0 | \$124,647 |
| 74c. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$834 | \$81,759 | | | \$0 | \$81,759 |
| 74d. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$3,521 | \$415,491 | | Defer to TDIF | \$415,491 | \$0 |
| 74e. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$2,781 | \$328,148 | | | \$0 | \$328,148 |
| 74f. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$917 | \$108,190 | | | \$0 | \$108,190 |
| 74g. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$528 | \$62,324 | | | \$0 | \$62,324 |
| 74h. | Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 98 | LF | \$0 | \$0 | | Defer to TDIF | \$0 | \$0 |
| 76a. | SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$4,060 | \$479,089 | | Included in Project 70a | \$479,089 | \$0 |
| 76b. | SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$4,060 | \$479,089 | | Included in Project 70b | \$479,089 | \$0 |
| 76c. | SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road | Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | OFF | 118 | LF | \$4,060 | \$479,089 | | Defer to TDIF | \$479,089 | \$0 |
| | | 5% Floating Contingency | | | | | | | | | \$4,046,880 |

Source: Rancho Cordova

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1. Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement With Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,475 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$3,464,347 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$90,705 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 98-2008 | | | | \$6,705 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 66-2007 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$77,694 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$3,639,451 |
| 2. Douglas Road: Jaeger Road to Americanos Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 5,405 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 109-2010 (CP06-2024) | | | | \$1,244,236 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 48-2017 (CP10-2083) | | | | \$3,468,802 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 48-2017-1 (CP10-2083) | | | | \$499,707 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$5,212,745 |
| 3. Douglas Road: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 3,355 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 07-2019 (CP07-2032) | | | | \$2,377,672 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,377,672 |
| 4. Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization Anatolia MRI Portion Only | | | | |
| Private Improvement With Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$2,094,654 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 175-2007 | | | | \$79,740 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 | | | | \$275,600 |
| Measure A Reimbursement (103-2007) | | | | -\$211,149 |
| Measure A Reimbursement (175-2007) | | | | -\$79,740 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,159,105 |
| 4A. Douglas Road at Sunrise Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion Remaining After Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement With Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 0.00 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$0 |
| Traffic Signal Interconnect | 468 | LF | \$10.00 | \$4,680 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 102,463 | SF | \$0.30 | \$30,739 |
| Roadway Excavation | 6,719 | CY | \$20.00 | \$134,380 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 815 | LF | \$13.00 | \$10,595 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 433 | LF | \$13.00 | \$5,629 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 433 | LF | \$20.00 | \$8,660 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 159 | TON | \$75.00 | \$11,925 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 1,533 | TON | \$52.00 | \$79,716 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 4,089 | TON | \$23.00 | \$94,047 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 11f 12"D/f Road) | 468 | LF | \$50.00 | \$23,400 |
| Striping & Signage | 0.26 | LS | \$21,600.00 | \$5,616 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 2,599 | SF | \$4.75 | \$12,345 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| Street Lighting | 0.26 | LS | \$22,500.00 | \$5,850 |
| Frontage Landscaping (29' corridor) | 45,205 | SF | \$7.00 | \$316,435 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Pavement Removal | 14,491 | SF | \$1.50 | \$21,737 |
| Roadside Ditch | 378 | LF | \$5.00 | \$1,890 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$822,820 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$7,657 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$32,913 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,228 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$181,432 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$82,282 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,135,331 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$349,341 |
| | | | | \$1,484,672 |
| 4B. Douglas Road Transition to Existing Roadway | | | | |
| Road Transition from Ultimate 6-lane Road at Sta 17+34 to Match Existing 2-lane Road at Sta 7+00 | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$372,788 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$372,788 |
| 5. Douglas Road at Americanos Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Traffic Signal Interconnect | 1,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$13,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 256,513 | SF | \$0.30 | \$76,954 |
| Roadway Excavation | 12,117 | CY | \$20.00 | \$242,340 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 2,392 | LF | \$13.00 | \$31,096 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------------|------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 2,392 | LF | \$20.00 | \$47,840 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 5,217 | TON | \$52.00 | \$271,284 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 4,689 | TON | \$23.00 | \$107,847 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 8,551 | TON | \$23.00 | \$196,673 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 3,600 | LF | \$50.00 | \$180,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$27,000.00 | \$27,000 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 726 | LF | \$90.00 | \$65,340 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 14,754 | SF | \$4.75 | \$70,082 |
| Bus Pads | 4 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$20,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$45,000.00 | \$45,000 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 14,809 | SF | \$7.00 | \$103,663 |
| Frontage Landscaping (29' corridor) | 45,205 | SF | \$7.00 | \$316,435 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Pavement Removal | 22,500 | SF | \$1.50 | \$33,750 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$2,109,747 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$95,322 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$84,390 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$21,097 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$465,199 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$210,975 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,986,731 |
| Inflation to 2015 | 17.30% | | 2015 balance | \$3,503,436 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 27-2015 (CP06-2024) | | | minus agreement | \$296,806 |
| | | | 2015 balance | \$3,206,630 |
| Inflation to 2017 | 6.73% | | 2017 balance | \$3,422,436 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 48-2017 (CP10-2083) | | | minus agreement | \$888,332 |
| | | | | \$2,534,104 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 6.74% | | | \$2,705,004 |
| Total Project Cost (constructed and remaining) | | | | \$3,890,142 |
| 6. Douglas Road at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion remaining After Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000 |
| Traffic Signal Interconnect | 1,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$13,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 135,635 | SF | \$0.30 | \$40,691 |
| Roadway Excavation | 7,946 | CY | \$20.00 | \$158,920 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,352 | LF | \$13.00 | \$30,576 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 1,666 | LF | \$13.00 | \$21,658 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 1,666 | LF | \$20.00 | \$33,320 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,451 | TON | \$75.00 | \$258,825 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 2,573 | TON | \$23.00 | \$59,179 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 6,329 | TON | \$23.00 | \$145,567 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 1,800 | LF | \$50.00 | \$90,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$17,100.00 | \$17,100 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 1,452 | LF | \$90.00 | \$130,680 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 9,996 | SF | \$4.75 | \$47,481 |
| Bus Pads | 2 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$10,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$33,750.00 | \$33,750 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 29,621 | SF | \$7.00 | \$207,347 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 5,376 | SF | \$7.00 | \$37,632 |
| Pavement Removal | 13,500 | SF | \$1.50 | \$20,250 |
| Roadside Ditch | 900 | LF | \$5.00 | \$4,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,510,976 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$0 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$60,439 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$15,110 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$333,170 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$151,098 |
| 2005 Total Cost | | | | \$2,070,792 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 3.28% | | 2007 balance | \$2,138,714 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 66-2007 (CP06-2028) | | | minus agreement | \$85,224 |
| | | | 2007 balance | \$2,053,490 |
| Inflation to 2011 | 10.17% | | 2011 balance | \$2,262,330 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 109-2010 (CP06-2024) | | | minus agreement | \$865,544 |
| | | | 2011 balance | \$1,396,786 |
| Inflation to 2017 | 10.58% | | 2017 balance | \$1,544,566 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 48-2017 (CP10-2083) | | | minus agreement | \$875,951 |
