

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Page
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	ES-1
ES.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.2 Lead, Responsible, Trustee, and Cooperating Agencies	ES-1
ES.3 Type of Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement	ES-1
ES.4 Requested Entitlements	ES-2
ES.5 Project Characteristics	ES-3
ES.6 Summary of Significant and Potentially Significant Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-4
ES.7 Alternatives	ES-4
ES.8 Known Areas of Controversy	ES-7
ES.9 Public Participation and Additional Steps in the CEQA/NEPA Review Process	ES-7
1 INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF PURPOSE AND NEED	1-1
1.1 Project Requiring Environmental Analysis	1-1
1.2 Project History and Planning Context	1-2
1.3 SunCreek Planning History	1-7
1.4 Statement of Project Purpose and Need	1-8
1.5 Intended Uses and Type of Environmental Impact Report/ Environmental Impact Statement	1-9
1.6 Scope and Focus of the Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement	1-11
1.7 Agency Roles and Responsibilities	1-12
1.8 Public Participation and Additional Steps in the California Environmental Quality Act/National Environmental Policy Act Review Process	1-14
1.9 Organization of this Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact Statement	1-15
1.10 Standard Terminology, Acronyms, and Abbreviations	1-16
2 ALTERNATIVES	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 CEQA/NEPA Requirements for Evaluation of Alternatives	2-1
2.3 Proposed Project Alternative	2-3
2.4 No USACE Permit Alternative	2-61
2.5 Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	2-69
2.6 Conceptual Strategy Alternative	2-75
2.7 Increased Development Alternative	2-81
2.8 No Project/No Action Alternative	2-81
2.9 Alternatives Considered but Not Carried Forward for Further Evaluation	2-87
2.10 Environmentally Superior Alternative – CEQA Only	2-89
3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT, ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES, AND MITIGATION MEASURES	3.0-1
3.0 Approach to the Environmental Analysis and the Cumulative Context	3.0-1
3.1 Aesthetics	3.1-1
3.2 Air Quality	3.2-1
3.3 Biological Resources	3.3-1
3.4 Climate Change	3.4-1
3.5 Cultural Resources	3.5-1
3.6 Environmental Justice	3.6-1
3.7 Geology, Soils, Minerals, and Paleontological Resources	3.7-1
3.8 Hazards and Hazardous Materials	3.8-1
3.9 Hydrology and Water Quality	3.9-1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

continued

Page

3.10	Land Use and Agricultural Resources.....	3.10-1
3.11	Noise	3.11-1
3.12	Parks and Recreation.....	3.12-1
3.13	Population, Employment, and Housing.....	3.13-1
3.14	Public Services	3.14-1
3.15	Traffic and Transportation	3.15-1
3.16	Utilities and Service Systems	3.16-1
3.17	Water Supply.....	3.17-1
4	OTHER STATUTORY REQUIREMENTS	4-1
4.1	Growth-Inducing Impacts	4-1
4.2	Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	4-10
4.3	Relationship between Short-Term Use of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	4-11
4.4	Significant and Unavoidable Adverse Impacts	4-12
5	REFERENCES AND ORGANIZATIONS AND PERSONS CONSULTED	5-1
6	LIST OF PREPARERS.....	6-1
7	INDEX.....	7-1

Appendices

A	Notice of Preparation/Notice of Intent	O	Native American Heritage Commission Contact Information
B	Scoping Report and Comment Letters	P	Peak & Associates Cultural Resources Report (<i>Confidential</i>)
C	Draft SunCreek Specific Plan	Q	Updated Storm Drain Demands
D	Master Drainage Study	R	Noise Modeling
E	Shalako Detention Basin Alternative	S	Supplemental Traffic Materials
F	Community Park Detention Basin	T	Updated Sewer Demands
G	Stand-Alone Detention Basin Alternative	U	Master Water Study
H	Regional Water Facilities	V	Water Supply Assessment
I	Sanitary Sewer Study	W	Ground Water Demands
J	Dry Utility Plan	X	Analysis of Project Consistency with City Policies
K	Applicable Rancho Cordova General Plan Policies	Y	Clean Water Act Draft Section 404 (b)(1) Analyses
L	Air Quality Modeling		
M	AQ-15 Plan		
N	Climate Change Modeling		