| | | | 2017 remaining balance | \$668,615 |
| Inflation to 2018 | 3.72% | | 2018 balance | \$693,487 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 213-2018 (CP07-2035) | | | minus agreement | \$315,473 |
| | | | 2018 remaining balance | \$378,014 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 3.0240% | | | \$389,445 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 100-2020 (CP10-2083) | | | minus agreement | \$389,476 |
| | | | 2020 Balance Remaining | \$0 |
| Total Project Cost (constructed and remaining) | | | | \$2,531,668 |
| 6A. Douglas Road at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion Included in Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005 (CP06-2028) | | | | \$229,784 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$229,784 |
| 7. Douglas Road at Grantline Road (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000 |
| Traffic Signal Interconnect | 1,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$13,500 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|--|------|--------------|--------------------|
| Clearing and Grubbing | 106,469 | SF | \$0.30 | \$31,941 |
| Roadway Excavation | 6,791 | CY | \$20.00 | \$135,820 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,352 | LF | \$13.00 | \$30,576 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 832 | LF | \$13.00 | \$10,816 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 832 | LF | \$20.00 | \$16,640 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 256 | TON | \$75.00 | \$19,200 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,036 | TON | \$52.00 | \$157,872 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 8,089 | TON | \$23.00 | \$186,047 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1ft 12"D/1ft Road) | 900 | LF | \$50.00 | \$45,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$14,400.00 | \$14,400 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 726 | LF | \$90.00 | \$65,340 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 4,994 | SF | \$4.75 | \$23,722 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$11,250.00 | \$11,250 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 14,801 | SF | \$7.00 | \$103,607 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 5,376 | SF | \$7.00 | \$37,632 |
| Pavement Removal | 7,184 | SF | \$1.50 | \$10,776 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,069,138 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$100,430 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$42,766 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$10,691 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$235,745 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$106,914 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,565,684 |
| City project improved the intersection and utilized other funding sources (Grants & 2250) | | | | |
| Funded by 2246 | | | | \$701,986 |
| Funded by Grants | | | | \$702,400 |
| Funded by 2250 | | | | \$72,025 |
| Total Project Cost - CP13-2124 | Constructed - Defer to TDIF #255 for Remainder | | | \$1,476,411 |
| | | | | |
| 8. Douglas Road at Zinfandel | | | | |
| Add through lanes on north and southbound approaches | | | | |
| Private Improvement | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$141,120.00 | \$141,120 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$184,543 |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP); DELETE AND DEFER TO TDIF (203 & 54.1) | | | | \$0 |
| | | | | |
| 9. Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 3,100 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 95-2005-2 | | | | \$1,926,865 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 28-2008 | | | | \$76,174 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 | | | | \$433,914 |
| Funded by TDIF (107-2007-1) | | | | \$988,979 |
| Funded by TDIF (27-2007) | | | | \$361,284 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS | | | | \$3,787,216 |
| Funded by Measure A (107-2007) | | | | \$283,934 |
| Funded by Measure A (103-2007) | | | | \$433,914 |
| Funded by Measure A (28-2008) | | | | \$76,174 |
| Revised Total Project Costs funded by SDCP: | | | | \$1,642,931 |
| | | | | |
| 10. Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 7,400 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005-2 (MRI Portion) | | | | \$3,129,625 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 39-2007-1 (MRII Portion) | | | | \$1,734,750 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 (Contingency) | | | | \$671,377 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 28-2008 (Contingency) | | | | \$5,900 |
| Total SDCP | | | | \$5,541,652 |
| Total Project Costs | | | | \$5,541,652 |
| Measure A Reimbursement | | | | -\$830,642 |
| Measure A Reimbursement | | | | -\$500,000 |
| Measure A Reimbursement | | | | -\$5,900 |
| Total SDCP Project Costs | | | | \$4,205,110 |
| | | | | |
| 11. Sunrise Boulevard: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16 (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 6,230 LF | | | | |
| Traffic Signal Interconnect | 6,230 | LF | \$10.00 | \$62,300 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 423,640 | SF | \$0.30 | \$127,092 |
| Roadway Excavation | 31,380 | CY | \$20.00 | \$627,600 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 12,460 | LF | \$13.00 | \$161,980 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 13,568 | TON | \$52.00 | \$705,536 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 36,180 | TON | \$23.00 | \$832,140 |
| Striping | 6,230 | LF | \$8.00 | \$49,840 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 68,530 | SF | \$7.00 | \$479,710 |
| Pavement Removal | 224,280 | SF | \$1.50 | \$336,420 |
| Roadside Ditch | 12,460 | LF | \$5.00 | \$62,300 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$3,444,918 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$46,927 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$137,797 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$34,449 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$759,604 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$344,492 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$4,768,187 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$6,235,358 |
| Project as Funded by TDIF (177) | | | | \$8,027,000 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$0 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|-------------------------------|------|------------------------|--------------------|
| SDCP Cost | DELETE AND DEFER TO TDIF #177 | | | \$0 |
| 12. Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion included with Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 27-2005-2 | | | | \$1,275,259 |
| Total Project Cost | | | | \$1,275,259 |
| 12A. Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion included with the Chrysanthy Boulevard plans | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 18-2006-1 | | | | \$406,280 |
| Measure A Reimbursement | | | | -\$355,569 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$50,711 |
| 12B. Sunrise Boulevard at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' center and frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization - Portion remaining after Anatolia MRI and Chrysanthy Blvd | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 31-2010-1 | | | | \$934,344 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$934,344 |
| 13. Sunrise Boulevard at Kiefer Boulevard (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 144,606 | SF | \$0.30 | \$43,382 |
| Roadway Excavation | 10,290 | CY | \$20.00 | \$205,800 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 1,302 | LF | \$20.00 | \$26,040 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 4,820 | TON | \$52.00 | \$250,640 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 4,001 | TON | \$23.00 | \$92,023 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 7,467 | TON | \$23.00 | \$171,741 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 1,350 | LF | \$50.00 | \$67,500 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$16,200.00 | \$16,200 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 7,812 | SF | \$4.75 | \$37,107 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$16,875.00 | \$16,875 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Pavement Removal | 31,500 | SF | \$1.50 | \$47,250 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,178 | LF | \$5.00 | \$10,890 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,269,392 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$9,785 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$50,776 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$12,694 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$279,901 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$126,939 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,749,487 |
| Portion Funded By Others (Mather Field TIP) | | | | \$98,550 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$1,749,487 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 8.56% | | 2007 Balance | \$1,792,257 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 65-2007 (13e) | | | | \$1,248,225 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 65-2007 (13f) | | | | \$240,681 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 | | | | \$26,313 |
| | | | 2007 Remaining Balance | \$277,038 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 22.21% | | 2020 Remaining Balance | \$0 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COSTS | | | | \$1,515,219 |
| Measure A Reimbursement (103-2007) | | | | -\$26,313.25 |
| TOTAL SDCP PROJECT COSTS | | | | \$1,488,906 |
| 13A. Sunrise Boulevard Transition to Existing Roadway South of Kiefer Road | | | | |
| Road Transition from Permanent Road, Estimate 630 lf | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 39-2007-1 | | | | \$280,293 |
| Total Project Cost | | | | \$280,293 |
| 13B. Kiefer Road Transition to Existing Roadway west of Sunrise Boulevard | | | | |
| Road Transition from Permanent Road, Estimate 210 lf | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 39-2007-1 | | | | \$94,017 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$94,017 |
| 14. Sunrise Boulevard at SR 16 (including 450' center roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |

**Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities**

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$575,000.00 | \$575,000 |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | \$575,000 |
| Portion Funded By Others (Development Fee Measure A/Mather CIP) | | | | \$575,000 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Project (288) | | | | \$3,143,000 |
| | | | | |
| 15. Sunrise Boulevard at Grant Line Road (including 450' center roadway improvements) 6x6 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization (incl. 2 lane stub to the south) Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$13,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 80,095 | SF | \$0.30 | \$24,029 |
| Roadway Excavation | 4,242 | CY | \$20.00 | \$84,840 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,352 | LF | \$13.00 | \$30,576 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 214 | LF | \$13.00 | \$2,782 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$20.00 | \$4,280 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 801 | TON | \$75.00 | \$60,075 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 2,152 | TON | \$52.00 | \$111,904 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 5,738 | TON | \$23.00 | \$131,974 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 2,700 | LF | \$50.00 | \$135,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$10,800.00 | \$10,800 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) | 1,284 | SF | \$4.75 | \$6,099 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 5,376 | SF | \$7.00 | \$37,632 |
| Pavement Removal | 12,428 | SF | \$1.50 | \$18,642 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,178 | LF | \$5.00 | \$10,890 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$853,023 |
| Interim Improvements (Vineyard CIP) | | | | \$690,923 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$54,847 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$27,637 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$6,909 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$152,349 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$85,302 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,870,989 |
| Portion Funded By Others (Vineyard CIP) | | | | \$690,923 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$1,180,066 |
| Net SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| Sacramento SCTDF shows no funding from RC | | | SCTDF Cost | \$4,633,550 |
| Assumed SCTDF from Measure A | | | | \$1,111,134 |
| Net SCTDF | | | | \$3,522,416 |
| | | | | |
| 16. Sunrise Boulevard at Folsom Boulevard Add free right-turn lane on eastbound approach Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$134,400.00 | \$134,400 |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) delete as constructed with Folsom Blvd improvem | | | | \$0 |
| | | | | |
| 17. Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 4,300 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,300 | LF | \$10.00 | \$43,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 258,000 | SF | \$0.30 | \$77,400 |
| Roadway Excavation | 19,111 | CY | \$20.00 | \$382,220 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 8,600 | LF | \$13.00 | \$111,800 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 780 | TON | \$75.00 | \$58,500 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 8,027 | TON | \$52.00 | \$417,404 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 21,404 | TON | \$23.00 | \$492,292 |
| Striping | 4,300 | LF | \$8.00 | \$34,400 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 47,300 | SF | \$7.00 | \$331,100 |
| Pavement Removal | 68,800 | SF | \$1.50 | \$103,200 |
| Roadside Ditch | 8,150 | LF | \$5.00 | \$40,750 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$2,092,066 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$130,571 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$83,683 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$20,921 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$461,301 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$209,207 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,997,748 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | \$3,920,155 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 96) | | | | \$2,752,000 |
| | | | | |
| 18. Grantline Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 9,500 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 9,500 | LF | \$10.00 | \$95,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 541,500 | SF | \$0.30 | \$162,450 |
| Roadway Excavation | 38,000 | CY | \$20.00 | \$760,000 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 19,000 | LF | \$13.00 | \$247,000 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 1,724 | TON | \$75.00 | \$129,300 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 15,517 | TON | \$52.00 | \$806,884 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 41,378 | TON | \$23.00 | \$951,694 |
| Striping | 9,500 | LF | \$8.00 | \$76,000 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 104,500 | SF | \$7.00 | \$731,500 |
| Pavement Removal | 152,000 | SF | \$1.50 | \$228,000 |
| Roadside Ditch | 19,000 | LF | \$5.00 | \$95,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$4,282,828 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$158,516 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$171,313 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$42,828 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$944,364 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$428,283 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$6,028,132 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$7,882,988 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 95) | | | | \$5,373,000 |
| 19. Grantline Road: Kiefer Boulevard to SR 16 (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 8,275 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 8,275 | LF | \$10.00 | \$82,750 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 446,850 | SF | \$0.30 | \$134,055 |
| Roadway Excavation | 33,100 | CY | \$20.00 | \$662,000 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 16,550 | LF | \$13.00 | \$215,150 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 1,502 | TON | \$75.00 | \$112,650 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 13,516 | TON | \$52.00 | \$702,832 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 36,042 | TON | \$23.00 | \$828,966 |
| Striping | 8,275 | LF | \$8.00 | \$66,200 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 91,025 | SF | \$7.00 | \$637,175 |
| Pavement Removal | 132,384 | SF | \$1.50 | \$198,576 |
| Roadside Ditch | 16,550 | LF | \$5.00 | \$82,750 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$3,723,104 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$315,927 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$148,924 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$37,231 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$820,944 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$372,310 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$5,418,441 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$7,085,695 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 93 & 94) | | | | \$5,079,000 |
| 20. Grantline Road at Chrysanthy Boulevard (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 3-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$13,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 94,297 | SF | \$0.30 | \$28,289 |
| Roadway Excavation | 6,559 | CY | \$20.00 | \$131,180 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,352 | LF | \$13.00 | \$30,576 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 100 | LF | \$13.00 | \$1,300 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 833 | LF | \$20.00 | \$16,660 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 163 | TON | \$75.00 | \$12,225 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,094 | TON | \$52.00 | \$160,888 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 2,225 | TON | \$23.00 | \$51,175 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 5,704 | TON | \$23.00 | \$131,192 |
| Storm Drain (DI, MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 900 | LF | \$50.00 | \$45,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$11,700.00 | \$11,700 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 100 | LF | \$90.00 | \$9,000 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 4,998 | SF | \$4.75 | \$23,741 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$11,250.00 | \$11,250 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 1,900 | SF | \$7.00 | \$13,300 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 5,376 | SF | \$7.00 | \$37,632 |
| Pavement Removal | 7,184 | SF | \$1.50 | \$10,776 |
| Roadside Ditch | 1,626 | LF | \$5.00 | \$8,130 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$902,514 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$72,463 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$36,101 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$9,025 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$199,004 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$90,251 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,309,358 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$1,712,247 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 247) | | | | \$902,000 |
| 21. Grantline Road at Kiefer Boulevard (including 450' center roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x4x2 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 74,832 | SF | \$0.30 | \$22,450 |
| Roadway Excavation | 5,312 | CY | \$20.00 | \$106,240 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,352 | LF | \$13.00 | \$30,576 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$20.00 | \$4,280 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 247 | TON | \$75.00 | \$18,525 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 2,654 | TON | \$52.00 | \$138,008 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 3,037 | TON | \$23.00 | \$69,851 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 3,607 | TON | \$23.00 | \$82,961 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$12,600.00 | \$12,600 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 1,284 | SF | \$4.75 | \$6,099 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 5,376 | SF | \$7.00 | \$37,632 |
| Pavement Removal | 10,408 | SF | \$1.50 | \$15,612 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,904 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,520 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$747,354 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$15,570 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$29,894 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$7,474 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$164,791 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$74,735 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,039,818 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$1,359,770 |
| Total SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 275) | | | | \$1,109,000 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|---------------------------------------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| 22. Grantline Road at SR 16 (including 450' center roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 6x6 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| County SCTDF | | | TOTAL COST | \$8,717,300 |
| Sources of Funding | | | | |
| Grants/Measure A | | | | \$2,615,190 |
| City TDIF | | | | \$1,525,528 |
| SCTDF | | | | \$4,576,583 |
| | | | | \$8,717,301 |
| TDIF Project Cost (Project 273) | | | | \$579,000 |
| SDCP Funding | DELETE AND DEFER TO SCTDF / TDIF #273 | | | \$0 |
| 23. Grantline Road at White Rock Road | | | | |
| Add additional exclusive left turn lane (White Rock Road) and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| County SCTDF | | | TOTAL COST | \$4,329,350 |
| Sources of Funding | | | | |
| Grants/Measure A | | | | \$1,298,805 |
| City TDIF | | | | \$0 |
| SCTDF | | | | \$3,030,545 |
| | | | | \$4,329,350 |
| SDCP Funding | DELETE AND DEFER TO SCTDF | | | \$0 |
| 24. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Boulevard | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,550 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,550 | LF | \$10.00 | \$45,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 218,400 | SF | \$0.20 | \$43,680 |
| Roadway Excavation | 14,830 | CY | \$15.00 | \$222,450 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 9,100 | LF | \$13.00 | \$118,300 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 6,016 | TON | \$52.00 | \$312,832 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 14,038 | TON | \$23.00 | \$322,874 |
| Striping | 4,550 | LF | \$6.00 | \$27,300 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 59,150 | SF | \$7.00 | \$414,050 |
| Roadside Ditch | 9,100 | LF | \$5.00 | \$45,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,552,486 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$31,050 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$15,525 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$335,803 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$155,249 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,090,112 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 3.28% | | 2007 Balance | \$2,158,668 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 18-2006-1 | | | | \$1,019,970 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$1,138,698 |
| Inflation to 2017 | 24.03% | | 2017 Balance | \$1,412,327 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 128-2017 | | | | \$1,280,558 |
| | | | 2017 Balance | \$131,769 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$2,432,297 |
| 25. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,980 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,980 | LF | \$10.00 | \$49,800 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 239,040 | SF | \$0.20 | \$47,808 |
| Roadway Excavation | 16,231 | CY | \$15.00 | \$243,465 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 9,960 | LF | \$13.00 | \$129,480 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 6,585 | TON | \$52.00 | \$342,420 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 15,364 | TON | \$23.00 | \$353,372 |
| Striping | 4,980 | LF | \$6.00 | \$29,880 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 64,740 | SF | \$7.00 | \$453,180 |
| Roadside Ditch | 9,960 | LF | \$5.00 | \$49,800 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,699,205 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$33,984 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$16,992 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$367,538 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$169,921 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,287,640 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 COSTS | \$2,991,546 |
| 26. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,387 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,387 | LF | \$10.00 | \$43,870 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 210,576 | SF | \$0.20 | \$42,115 |
| Roadway Excavation | 14,298 | CY | \$15.00 | \$214,470 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 8,774 | LF | \$13.00 | \$114,062 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 5,801 | TON | \$52.00 | \$301,652 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 13,535 | TON | \$23.00 | \$311,305 |
| Striping | 4,387 | LF | \$6.00 | \$26,322 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 57,031 | SF | \$7.00 | \$399,217 |
| Roadside Ditch | 8,415 | LF | \$5.00 | \$42,075 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,495,088 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$29,902 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$14,951 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$323,388 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$149,509 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,012,837 |

**Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities**

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 COSTS | \$2,632,187 |
| 27. Chrysanthy Boulevard at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 166,230 | SF | \$0.20 | \$33,246 |
| Roadway Excavation | 9,276 | CY | \$15.00 | \$139,140 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 1,666 | LF | \$13.00 | \$21,658 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 1,666 | LF | \$20.00 | \$33,320 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 4,426 | TON | \$52.00 | \$230,152 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 10,327 | TON | \$23.00 | \$237,521 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 1,800 | LF | \$40.00 | \$72,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$18,000.00 | \$18,000 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 1,452 | LF | \$90.00 | \$130,680 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 9,996 | SF | \$4.00 | \$39,984 |
| Bus Pads | 2 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$5,000 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$22,500.00 | \$22,500 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 29,621 | SF | \$7.00 | \$207,347 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Roadside Ditch | 1,452 | LF | \$5.00 | \$7,260 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,476,752 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$29,535 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$14,768 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$319,421 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$147,675 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,988,151 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 8.56% | | 2007 balance | \$2,158,337 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 65-2007-1 (27D) | | | minus agreement | \$112,014 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 65-2007-1 (27H) | | | minus agreement | \$115,378 |
| | | | 2007 balance | \$1,930,945 |
| Inflation to 2017 | 15.47% | | 2017 balance | \$2,229,662 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 128-2017 (27E) | | | minus agreement | \$250,873 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 128-2017 (27I) | | | minus agreement | \$229,866 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 128-2017 (27G) | | | minus agreement | \$16,610 |
| | | | 2017 balance | \$1,732,314 |
| Inflation to 2018 | 3.72% | | 2018 balance | \$1,796,757 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 63-2018 (27I) | | | minus agreement | \$109,106 |
| | | | 2018 remaining balance | \$1,687,651 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 3.0240% | | | \$1,738,685 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 100-2020 (27G) | | | minus agreement | \$336,118 |
| | | | 2020 remaining balance | \$1,402,567 |
| Total Project Cost (constructed and remaining) | | | | \$2,572,531 |
| 28. Chrysanthy Blvd at Americanos Blvd (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 137,037 | SF | \$0.20 | \$27,407 |
| Roadway Excavation | 8,300 | CY | \$15.00 | \$124,500 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 940 | LF | \$13.00 | \$12,220 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 940 | LF | \$20.00 | \$18,800 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 4,083 | TON | \$52.00 | \$212,316 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 9,528 | TON | \$23.00 | \$219,144 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 900 | LF | \$40.00 | \$36,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$14,400.00 | \$14,400 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 726 | LF | \$90.00 | \$65,340 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 5,640 | SF | \$4.00 | \$22,560 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$11,250.00 | \$11,250 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 14,801 | SF | \$7.00 | \$103,607 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,178 | LF | \$5.00 | \$10,890 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,159,878 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$23,198 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$11,599 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$250,882 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$115,988 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,561,544 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Costs | \$2,042,031 |
| 29. Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, CP Boundary to SP Boundary (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 2,430 LF | | | | |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 116,640 | SF | \$0.20 | \$23,328 |
| Roadway Excavation | 7,920 | CY | \$15.00 | \$118,800 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 4,860 | LF | \$13.00 | \$63,180 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,213 | TON | \$52.00 | \$167,076 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 7,497 | TON | \$23.00 | \$172,431 |
| Striping | 2,430 | LF | \$6.00 | \$14,580 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 31,590 | SF | \$7.00 | \$221,130 |
| Roadside Ditch | 4,860 | LF | \$5.00 | \$24,300 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$804,825 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$0 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$16,097 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,048 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$174,084 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$80,483 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,083,536 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|-----------|--------------------|
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| DELETE AND DEFER TO TDIF #27 | | | | |
| 30. Americanos Boulevard: North Panhandle, SP Boundary to Douglas Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,130 LF | | | | |
| Reduced project length due to realignment of Americanos adjacent to North Douglas | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 143-2006 | | | | \$515,645 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$515,645 |
| 31. Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,800 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$48,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 230,400 | SF | \$0.20 | \$46,080 |
| Roadway Excavation | 15,644 | CY | \$15.00 | \$234,660 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 9,600 | LF | \$13.00 | \$124,800 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 6,346 | TON | \$52.00 | \$329,992 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 14,810 | TON | \$23.00 | \$340,630 |
| Striping | 9,600 | LF | \$6.00 | \$57,600 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 62,400 | SF | \$7.00 | \$436,800 |
| Roadside Ditch | 9,600 | LF | \$5.00 | \$48,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,666,562 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$33,331 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$16,666 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$360,477 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$166,656 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,243,692 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$2,934,077 |
| 32a. Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Boulevard to southern boundary of Douglas 103 | | | | |
| Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 769 LF | | | | |
| Cost per LF | 769 | LF | \$462.71 | \$355,820 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$355,820 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$7,116 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$3,558 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$76,964 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$35,582 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$479,041 |
| 32b. Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Boulevard to southern boundary of Douglas 103 | | | | |
| Easterly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 1,443 LF | | | | |
| Cost per LF | 1,443 | LF | \$462.71 | \$667,683 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$667,683 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$13,354 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$6,677 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$144,420 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$66,768 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$898,902 |
| 32c. Americanos Boulevard: Through Grantline 208 | | | | |
| Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 1,804 LF | | | | |
| Cost per LF | 1,804 | LF | \$462.71 | \$834,720 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$834,720 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$16,694 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,347 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$180,550 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$83,472 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,123,783 |
| 32d. Americanos Boulevard: Through Arista Del Sol | | | | |
| Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 1 1250 | | | | |
| Cost per LF | 1,250 | LF | \$462.71 | \$578,381 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$578,381 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$11,568 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$5,784 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$125,104 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$57,838 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$778,675 |
| 33. Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 6,060 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 6,060 | LF | \$10.00 | \$60,600 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 290,880 | SF | \$0.20 | \$58,176 |
| Roadway Excavation | 19,751 | CY | \$15.00 | \$296,265 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 12,120 | LF | \$13.00 | \$157,560 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 8,013 | TON | \$52.00 | \$416,676 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 17,806 | TON | \$23.00 | \$409,538 |
| Striping | 6,060 | LF | \$6.00 | \$36,360 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 78,780 | SF | \$7.00 | \$551,460 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|---|--------------------|
| Roadside Ditch | 12,120 | LF | \$5.00 | \$60,600 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$2,047,235 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$40,945 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$20,472 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$442,817 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$204,724 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,756,192 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | | \$3,604,273 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Cost (Project 25 and 25.1) | | | | \$8,109,000 |
| 34. Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Jaeger Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,410 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,410 | LF | \$10.00 | \$44,100 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 211,680 | SF | \$0.20 | \$42,336 |
| Roadway Excavation | 14,373 | CY | \$15.00 | \$215,595 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 8,820 | LF | \$13.00 | \$114,660 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 5,831 | TON | \$52.00 | \$303,212 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 13,606 | TON | \$23.00 | \$312,938 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 57,330 | SF | \$7.00 | \$401,310 |
| Striping | 4,410 | LF | \$6.00 | \$26,460 |
| Roadside Ditch | 6,828 | LF | \$5.00 | \$34,140 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,494,751 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$29,895 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$14,948 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$323,315 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$149,475 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,012,383 |
| Inflation to 2007 3.28% | | | | \$2,078,389 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$767,076 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 28-2008 | | | | \$61,432 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 | | | | \$121,795 |
| | | | | \$1,128,086 |
| Inflation to 2020 27.49% | | | | \$1,438,197 |
| Remaining portion for SDCP | | | | \$0 |
| Total Project Costs as of 2020 | | | | \$2,388,500 |
| Measure A Funding (28-2008) | | | | \$53,572 |
| TOTAL SDCP Project Costs as of 2020 | | | | \$2,334,928 |
| | | | | \$1,074,514 |
| 35. Kiefer Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,350 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,350 | LF | \$10.00 | \$43,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 208,800 | SF | \$0.20 | \$41,760 |
| Roadway Excavation | 14,178 | CY | \$15.00 | \$212,670 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 8,700 | LF | \$13.00 | \$113,100 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 5,752 | TON | \$52.00 | \$299,104 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 13,421 | TON | \$23.00 | \$308,683 |
| Striping | 4,350 | LF | \$6.00 | \$26,100 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 56,550 | SF | \$7.00 | \$395,850 |
| Roadside Ditch | 8,700 | LF | \$5.00 | \$43,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,484,267 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$103,245 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$29,685 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$14,843 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$321,047 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$148,427 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,101,514 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | | \$2,748,150 |
| SDCP Cost | | | Deferred cost to TDIF Project 143 | \$0 |
| 36. Kiefer Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 800 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$8,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 38,400 | SF | \$0.20 | \$7,680 |
| Roadway Excavation | 2,607 | CY | \$15.00 | \$39,105 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 1,600 | LF | \$13.00 | \$20,800 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 1,058 | TON | \$52.00 | \$55,016 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 2,468 | TON | \$23.00 | \$56,764 |
| Striping | 800 | LF | \$6.00 | \$4,800 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 10,400 | SF | \$7.00 | \$72,800 |
| Roadside Ditch | 1,600 | LF | \$5.00 | \$8,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$272,965 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$18,088 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$5,459 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,730 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$59,042 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$27,297 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$385,581 |
| Remaining SDCP Cost | | | Deleted and defer to TDIF Project 143.1 | \$0 |
| 37. Kiefer Boulevard at Jaeger Road (including 450' center and partial frontage roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 137,037 | SF | \$0.20 | \$27,407 |