TABLE OF CONTENTS

continued

Page

Exhibits

1-1	Federal Agency (Conceptual Level Strategy) Map.....	1-5
2-1	Regional Project Location.....	2-5
2-2	SPA Location Map.....	2-6
2-3	Proposed Zoning Designations.....	2-9
2-4	Proposed Project Alternative Land Use Plan	2-13
2-5	Proposed Project Alternative Backbone Infrastructure	2-17
2-6	Typical Hydromodification Detention Basin Plan	2-21
2-7	Cross-Section Detail of Typical Hydromodification Detention Basin.....	2-22
2-8	Proposed Potable Water Supply System - Phase 1.....	2-29
2-9	Proposed Potable Water Supply System - Phase 2.....	2-31
2-10	Proposed Potable Water Supply System - Phase 3.....	2-33
2-11	Proposed Off-Site Florin Road/Sunrise Boulevard Water Pipeline	2-35
2-12	Proposed Americanos Boulevard Parallel Pipelines	2-37
2-13	Proposed Off-Site Anatolia Pipeline Conversion.....	2-39
2-14	Proposed Non-Potable Water System in Interim Condition	2-43
2-15	Proposed Non-Potable Water System in Full Project Buildout Condition.....	2-44
2-16	Proposed Sanitary Sewer Plan.....	2-45
2-17	Proposed Electrical Facilities Plan.....	2-51
2-18	Proposed Natural Gas Facilities Plan	2-53
2-19	Proposed Communications Facilities Plan	2-55
2-20	Proposed Major Roadway Circulation Plan	2-59
2-21	Proposed Bike Trail Master Plan	2-60
2-22	Proposed Project Phasing	2-63
2-23	No USACE Permit Alternative Land Use Plan.....	2-65
2-24	No USACE Permit Alternative Backbone Infrastructure.....	2-67
2-25	Biological Impact Minimization Alternative Land Use Plan	2-71
2-26	Biological Impact Minimization Alternative Backbone Infrastructure.....	2-73
2-27	Conceptual Strategy Alternative Land Use Plan.....	2-77
2-28	Conceptual Strategy Alternative Backbone Infrastructure.....	2-79
2-29	Increased Development Alternative Land Use Plan.....	2-83
2-30	Increased Development Alternative Backbone Infrastructure	2-85
3.0-1	Map of the Related Projects	3.0-13
3.1-1a	Representative Photographs	3.1-2
3.1-1b	Representative Photographs	3.1-3
3.1-1c	Representative Photographs	3.1-4
3.1-1d	Representative Photographs	3.1-5
3.1-1e	Representative Photographs	3.1-6
3.1-1f	Representative Photographs	3.1-7
3.1-2	Viewpoint Locations	3.1-8
3.2-1	Summary of 2008 Estimated Emissions Inventory for Criteria Air Pollutants and Precursors (Sacramento County, Tons/Day).....	3.2-9
3.2-2	CAPs, TACs, Odors, and Sensitive Receptors Near the SPA	3.2-10
3.3-1	Plant Communities and Waters of the United States in the SPA	3.3-3
3.3-2	CNDDDB Occurrences within 5 Miles of the SPA	3.3-7
3.3-3	Proposed Project Alternative – Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters	3.3-19
3.3-4	No USACE Permit Alternative – Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters	3.3-21
3.3-5	Microwatershed Cluster Analysis	3.3-23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

continued

Page

3.3-6	Biological Impact Minimization Alternative – Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters.....	3.3-25
3.3-7	Conceptual Strategy Alternative – Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters.....	3.3-27
3.3-8	Increased Development Alternative – Impacts on Wetlands and Other Waters	3.3-43
3.4-1	2008 California GHG Emissions by Sector (2000–2008 Emissions Inventory).....	3.4-4
3.4-2	Global Precipitation Trend for 1900–2000	3.4-34
3.4-3	Graph of Annual Average Relative Sea Level and the 19-Year Running Average Sea Level at the Golden Gate Tide Gauge, California, 1900–2003.....	3.4-40
3.7-1	Soil Types in the SPA	3.7-7
3.9-1	Regional Hydrologic Features.....	3.9-2
3.9-2	100-Year Floodplain Map	3.9-5
3.10-1	Rancho Cordova General Plan Planning Areas.....	3.10-3
3.10-2	Agricultural Land and Williamson Act Contracts.....	3.10-6
3.10-3	SunCreek/Preserve Planning Area Conceptual Land Plan.....	3.10-11
3.10-4	SACOG Blueprint Land Use Designations for the SPA	3.10-16
3.11-5	Typical Noise Levels.....	3.11-2
3.11-6	Short-Term Ambient Noise Measurement Sites	3.11-9
3.12-1	Existing and Proposed Park Facilities	3.12-3
3.15-1	Project Location	3.15-113
3.15-2	Roadway Classification and Number of Lanes – Existing Conditions	3.15-114
3.15-3A	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Existing Conditions	3.15-115
3.15-3B	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Existing Conditions	3.15-117
3.15-4	Average Daily Traffic Volume - Existing Conditions	3.15-119
3.15-5	Existing Transit Facilities.....	3.15-120
3.15-6	Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Existing Conditions	3.15-121
3.15-7	Roadway Classification and Number of Lanes – Baseline Conditions	3.15-122
3.15-8A	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline No Project Conditions	3.15-123
3.15-8B	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline No Project Conditions	3.15-125
3.15-9	Average Daily Traffic Volume - Baseline No Project Conditions.....	3.15-127
3.15-10	Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Baseline No Project Conditions	3.15-128
3.15-11A	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3.15-129
3.15-11B	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3.15-131
3.15-12	Average Daily Traffic Volume – Baseline Plus Project Conditions	3.15-133
3.15-13	Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Project Conditions.....	3.15-134
3.15-14A	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-135
3.15-14B	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-137
3.15-15	Average Daily Traffic Volume - Baseline Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-139
3.15-16	Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Baseline Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-140
3.15-17A	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Biological Minimization Alternative.....	3.15-141
3.15-17B	Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Biological Minimization Alternative.....	3.15-143
3.15-18	Average Daily Traffic Volume - Baseline Plus Biological Minimization Alternative	3.15-145

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>continued</i>	Page
3.15-19 Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Baseline Plus Biological Minimization Alternative	3.15-146
3.15-20A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus No Federal Action Alternative.....	3.15-147
3.15-20B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus No Federal Action Alternative.....	3.15-149
3.15-21 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Baseline Plus No Federal Action Alternative	3.15-151
3.15-22 Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-Hour Traffic Volumes-Baseline Plus No Federal Action Alternative	3.15-152
3.15-23A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Increased Development Alternative.....	3.15-153
3.15-23B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Baseline Plus Increased Development Alternative.....	3.15-155
3.15-24 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Baseline Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-157
3.15-25 Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Baseline Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-158
3.15-26 Roadway Classification and Number of Lanes – Cumulative Conditions	3.15-159
3.15-27A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-161
3.15-27B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-163
3.15-27C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-165
3.15-28 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-167
3.15-29A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-168
3.15-29B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative No Project Conditions	3.15-169
3.15-30A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Conditions	3.15-171
3.15-30B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Conditions	3.15-173
3.15-30C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Conditions	3.15-175
3.15-31 Average Daily Traffic Volume – Cumulative Plus Project Conditions	3.15-177
3.15-32A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Conditions	3.15-178
3.15-32B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Proposed Project Conditions	3.15-179
3.15-33A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative Conditions	3.15-181
3.15-33B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative Conditions	3.15-183
3.15-33C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative Conditions	3.15-185
3.15-34 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-187
3.15-35A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-188
3.15-35B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Agency Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.15-189
3.15-36A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Biological Impact Minimization Alternative Conditions.....	3.15-191

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>continued</i>	Page
3.15-36B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Biological Impact Minimization Alternative Conditions.....	3.15-193
3.15-36C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Biological Impact Minimization Alternative Conditions.....	3.15-195
3.15-37 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Cumulative Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	3.15-197
3.15-38A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	3.15-198
3.15-38B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	3.15-199
3.15-39A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus No Federal Action Alternative Conditions	3.15-201
3.15-39B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus No Federal Action Alternative Conditions	3.15-203
3.15-39C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus No Federal Action Alternative Conditions	3.15-205
3.15-40 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Cumulative No Federal Action Alternative	3.15-207
3.15-41A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus No Federal Action Alternative	3.15-208
3.15-41B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus No Federal Action Alternative	3.15-209
3.15-42A Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-211
3.15-42B Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-213
3.15-42C Peak-hour Traffic Volumes and Lane Configurations – Cumulative Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-215
3.15-43 Average Daily Traffic Volume - Cumulative Increased Development Alternative	3.15-217
3.15-44A Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Increased Development Alternatives.....	3.15-218
3.15-44B Freeway Ramp Junction Lane Configurations and Peak-hour Traffic Volumes – Cumulative Plus Increased Development Alternative	3.15-219
3.17-1 Zone 40 and 41 Service Areas, and 2030 Study Area.....	3.17-2