| Roadway Excavation | 8,300 | CY | \$15.00 | \$124,500 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|---------------|------|------------------------|--------------------|
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb (Type 3) | 940 | LF | \$13.00 | \$12,220 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 940 | LF | \$20.00 | \$18,800 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 4,083 | TON | \$52.00 | \$212,316 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 9,528 | TON | \$23.00 | \$219,144 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 900 | LF | \$40.00 | \$36,000 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$14,400.00 | \$14,400 |
| Soundwall (6' high at single family and multi-family) | 726 | LF | \$90.00 | \$65,340 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 5,640 | SF | \$4.00 | \$22,560 |
| Bus Pads | 1 | EA | \$2,500.00 | \$2,500 |
| Street Lighting | 1 | LS | \$11,250.00 | \$11,250 |
| Frontage Landscaping (19' corridor) | 14,801 | SF | \$7.00 | \$103,607 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,178 | LF | \$5.00 | \$10,890 |
| Easterly Leg Traffic Control | 1 | LS | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,209,878 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$32,099 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$24,198 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$12,099 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$261,697 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$120,988 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,660,958 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 8.56% | | 2007 Inflated Costs | \$1,803,137 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement 65-2007 (37c) | | | | \$203,022 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement 65-2007 (37d) | | | | \$428,316 |
| | | | 2007 Remaining Balance | \$1,171,799 |
| | | | | |
| 38. Kiefer Boulevard at Americanos Boulevard (including 450' center roadway improvements) | | | | |
| 4x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 106,902 | SF | \$0.20 | \$21,380 |
| Roadway Excavation | 9,034 | CY | \$15.00 | \$135,510 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,768 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$20.00 | \$4,280 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,741 | TON | \$52.00 | \$194,532 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 8,730 | TON | \$23.00 | \$200,790 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$10,800.00 | \$10,800 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 1,284 | SF | \$4.00 | \$5,136 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$7.00 | \$50,176 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,904 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,520 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$865,892 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$64,198 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$17,318 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,659 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$187,293 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$86,589 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,229,949 |
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| | | | | |
| 39. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Wetland Preserve (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 1,550 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,550 | LF | \$10.00 | \$15,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 74,400 | SF | \$0.20 | \$14,880 |
| Roadway Excavation | 5,052 | CY | \$15.00 | \$75,780 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,100 | LF | \$13.00 | \$40,300 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 2,049 | TON | \$52.00 | \$106,548 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 4,782 | TON | \$23.00 | \$109,986 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 20,150 | SF | \$7.00 | \$141,050 |
| Striping | 1,550 | LF | \$6.00 | \$9,300 |
| Roadside Ditch | 3,100 | LF | \$5.00 | \$15,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$528,844 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$10,577 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$5,288 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$114,389 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$52,884 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$711,983 |
| Inflated to 2007 | 8.56% | | | \$772,928 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 103-2007 | | | | \$179,510 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007 | | | | \$272,153 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$321,265 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 22.21% | | 2020 Balance | \$392,618 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$844,281 |
| | | | | |
| 40. Jaeger Road: Adjacent to the Wetland Preserve (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 2,831 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 2,831 | LF | \$10.00 | \$28,310 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 135,888 | SF | \$0.20 | \$27,178 |
| Roadway Excavation | 9,227 | CY | \$15.00 | \$138,405 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 5,662 | LF | \$13.00 | \$73,606 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,743 | TON | \$52.00 | \$194,636 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 8,734 | TON | \$23.00 | \$200,882 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 36,803 | SF | \$7.00 | \$257,621 |
| Striping | 2,831 | LF | \$6.00 | \$16,986 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,831 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,155 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$951,779 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$19,036 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$9,518 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$205,870 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$95,178 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,281,380 |
| Inflated to 2007 8.56% | | | | \$1,391,066 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007 | | | | \$486,732 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$904,334 |
| Inflation to 2020 22.21% | | | 2020 Balance | \$1,105,186 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$1,591,918 |
| 41. Jaeger Road: Wetland Preserve to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 3,738 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 3,738 | LF | \$10.00 | \$37,380 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 179,424 | SF | \$0.20 | \$35,885 |
| Roadway Excavation | 12,183 | CY | \$15.00 | \$182,745 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 7,476 | LF | \$13.00 | \$97,188 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 4,942 | TON | \$52.00 | \$256,984 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 11,534 | TON | \$23.00 | \$265,282 |
| Median Landscape (13' Corridor) | 48,594 | SF | \$7.00 | \$340,158 |
| Striping | 3,738 | LF | \$6.00 | \$22,428 |
| Roadside Ditch | 7,476 | LF | \$5.00 | \$37,380 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,275,430 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$25,509 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$12,754 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$275,875 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$127,543 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,717,111 |
| Inflated to 2007 8.56% | | | | \$1,864,096 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007 | | | | \$656,373 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$1,207,723 |
| Inflation to 2020 22.21% | | | 2020 Balance | \$1,475,958 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$2,132,331 |
| 42. Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 2,387 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 2,387 | LF | \$10.00 | \$23,870 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 114,576 | SF | \$0.20 | \$22,915 |
| Roadway Excavation | 7,780 | CY | \$15.00 | \$116,700 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 4,774 | LF | \$13.00 | \$62,062 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,156 | TON | \$52.00 | \$164,112 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 7,364 | TON | \$23.00 | \$169,372 |
| Striping & Signage | 2,387 | LF | \$6.00 | \$14,322 |
| Median Landscaping (13' corridor) | 31,031 | SF | \$7.00 | \$217,217 |
| Roadside Ditch | 4,774 | LF | \$5.00 | \$23,870 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$814,440 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$16,289 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,144 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$176,163 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$81,444 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,096,481 |
| Inflation to 2018 27.75% | | | 2018 balance | \$1,400,754 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 213-2018 | | | minus agreement | \$543,207 |
| City Project CP07-2035 | | | minus costs | \$1,645,273 |
| Contribution from CFD 2003-1 (128-2016) | | | extra funds from CFD | -\$1,200,000 |
| | | | 2018 balance | \$412,274 |
| Inflation to 2020 3.240% | | | 2020 balance | \$425,632 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 109-2010 (CP06-2024) | | | minus agreement | \$15,389 |
| | | | 2020 balance | \$410,243 |
| Total Project Costs 2020 | | | | \$2,614,112 |
| Total SDCP Project Costs 2020 | | | | \$1,414,112 |
| 43. Sunrise Boulevard: SP Boundary to Chrysanthy Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 1,480 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed City Portion | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 31-2010 | | | | \$193,216 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$193,216 |
| 44. Sunrise Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| Westerly frontage Improvements (adjacent to canal): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 7,419 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed City Portion | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 31-2010 | | | | \$972,756 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$972,756 |
| 45. Sunrise Boulevard: Southerly Anatolia II boundary to Kiefer Boulevard (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Private Improvement | | | | |
| Quantity: 3,667 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005-2 | | | | \$322,928 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$773,624 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$1,096,552 |
| Measure A Reimbursement (64-2007-1) | | | | \$733,624 |
| Total SDCP Cost | | | | \$362,928 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| 46. Kiefer Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Anatolia III Boundary (excluding 450' @ intersections) Northernly frontage Improvements (adjacent to preserve): 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 1,590 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$408,634 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$408,634 |
| 47. Jaeger Boulevard: Frontage adjacent to preserve (excluding 450' @ intersections) Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 2,831 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$727,604 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$727,604 |
| 47a Rancho Cordova Parkway: Frontage adjacent to preserve (excluding 450' @ intersections) Easterly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 1 2228 | | | | |
| Cost per LF | 2,228 | LF | \$462.71 | <u>\$1,030,907</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$1,030,907 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$20,618 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$10,309 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$222,985 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$103,091</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,387,910 |
| 48. Pyramid Boulevard: Adjacent to Laguna Creek Northernly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. IN PYRAMID AT GRANTLINE ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT 20 | | | | \$0 |
| 49. Grantline Road: Adjacent to Laguna Creek Westerly frontage Improvements: 11' pavement, curb, gutter, and sidewalk. Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 450 LF | | | | |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 9,000 | SF | \$0.20 | \$1,800 |
| Roadway Excavation | 667 | CY | \$15.00 | \$10,005 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 450 | LF | \$20.00 | \$9,000 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 193 | TON | \$52.00 | \$10,036 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 514 | TON | \$23.00 | \$11,822 |
| Storm Drain (DI,MH & DI lead @ 500', 1lf 12"D/lf Road) | 450 | LF | \$40.00 | \$18,000 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide meandering) | 2,700 | SF | \$4.00 | \$10,800 |
| Striping & Signage | 450 | LF | \$4.00 | \$1,800 |
| Street Lighting | 450 | LF | \$18.00 | <u>\$8,100</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$81,363 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,627 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$814 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$17,599 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$8,136</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$109,539 |
| SDCP Cost | | | DELETE, cost contained in TDIF #47 | \$0 |
| 50a. Sunrise Boulevard: Sunrise Park Road to Douglas Boulevard Outside Travel Lanes Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 4,200 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005-2 | | | | \$2,130,595 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 175-2007 | | | | \$56,107 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$513,358 |
| Total Project Cost | | | | \$2,700,061 |
| Measure A Reimbursement (175-2007) | | | | <u>\$56,107</u> |
| Total SDCP Cost | | | | \$2,587,846 |
| Total SDCP Cost | | | | \$2,643,953 |
| 50b. Folsom South Canal Trail Access Connect bike trail at Douglas Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$200,000.00 | <u>\$200,000</u> |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | \$200,000 |
| 50c. Folsom South Canal Trail Access Connect bike trail at Kiefer Boulevard and install pedestrian signal at Sunrise Boulevard Private Improvement Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$200,000.00 | <u>\$200,000</u> |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | \$200,000 |
| SDCP Cost | | | delete in County | \$0 |
| 51. Douglas Boulevard: 1500' East of Sunrise Blvd. to Sunrise Blvd. (excl. 450' @ intersections) 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 1,050 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,050 | LF | \$10.00 | \$10,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 63,000 | SF | \$0.30 | \$18,900 |
| Roadway Excavation | 4,667 | CY | \$20.00 | \$93,340 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 2,100 | LF | \$13.00 | \$27,300 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 1,960 | TON | \$52.00 | \$101,920 |

**Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities**

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| 16" Aggregate Base | 5,227 | TON | \$23.00 | \$120,221 |
| Striping | 1,050 | LF | \$8.00 | \$8,400 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 11,550 | SF | \$7.00 | \$80,850 |
| Pavement Removal | 22,050 | SF | \$1.50 | \$33,075 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,100 | LF | \$5.00 | \$10,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$505,006 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$89,741 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$20,200 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$5,050 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$111,354 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$50,501 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$781,852 |
| | | | | |
| 52. SR 16 at Bradshaw Road | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 90,828 | SF | \$0.30 | \$27,248 |
| Roadway Excavation | 4,448 | CY | \$25.00 | \$111,200 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$25.00 | \$78,400 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$24.00 | \$5,136 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 620 | TON | \$85.00 | \$52,700 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 2,328 | TON | \$62.00 | \$144,336 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 1,896 | TON | \$23.00 | \$43,608 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 4,041 | TON | \$23.00 | \$92,943 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$12,600.00 | \$12,600 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 1,284 | SF | \$6.00 | \$7,704 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$10.00 | \$71,680 |
| Pavement Removal | 15,712 | SF | \$1.50 | \$23,568 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,904 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,520 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$873,643 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$179,710 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$34,946 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$8,736 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$192,638 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Cost Contingency, 45% | | | | \$393,140 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,714,063 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL 2020 SDCP COST | | | | \$9,202 |
| | | | | |
| 53. SR 16 at Eagle's Nest Road | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 108,230 | SF | \$0.30 | \$32,469 |
| Roadway Excavation | 5,760 | CY | \$25.00 | \$144,000 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$25.00 | \$78,400 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$24.00 | \$5,136 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 317 | TON | \$85.00 | \$26,945 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,080 | TON | \$62.00 | \$190,960 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 3,649 | TON | \$23.00 | \$83,927 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 4,041 | TON | \$23.00 | \$92,943 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$12,600.00 | \$12,600 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 1,284 | SF | \$6.00 | \$7,704 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$10.00 | \$71,680 |
| Pavement Removal | 25,264 | SF | \$1.50 | \$37,896 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,904 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,520 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$987,180 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$55,669 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$39,487 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$9,872 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$454,103 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,587,561 |
| | | | | |
| TOTAL 2020 SDCP COST | | | | \$68,294 |
| | | | | |
| 54. SR 16 at Excelsior Road | | | | |
| 6x4 lane 4-way intersection widening and signalization | | | | |
| Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Signal Interconnector | 1,800 | LF | \$10.00 | \$18,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 108,230 | SF | \$0.30 | \$32,469 |
| Roadway Excavation | 5,760 | CY | \$25.00 | \$144,000 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 3,136 | LF | \$25.00 | \$78,400 |
| Curb & Gutter (Type 2) | 214 | LF | \$24.00 | \$5,136 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 317 | TON | \$85.00 | \$26,945 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 3,080 | TON | \$62.00 | \$190,960 |
| 14" Aggregate Base | 3,649 | TON | \$23.00 | \$83,927 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 4,041 | TON | \$23.00 | \$92,943 |
| Striping & Signage | 1 | LS | \$12,600.00 | \$12,600 |
| Sidewalk (6' wide) | 1,284 | SF | \$6.00 | \$7,704 |
| Median Landscaping (corridor varies) | 7,168 | SF | \$10.00 | \$71,680 |
| Pavement Removal | 25,264 | SF | \$1.50 | \$37,896 |
| Roadside Ditch | 2,904 | LF | \$5.00 | \$14,520 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$987,180 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$58,314 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$39,487 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$9,872 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|--------------|--------------------|
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$454,103 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,590,206 |
| TOTAL 2020 SDCP COST | | | | \$73,870 |
| 55. Mather Field at Folsom Boulevard | | | | |
| Add Eastbound through-lane and dual exclusive left-turn lanes on N & S approaches. | | | | |
| Private Improvement | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LF | \$431,200.00 | \$431,200 |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | \$431,200 |
| 56. Sunrise Boulevard at Florin Road | | | | |
| Intersection widening and signalization (incl. Protected left-turn lanes on Sunrise) | | | | |
| Private Improvement | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LF | \$645,836.80 | \$645,837 |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | \$645,837 |
| Portion Funded By Others (100% County TIP) | | | | \$645,837 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$0 |
| 57. Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) - herodian drive Signal included with Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005-2 (signal @ Herodian) | | | | \$206,084 |
| Total Project Cost | | | | \$206,084 |
| 57A. Sunrise Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) - Bosporous Dr. signal remaining after Anatolia MRI | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 97-2005-2 (signal @ Bosporous) | | | | \$206,084 |
| Total Project Cost | | | | \$206,084 |
| 58. Douglas Road: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way intersections) | | | | |
| Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | \$0 |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 66-2007 (Douglas @ Montelena) | | | | \$202,200 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 48-2017 (Douglas @ Timberlands) | | | | \$250,452 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 07-2019 (Douglas @ Ocean View) | | | | \$258,311 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$710,963 |
| 59. Jaeger Road: Douglas Road to Kiefer | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| 3-way Intersection Signalization | 2 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$300,000 |
| 4-way Intersection Signalization | 2 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$340,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$640,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$12,800 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% | | | | \$130,560 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$64,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$847,360 |
| Inflation to 2020 | | | 30.77% | \$1,108,093 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 66-2007 (RC Pkwy @ Cobblebrook) | | | | \$293,448 |
| 2020 remainder balance | | | | \$814,645 |
| Total Project Costs 2020 | | | | \$1,108,093 |
| 60. Americanos Boulevard: Douglas Road to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (3 3-way & 1 4-way intersections) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| 3-way Intersection Signalization | 3 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$450,000 |
| 4-way Intersection Signalization | 1 | LS | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$620,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$12,400 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% | | | | \$126,480 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$62,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$820,880 |
| Inflation to 2020 | | | 30.77% | \$1,073,465 |
| 61. Grantline Road: Douglas Road to Chrysanthy Boulevard | | | | |
| Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way intersections) | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| 3-way Intersection Signalization | 2 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$300,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$300,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$12,000 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% | | | | \$62,400 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$30,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$404,400 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|
| SDCP Cost | | | | \$0 |
| 62. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Sunrise Boulevard to Grantline Road Signalization at local collectors (2 3-way & 2 4-way intersections) Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| 3-way Intersection Signalization | 2 | LS | \$150,000.00 | \$300,000 |
| 4-way Intersection Signalization | 2 | LS | \$170,000.00 | <u>\$340,000</u> |
| Subtotal | | | | \$640,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$12,800 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% | | | | \$130,560 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$64,000</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$847,360 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$1,108,093 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 100-2020 (RC Parkway @ Cambery Drive) | | | | \$293,448 |
| 2020 remainder balance | | | | \$814,645 |
| Total Project Costs 2020 | | | | \$1,108,093 |
| 63a. Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | <u>\$196,000</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% (1% office, 1% field) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, and Bonding 20% | | | | \$39,200 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$19,600</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$260,680 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | DELETE, Covered by TDIF Project 28 | 2020 Cost | \$340,891 |
| 63b. Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$300.00 | <u>\$29,400</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$29,400 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$588 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$294 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$6,359 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$2,940</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$39,581 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | DELETE, Covered by TDIF Project 27 | 2020 Cost | \$51,760 |
| 63c. Americanos Boulevard: Northern Pan Handle to Chrysanthy Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$600.00 | <u>\$58,800</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$58,800 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,176 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$588 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$12,718 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$5,880</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$79,162 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$103,521 |
| 64. Americanos Boulevard: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | <u>\$196,000</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$19,600</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | DELETE, Covered by TDIF Project 25 | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| 65a. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$600.00 | <u>\$58,800</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$58,800 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,176 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$588 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$12,718 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$5,880</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | \$79,162 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$103,521 |