Tables

ES-1 Summary of Impacts and Mitigation Measures	ES-8
1-1 Acronyms and Other Abbreviations.....	1-17
2-1 Acres and Units of Proposed SunCreek Specific Plan Project Land Uses.....	2-11
2-2 Waters of the U.S. and Wetlands at the SPA	2-16
2-3 Summary Comparison of Residential Development under the No USACE Permit Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-62
2-4 Summary Comparison of Commercial Development under the No USACE Permit Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-62
2-5 Summary Comparison of Residential Development under the Biological Impact Minimization Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-70
2-6 Summary Comparison of Commercial Development under the Biological Impact Minimization Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-70

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>continued</i>	Page
2-7 Summary Comparison of Residential Development under the Conceptual Strategy Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-76
2-8 Summary Comparison of Commercial Development under the Conceptual Strategy Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-76
2-9 Summary Comparison of Residential Development under the Increased Development Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-82
2-10 Summary Comparison of Commercial Development under the Increased Development Alternative and the Proposed Project Alternative	2-82
2-11 Comparative Summary of Characteristics of the Proposed Project and the Other Four Action Alternatives	2-90
2-12 Comparison of Impacts of the Action Alternatives	2-91
3.0-1 Geographic Scope and Time Frame of Cumulative Impacts	3.0-9
3.0-2 Related Residential/Commercial Projects in Eastern Sacramento County and the City of Rancho Cordova	3.0-11
3.2-1 Summary of Ambient Air Quality Standards and Attainment Designations	3.2-3
3.2-2 Health Effects of Criteria Air Pollutants	3.2-4
3.2-3 Summary of Annual Ambient Air Quality Data (2007–2009)	3.2-5
3.2-4 Summary of Modeled Maximum Daily Criteria Air Pollutant and Precursor Emissions Associated with Construction Activities	3.2-27
3.2-5 Summary of Modeled Long-Term Operational Emissions Under the No USACE Permit Alternative	3.2-35
3.2-6 Summary of Modeled Long-Term Operational Emissions Under the Proposed Project Alternative	3.2-37
3.2-7 Summary of Modeled Long-Term Operational Emissions Under the Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	3.2-38
3.2-8 Summary of Modeled Long-Term Operational Emissions Under the Conceptual Strategy Alternative	3.2-38
3.2-9 Summary of Modeled Long-Term Operational Emissions Under the Increased Development Alternative	3.2-39
3.2-10 Maximum Annual Construction-Related Emissions (Tons per Year)	3.2-49
3.3-1 Special-Status Plant Species Known or with Potential to Occur in the SPA	3.3-9
3.3-2 Special-Status Wildlife Species Known or with Potential to Occur on the SPA	3.3-11
3.3-3 Summary of Direct Wetland Impacts and Preservation for each Alternative	3.3-34
3.3-4 Summary of Impacts and Preservation of Waters of the U.S. for the Proposed Project Alternative	3.3-35
3.3-5 Mitigation Banks Expected to Have Credits Available for Purchase to Compensate for Project Effects on Wetlands and Other Habitats	3.3-39
3.3-6 Wetlands and Other Waters at Specific Projects in the Vicinity of the SunCreek Specific Plan	3.3-62
3.3-7 Special-Status Species Supported By the Habitat Types to Which the Project Would Contribute a Cumulatively Considerable Incremental Loss	3.3-64
3.4-1 Summary of Modeled Greenhouse Gas Emissions (CO ₂ e) from Project Construction and Operations for the Action Alternatives Under Consideration	3.4-19
3.5-1 Cultural Resources Studies	3.5-4
3.5-2 Cultural Resources within and near the SunCreek Project Site	3.5-4
3.6-1 Poverty Statistics within 6 Miles of the SPA	3.6-5
3.6-2 Race Statistics within 6 Miles of the SPA	3.6-7
3.7-1 Faults with Evidence of Activity During Holocene Time in the Project Region	3.7-3
3.7-2 Soil Characteristics	3.7-5
3.7-3 California Division of Mines and Geology Mineral Land Classification System	3.7-6

TABLE OF CONTENTS

continued

Page

3.9-1	Average Hydrologic Parameters in Laguna Creek.....	3.9-8
3.9-2	2008/09 Exceedances of Water Quality Objectives in Laguna Creek at Franklin Blvd and Hwy 99/Stockton Blvd.....	3.9-9
3.9-3	Surface Water and Groundwater Quality Standards of Conventional Contaminants	3.9-16
3.9-4	Modeled Peak Flow Results at Project Compliance Point Locations	3.9-33
3.9-5	Modeled Peak “Baseline” Conditions Storm Detention Capacity and Flow Rate	3.9-34
3.9-6	Comparison of Drainage Demands	3.9-34
3.9-7	Modeled Peak Flow Results at Project Compliance Point Locations for Anatolia III Alternatives	3.9-36
3.9-8	Comparison of Detention Basin Volumes for the Shalako Detention Basin Alternative.....	3.9-38
3.9-9	Duration of Community Park Inundation.....	3.9-39
3.9-10	Comparison of Hydromodification Basin Volumes for the Stand-Alone Detention Basin Alternative.....	3.9-40
3.9-11	Total Work Done and Erosion Potential Ratios at Compliance Points	3.9-41
3.9-12	Modeled Peak Flow Results at Project Compliance Point Locations for Hydromodification Basin Alternatives “A” and “B”	3.9-43
3.9-13	Maximum Detention Basin Discharge Flow Rates for Hydromodification Basin Alternatives “A” and “B”	3.9-44
3.9-14	Project Site “Baseline” Conditions Water Quality Basins and Volumes	3.9-49
3.9-15	Expected Pollutant Removal Efficiency of Structural BMPs.....	3.9-51
3.10-1	Existing SunCreek Planning Area Conceptual Land Use Designations	3.10-12
3.10-2	SunCreek Specific Plan Proposed Land Use Designations and Zoning.....	3.10-12
3.11-1	Daytime Ambient-Noise Levels.....	3.11-8
3.11-2	Summary of Modeled Existing Traffic Noise Levels	3.11-12
3.11-3	State of California Noise Compatibility Guidelines by Land Use Category.....	3.11-14
3.11-4	Performance Standards for Typical Stationary Noise Sources— Rancho Cordova General Plan Noise Element	3.11-15
3.11-5	Performance Standards for Stationary Noise Sources that Are Tonal, Impulsive, Repetitive, or Consist Primarily of Speech or Music—Rancho Cordova General Plan Noise Element	3.11-15
3.11-6	Maximum Allowable Noise Exposure, Transportation Noise Sources—Rancho Cordova General Plan Noise Element	3.11-16
3.11-7	City of Rancho Cordova Noise Control Ordinance Standards.....	3.11-17
3.11-8	Typical Construction Equipment Noise Levels.....	3.11-20
3.11-9	Summary of Modeled Baseline Traffic Noise Levels	3.11-33
3.11-10	Representative Vibration Source Levels for Construction Equipment	3.11-38
3.11-11	Summary of Modeled Cumulative (Future) Traffic Noise Levels Without Quarry Trucks.....	3.11-41
3.11-12	Summary of Modeled Cumulative (Future) Traffic Noise Levels Along Grant Line Road Between Chrysanthy Boulevard and Kiefer Boulevard from Quarry Truck Trips	3.11-43
3.12-1	Existing Cordova Recreation & Park District Facilities and Services	3.12-6
3.12-2	CRPD Park Classifications and Standards	3.12-8
3.12-3	Parkland Acreage Calculations for the Action Alternatives.....	3.12-9
3.13-1	City of Rancho Cordova Regional Housing Needs Allocation for 2006–2013	3.13-3
3.13-2	City of Rancho Cordova Adjusted Housing Needs for 2006–2013	3.13-3
3.13-3	SunCreek Specific Plan Residential Population Projections.....	3.13-5
3.14-1	Elk Grove Unified School District Enrollment, 2010–2011	3.14-3
3.14-2	Student-Yield Generation Rates for the Elk Grove Unified School District.....	3.14-7
3.14-3	SunCreek Specific Plan Elementary, Middle, and High School Student Projections	3.14-7
3.14-4	SunCreek Specific Plan Firefighter and Police Officer Projections.....	3.14-12