| 65b. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$300.00 | <u>\$29,400</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$29,400 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$588 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$294 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|-------------------------------------|--------------|------------------|
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$6,359 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$2,940 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$39,581 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$51,760 |
| 65c. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$300.00 | \$29,400 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$29,400 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$588 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$294 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$6,359 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$2,940 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$39,581 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$51,760 |
| 65d. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Americanos Boulevard to Grantline Road | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$196,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$19,600 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| 66. Chrysanthy Boulevard: Jaeger Road to Americanos Boulevard | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$196,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$19,600 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | Deleted, Covered by TDIF Project 46 | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| 67a. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (200' x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$600.00 | \$58,800 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$58,800 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,176 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$588 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$12,718 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$5,880 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$79,162 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 8.56% | | | \$85,939 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$62,361 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$23,578 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 22.21% | | | |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$62,361 |
| 67b. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$300.00 | \$29,400 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$29,400 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$588 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$294 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$6,359 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$2,940 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$39,581 |
| Inflation to 2007 | 8.56% | | | \$42,969 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$28,546 |
| | | | 2007 Balance | \$14,423 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 22.21% | | 2020 Balance | \$17,627 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$46,173 |
| 67c. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$196,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$19,600 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| 67d. Jaeger Road: Chrysanthy Boulevard to Kiefer Boulevard | | | | |
| Drainage Culverts over existing water courses | | | | |
| Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|------------|---------------------|
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$196,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$19,600 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| 70a. SR 16: Bradshaw Road to Excelsior Road (excluding 1000' @ Bradshaw/SR16 intersection) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Public Improvement with existing roadway impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 10,250 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 10,250 | LF | \$10.00 | \$102,500 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 492,000 | SF | \$0.30 | \$147,600 |
| Roadway Excavation | 36,444 | CY | \$25.00 | \$911,100 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 20,500 | LF | \$25.00 | \$512,500 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 2,657 | TON | \$85.00 | \$225,845 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 14,350 | TON | \$62.00 | \$889,700 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 38,267 | TON | \$23.00 | \$880,141 |
| Striping | 10,250 | LF | \$8.00 | \$82,000 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 112,750 | SF | \$10.00 | \$1,127,500 |
| Pavement Removal | 164,000 | SF | \$1.50 | \$246,000 |
| Roadside Ditch | 20,500 | LF | \$5.00 | \$102,500 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$5,227,386 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$426,334 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$209,095 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$52,274 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$2,404,598 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$8,360,937 |
| Portion Funded By Others (76% Development Fee Measure A) | | | | \$6,354,312 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$2,006,625 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | | \$2,624,063 |
| SDCP Fair Share Cost 2020 | | | | \$61,218 |
| 70b. SR 16: Excelsior Road to Sunrise Boulevard (excluding 450' @ Eagles Nest/Sunrise intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Public Improvement with existing roadway impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 14,700 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 14,700 | LF | \$10.00 | \$147,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 705,600 | SF | \$0.30 | \$211,680 |
| Roadway Excavation | 52,267 | CY | \$25.00 | \$1,306,675 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 29,400 | LF | \$25.00 | \$735,000 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 3,811 | TON | \$85.00 | \$323,935 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 20,580 | TON | \$62.00 | \$1,275,960 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 54,880 | TON | \$23.00 | \$1,262,240 |
| Striping | 14,700 | LF | \$8.00 | \$117,600 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 161,700 | SF | \$10.00 | \$1,617,000 |
| Pavement Removal | 235,200 | SF | \$1.50 | \$352,800 |
| Roadside Ditch | 29,400 | LF | \$5.00 | \$147,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$7,496,890 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$620,244 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$299,876 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$74,969 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$3,448,569 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$11,981,798 |
| Portion Funded By Others (Mather Field CIP) | | | | \$554,580 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$11,427,218 |
| SDCP Fair Share Cost 2020 | | | | \$550,617 |
| 70c. SR 16: Sunrise to Grantline Road (excluding 450' @ intersections) | | | | |
| 6-lane 96' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) | | | | |
| Public Improvement with existing roadway impacts | | | | |
| Quantity: 4,700 LF | | | | |
| Signal Interconnector | 4,700 | LF | \$10.00 | \$47,000 |
| Clearing and Grubbing | 225,600 | SF | \$0.30 | \$67,680 |
| Roadway Excavation | 16,711 | CY | \$25.00 | \$417,775 |
| Curb (Type 5) | 9,400 | LF | \$25.00 | \$235,000 |
| 2" AC Overlay | 1,219 | TON | \$85.00 | \$103,615 |
| 6" Asphalt Concrete | 6,580 | TON | \$62.00 | \$407,960 |
| 16" Aggregate Base | 17,547 | TON | \$23.00 | \$403,581 |
| Striping & Signage | 4,700 | LF | \$8.00 | \$37,600 |
| Median Landscape (11' Corridor) | 51,700 | SF | \$10.00 | \$517,000 |
| Pavement Removal | 84,600 | SF | \$1.50 | \$126,900 |
| Roadside Ditch | 9,400 | LF | \$5.00 | \$47,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$2,411,111 |
| Right of Way Acquisition | | | | \$142,044 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$96,444 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$24,111 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| CalTrans Study | | | | \$31,250 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$1,109,111 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$3,824,072 |
| Portion Funded By Others (67% Development Fee Measure A) | | | | \$2,562,128 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$1,261,944 |
| Inflation to 2020 | 30.77% | | 2020 Cost | \$1,650,244 |
| SDCP Project Cost | | | | \$0 |
| TDIF Project Cost | | | | \$4,312,000 |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| <u>Roadway Segment</u> | <u>Quantity</u> | <u>Unit</u> | <u>Unit Cost</u> | <u>Total Cost</u> |
|---|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 71. Kiefer Boulevard: Eagles Nest to Sunrise (excluding 450' @ intersections) Widen 2-lane arterial Private Improvement Quantity: 4,650 LF | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$1,371,750.00 | <u>\$1,371,750</u> |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | <u>\$1,371,750</u> |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | <u>\$1,793,837</u> |
| SDCP Project Cost | | Fully funded in SCTDF | | <u>\$0</u> |
| 72a. Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 - Initial planning and environmental work Public Improvement Quantity: 20,200 LF | | | | |
| Initial Planning and environmental work | 1 | LS | \$1,000,000.00 | <u>\$1,000,000</u> |
| Total Cost (flat carry over from EPS PFFP) | | | | <u>\$1,000,000</u> |
| 72b. Alta Sunrise reliever: Douglas Road to US 50 4-lane 76' ROW: center section with median (excluding outside 11' pavement and frontage) Private Improvement Quantity: 20,200 LF | | | | |
| Improvements | 1 | LS | \$4,500,000.00 | <u>\$4,500,000</u> |
| Total SDCP Cost (modified to actual spent) | | | | <u>\$4,500,000</u> |
| Portion Funded By CFD 2005-1 | | | | <u>\$500,000</u> |
| Total SDCP Funded Cost | | | | <u>\$4,000,000</u> |
| 73. Zinfandel Drive at International Drive Intersection Signalization - 4-way Signalization Private Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 56-2008 | | | | <u>\$232,985</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$232,985</u> |
| 74a. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$2,000.00 | <u>\$236,000</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | <u>\$236,000</u> |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$4,720 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,360 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$51,047 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$23,600</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$317,727</u> |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | <u>\$415,491</u> |
| SDCP Cost | | Delete, Covered by TDIF Project 55 | | <u>\$0</u> |
| 74b. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (200 > x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$600.00 | <u>\$70,800</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | <u>\$70,800</u> |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,416 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$708 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$15,314 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$7,080</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$95,318</u> |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | <u>\$124,647</u> |
| 74c. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 98 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 18-2006-1 | | | | <u>\$81,759</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$81,759</u> |
| 74d. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$2,000.00 | <u>\$236,000</u> |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | <u>\$236,000</u> |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$4,720 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,360 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$51,047 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | <u>\$23,600</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$317,727</u> |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | <u>\$415,491</u> |
| SDCP Cost | | Delete, Covered by TDIF Project 96 | | <u>\$0</u> |
| 74e. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Fully Constructed | | | | |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 18-2006-1 | | | | <u>\$328,148</u> |
| Total Cost | | | | <u>\$328,148</u> |