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>continued</i>	Page
3.15-1	Locations of Detailed Traffic Analyses..... 3.15-3
3.15-2	Intersection Levels of Service—Existing Conditions 3.15-8
3.15-3	Roadway Levels of Service—Existing Conditions 3.15-9
3.15-4	Levels of Service for Freeway-Ramp Merge, Diverge, and Weave Maneuvers—Existing Conditions 3.15-10
3.15-5	Cumulative Priority Improvements for EIR/EIS Analyses in Eastern Sacramento County..... 3.15-15
3.15-6	Level-of-Service Definitions for Intersections..... 3.15-16
3.15-7	Daily Volume Thresholds for Roadway Segments 3.15-19
3.15-8	Definitions of Intersection Levels of Service..... 3.15-19
3.15-9	Definitions of Freeway-Ramp Merge/Diverge Levels of Service..... 3.15-21
3.15-10	SunCreek Vehicle-Trip Generation Summary 3.15-24
3.15-11	Intersection Levels of Service—Baseline Conditions..... 3.15-27
3.15-12	Roadway Segment Levels of Service—Baseline Conditions..... 3.15-29
3.15-13	Merge/Diverge/Weave Levels of Service—Baseline Conditions 3.15-31
3.15-14	Intersection Levels of Service—Cumulative Conditions 3.15-59
3.15-15	Roadway Segment Levels of Service—Cumulative Conditions..... 3.15-63
3.15-16	Merge/Diverge/Weave Levels of Service—Cumulative Conditions 3.15-67
3.16-1	SRCSD Estimated Average Dry-Weather Flow and Peak Wet-Weather Flow, 2000-2020 3.16-3
3.16-2	SMUD Service Area Electrical Consumption and Forecast 3.16-5
3.16-3	PG&E Service Area Natural Gas Consumption and Forecast 3.16-7
3.16-4	SunCreek Specific Plan Wastewater Generation Rates 3.16-15
3.16-5	SunCreek Specific Plan Solid Waste Generation Rates 3.16-17
3.16-6	SunCreek Specific Plan Electrical Demands..... 3.16-19
3.16-7	SunCreek Specific Plan Natural Gas Demands..... 3.16-21
3.17-1	Current and Projected Water Demands for SCWA Zone 40..... 3.17-5
3.17-2	Current and Projected Water Demand by Zone 40 2030 Study Area Service Area..... 3.17-6
3.17-3	Existing and Projected Future Water Supply and Demand in the North Service Area 3.17-6
3.17-4	Existing and Proposed Groundwater Supplies for NSA 3.17-7
3.17-5	Water Supplies for SCWA Zone 40 3.17-8
3.17-6	Existing and Proposed Supplies of Surface Water for SCWA Zone 40..... 3.17-8
3.17-7	Existing and Projected Average Groundwater Supply in Zone 40..... 3.17-10
3.17-8	Comparison of Water Supply and Demand in Zone 40 (2010-2035) 3.17-11
3.17-9	SunCreek Specific Plan Water Demands (2010-2030) 3.17-22
3.17-10	Comparison of Water Supply and Demand – Accelerated Construction of the North Service Area Pipeline Scenario (afy) 3.17-23
3.17-11	Comparison of Water Supply and Demand – Delayed Construction of the North Service Area Pipeline Scenario (afy) 3.17-25
3.17-12	Comparison of Water Supply and Demand – Conversion of the Anatolia Raw Groundwater Transmission Pipeline Scenario (afy) 3.17-26
3.17-13	Comparison of Water Supply and Demand – Groundwater Intensive Development Scenario with the SunCreek Groundwater Wells (afy) 3.17-28
3.17-14	SunCreek Maximum Daily Construction Emissions, 2012 Florin Road/Sunrise Boulevard Pipeline..... 3.17-37
3.17-15	SunCreek Maximum Daily Construction Emissions, 2012 Conversion of Anatolia Raw Groundwater Transmission Pipeline 3.17-45
3.17-16	SunCreek Maximum Daily Construction Emissions, 2012 Americanos Boulevard Parallel Pipelines 3.17-51
3.17-17	Summary of Land Uses and Demands for Nonpotable Water—Proposed Project Alternative 3.17-61
3.17-18	Summary of Land Uses and Demands for Nonpotable Water—No USACE Permit Alternative. 3.17-62

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<i>continued</i>		Page
3.17-19	Summary of Land Uses and Demands for Nonpotable Water—Biological Impact Minimization Alternative	3.17-62
3.17-20	Summary of Land Uses and Demands for Nonpotable Water—Conceptual Strategy Alternative.....	3.17-63
3.17-21	Summary of Land Uses and Demands for Nonpotable Water—Increased Development Alternative.....	3.17-63
4-1	Summary of Project-Related Significant and Unavoidable Impacts	4-13