Table B-2
Detailed Roadway Facilities

| Roadway Segment | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | Total Cost |
|--|----------|------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| 74f. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (200> x >100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$600.00 | \$70,800 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$70,800 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$1,416 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$708 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$15,314 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$7,080 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$95,318 |
| Inflation to 2007 8.56% | | | | \$103,477 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2007-1 | | | | \$82,259 |
| Inflation to 2020 22.21% | | | 2007 Balance | \$21,218 |
| | | | 2020 Balance | \$25,931 |
| TOTAL PROJECT COST | | | | \$108,190 |
| 74g. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (<100 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$300.00 | \$35,400 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$35,400 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$708 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$354 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$7,657 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$3,540 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$47,659 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | | \$62,324 |
| 74h. Remaining Culverts Across Major Roads Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Private Improvement without Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 98 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$196,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$196,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 2% | | | | \$3,920 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$1,960 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Bonding 21% | | | | \$42,395 |
| Contingency, 10% | | | | \$19,600 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$263,875 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | \$345,069 |
| SDCP Project Cost | | | Delete, Covered by TDIF 143.1 | \$0 |
| 76a. SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$236,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$236,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$9,440 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,360 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$108,560 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$366,360 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | \$479,089 |
| SDCP Project Cost | | | Delete, Covered by 70a | \$0 |
| 76b. SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$236,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$236,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$9,440 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,360 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$108,560 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$366,360 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | \$479,089 |
| SDCP Project Cost | | | Delete, Covered by 70b | \$0 |
| 76c. SR16: Bradshaw Road to Grantline Road Drainage Culverts over existing water courses Public Improvement with Existing Roadway Impacts Quantity: 118 LF | | | | |
| Drainage Culvert (>200 CFS, incl. Headwall) | 118 | LF | \$2,000.00 | \$236,000 |
| Construction Subtotal | | | | \$236,000 |
| Traffic Control and Staging, 4% | | | | \$9,440 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 1% (field work) | | | | \$2,360 |
| CEQA Environmental Document | | | | \$10,000 |
| Engineering, Inspection, Testing, Surveying, SWPPP Office and Cost Contingency, 46% | | | | \$108,560 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$366,360 |
| Inflation to 2020 30.77% | | | 2020 Cost | \$479,089 |
| SDCP Project Cost | | | | \$0 |

Source: Rancho Cordova

Table B-3
Supplemental Offsite Water Facilities

| Improvement | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | 2005 Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|-----------------|---------------------|
| 1. Vineyard Well Field (Wells 1-3) | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Well Field Cost ¹ | 1 | LS | \$2,640,000.00 | \$2,640,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$2,640,000 |
| Zone 40 Reimbursement (Developer reported amount) | | | | \$2,367,491 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$272,509 |
| Inflation to 2007 | | | 3.28% | \$281,447 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 19-2007 | | | | \$423,685 |
| 2. Vineyard Well Field (Wells 4-7) | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Well Field Cost | 1 | LS | \$3,520,000.00 | \$3,520,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$3,520,000 |
| Zone 40 Reimbursement (Developer reported amount) | | | | \$3,168,000 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$352,000 |
| 3. Vineyard Well Field Land Cost (Wells 1-7) | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Well Field Cost | 7 | LS | \$20,000.00 | \$140,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$140,000 |
| Zone 40 Reimbursement (Wells 1-5)) | | | | \$19,614 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$120,386 |
| Credit/Reimbursement No. 40-2015 (Wells 1-5) | | | | \$80,386 |
| Remaining for Wells 6 and 7 | | | | \$40,000 |
| Total SDCP Costs | | | | \$120,386 |
| 4. Excelsior Raw Water Line | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Raw Water Line Cost ¹ | 1 | LS | \$9,985,525.00 | \$9,985,525 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$9,985,525 |
| Zone 40 Reimbursement (Developer reported amount) | | | | \$6,902,997 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$3,082,528 |
| Inflation to 2007 | | | 3.28% | \$3,183,635 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 19-2007 | | | | \$1,420,257 |
| 5. Anatolia Groundwater Treatment Plant | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Treatment Plant Cost ¹ | 1 | LS | \$13,703,250.00 | \$13,703,250 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$13,703,250 |
| Zone 40 Reimbursement (Developer reported amount) | | | | \$11,229,861 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | | \$2,473,389 |
| Inflation to 2007 | | | 3.28% | \$2,554,516 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 19-2007 | | | | \$2,199,853 |

Table B-4
Interim Sewer Facilities

| Improvement | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | 2005 Total Cost |
|---|----------|------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| 1. 8" Sewer Force Main: Kiefer Boulevard lift station to Chrysanthy Boulevard outfall | | | | |
| Quantity: 11,200 LF | | | | |
| 8" Sewer Force Main (Based on Wood Rodgers Est.) | 14,090 | LF | \$95.00 | \$1,338,550 |
| Subtotal | | | | \$1,338,550 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | | \$26,771 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | | \$267,710 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | | \$133,855 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,766,886 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 142-2006 | | | | \$1,766,886 |
| 2. Kiefer Boulevard Lift Station: 0.94 MGD capacity | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Lift Station (Based on Bid) | 1 | LS | \$1,084,303.00 | \$1,084,303 |
| Subtotal | | | | \$1,084,303 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | | \$21,686 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | | \$216,861 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | | \$108,430 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,431,280 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 64-2006 | | | | \$1,431,280 |
| 3. 18" Sewer Force Main: Chrysanthy Boulevard lift station to Mayhew Road outfall | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Force Main Cost (Based on Bid, Includes 32% soft costs) | 1 | LS | \$5,802,192.00 | Original Updated \$6,606,144 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$6,606,144 |
| CSD-1 Reimbursement | | | \$4,811,000.00 | \$5,408,372 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | \$991,192.00 | \$1,197,772 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 35-2015 | | | | \$1,197,772 |
| 4. Chrysanthy Boulevard Lift Station: 5.75 MGD capacity | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Lift Station Cost (Based on Bid, includes 32% soft cost) | 1 | LS | \$1,466,569.00 | \$1,450,106 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$1,450,106 |
| CSD-1 Reimbursement | | | \$1,239,000.00 | \$1,373,468 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | \$227,569.00 | \$76,638 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 41-2015 | | | | \$76,638 |
| 5. 6" Sewer Force Main: Douglas Boulevard lift station to Chrysanthy Boulevard outfall | | | | |
| Quantity: 5,100 LF | | | | |
| 6" Sewer Force Main (Based on Bid) | 5,268 | LF | \$95.00 | \$500,460 |
| Subtotal | | | | \$500,460 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | | \$10,009 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | | \$100,092 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | | \$50,046 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$660,607 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 45-2007 | | | | \$660,607 |

Table B-4
Interim Sewer Facilities

| Improvement | Quantity | Unit | Unit Cost | 2005 Total Cost |
|---|-----------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------|
| 6. Douglas Boulevard Lift Station: 0.28 MGD capacity | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Lift Station (Based on Wood Rodgers Estimate) | 1 | LS | \$900,000.00 | \$900,000 |
| Subtotal | | | \$900,000 | \$900,000 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | \$18,000 | \$18,000 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | \$180,000 | \$180,000 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | \$90,000 | \$90,000 |
| Total Cost | | | \$1,188,000 | \$1,188,000 |
| Updated to 2016 | 20.67% | | | \$1,433,560 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 124-2016 (land acquisition) | | | | \$117,050 |
| | | | 2016 balance | \$1,316,510 |
| Updated to 2017 | 3.36% | | | \$1,360,744 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 37-2017 (lift station) | | | | \$1,455,984 |
| 7. Folsom South Canal Crossing: Sewer Costs | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Construction Costs (Based on Bid) | 1 | LS | \$1,171,205.00 | \$1,171,205 |
| Subtotal | | | \$1,171,205 | \$1,171,205 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | \$23,424 | \$23,424 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | \$234,241 | \$234,241 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | \$117,121 | \$117,121 |
| Total Cost | | | \$1,545,991 | \$1,545,991 |
| CSD-1 Reimbursement | | | \$1,247,333 | \$1,247,333 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | \$298,658 | \$298,658 |
| Inflation to 2015 | 17.30% | | | \$350,325 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 37-2015 | | | | \$66,508 |
| Defer Balance to savings | | | | \$283,817 |
| 8. Chrysanthy Boulevard Trunk Sewer | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Trunk Sewer Costs (Based on Bid) | 1 | LS | \$1,141,330.00 | \$1,156,470 |
| Subtotal | | | \$1,141,330 | \$1,156,470 |
| Storm Water Pollution Prevention, 2% | | | \$22,827 | \$23,129 |
| Engineering, Staking and Construction Management, 20% | | | \$228,266 | \$231,294 |
| Cost Contingency, 10% | | | \$114,133 | \$0 |
| Total Cost | | | \$1,506,556 | \$1,410,893 |
| CSD-1 Reimbursement | | | \$1,084,574 | \$1,230,656 |
| Total Funded Cost | | | \$421,982 | \$180,237 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 26-2015 | | | | \$180,237 |
| 9. Sewer Studies | | | | |
| Quantity: Lump Sum | | | | |
| Sewer Studies | 1 | LS | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000 |
| Total Cost | | | | \$30,000 |
| Credit/Reimbursement Agreement No. 36-2015 | | | | \$14,156 |
| Balance Remaining | | | | \$15,844 |




Source: Rancho Cordova

APPENDIX C

Fee Program Boundary Map

SUNRISE DOUGLAS COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE PROGRAM SPECIFIC PLAN AREAS 1 AND 2

Legend

-  Sunrise Douglas Community Plan
-  Specific Plan - Area 1
-  Specific Plan - Area 2
-  Rancho Cordova City Limits

